Received on 10.09.2016, Accepted on 24.09.2016

# Profile of Patients with Duodenal Ulcer Admitted for Surgery at a Tertiary Care Center

Venukumar R.

Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Mount Zion Medical College, Chayalode, Adoor, Kerala 691556.

# Abstract

Introduction: Perforation of an ulcer is due to sudden sloughing off base of the ulcer due impairment of blood supply, which leads to gastric or duodenal contents leaking into peritoneal cavity, initiating an acute diffuse peritonitis. *Methodology:* This study comprises of 60 cases of duodenal ulcer perforation admitted in the Department of Surgery. Operative details included the site and nature of operation performed. Mortality was defined as death following surgical procedure. *Results:* All patients in the present study were subjected to plainX-ray abdomen in erect position. Out of 60 cases studied, 55 patients (91.67%) were found with pneumo-peritoneum. *Conclusion:* Duodenal peroration was common in lower socioeconomic group.

**Keywords:** Duodenal Ulcer; Perforation; Pneumoperitonium.

### Introduction

In the United States, approximately 4 million people have peptic ulcers(duodenal and gastric), and 350,000 new cases are diagnosed each year. Around 180,000 patients are hospitalized yearly, and about 5000 people die each year as a result of peptic ulcer disease [1]. Approximately, 5-10 percent of patients with duodenal ulcer develop perforations [2]. Mortality of perforated duodenal ulcer has declined from 40 percent to the present level of less than 10 percent, largely due to early diagnosis and treatment. In the duodenum, the ulcers that perforate are located anteriorly, and the aphorism that anterior ulcers perforate, posterior ones bleed is a relevant today as ever.

Perforation of an ulcer is due to sudden sloughing off base of the ulcer due impairment of blood supply, which leads to gastric or duodenal contents leaking into peritoneal cavity, initiating an acute diffuse peritonitis. About 5% of the time, a penetrating ulcer will penetrate through the duodenum into the free peritoneal cavity and elicit a chemical peritonitis. Followed to chemical peritonitis is bacterial contamination which can aggravate the inflammatory process and progress to the development of the intraabdominal abscesses over the ensuring days or weeks. The most serious complications of the acute peritonitis are paralytic ileus and toxemia. Absorption of the bacterial endotoxins through the inflamed peritoneum causes endotoxaeima, which may also result into septicemia. The combination of endotoxic shock, fluid and electrolyte imbalance is the cause of high fatality rate in untreated acute bacterial peritonitis.

The patient can typically recall the exact time of onset of abdominal pain that is frequently accompanied by fever, tachycardia, dehydration, and ileus. Abdominal examination reveals exquisite tenderness, rigidity, and rebound. A hallmark of free perforation is the demonstration of free air underneath the diaphragm on an upright chest radiograph. This complication of duodenal ulcer disease represents a surgical emergency. After the diagnosis is made, operation is performed in an expeditious fashion following appropriate fluid resuscitation [3].

**Corresponding Author: Venukumar R.,** Associate Professor, Department of Surgery, Mount Zion Medical College, Chayalode, Adoor, Kerala 691556.

E-mail: kubanaik@gmail.com

# Methodology

The diagnosis of duodenal ulcer perforation was that established by the admitting surgeon, based on clinical features and supposed by radiological evidence and confined at operation.

Surgery was defined as urgent less as 4 hours between admission and surgery, same day (4-24 hours) and delayed at a later time during the same admission. This study comprises of 60 cases of duodenal ulcer perforation admitted in the Department of Surgery. Operative details included the site and nature of operation performed. Mortality was defined as death following surgical procedure. Postoperative morbidity was defined in terms of duration of hospital stay and associated complications following surgery.

#### **Exclusion** Criteria

- 1. Cases of accidental duodenal perforation during laparotomy.
- 2. Cases of gastric antral perforation
- 3. Cases of traumatic duodenal perforation

# Results

Table 1: Age distribution

Age (yr	s) No. of Cases	Percentage (%)
<19	2	3.33
20-29	13	21.67
30-39	12	20.00
40-49	15	25.00
50-59	9	15.00
>60	9	15.00
Total	60	100
Gender	No. of cases	Percentage (%)
Male	57	95
Female	3	5
Total	60	100
able 3: Socioecor	nomic status	
	No. of Cases	Percentage%
Lower	39	65

 Total
 60
 100

 Table 4: History of peptic ulcers of patients with perforated duodenal ulcers
 100

History	No. of Cases	Percentage (%)
Present	36	60
Absent	24	40
Total	60	100

**Table 5**: Presence of air under diaphragm in patients with perforated duodenal ulcers

	No. of Cases	Percentage (%)	
Air Present	55	91.67	
Air Absent	5	8.33	
Total	60	100.00	



Chart 1:Pie diagram showing personal habits of patients with perforated duodenal ulcers

# Discussion

Duodenal ulcer is a type of peptic ulcer disease that distresses the lining of the duodenum. Duodenal perforation, complication of duodenal ulcer, is one of the commonest surgical emergencies requiring hospitalization and early management. Perforated duodenal ulcer remains a surgical emergency but nowadays it rarely results in death. The discussion is based on the analysis of data pertaining to 60 cases of perforated duodenal ulcers.

# Age

The age of patients in this study is ranging from 18 to more than 60 years. The peak ageincidence was between 40 and 49 years, but age is no bar for the perforation.

	Tabl	e 6	: Age	Incid	lence
--	------	-----	-------	-------	-------

0		
Author	Year	Peak age incidence (years)
Samuel J et al <sup>4</sup>	1953	30-60
Debaley et al <sup>5</sup>	1990	>50
M.C.Dandpat et al <sup>6</sup>	1991	20-40
Ramesh C et al <sup>7</sup> Hannah et al <sup>8</sup> KalpeshJani et al <sup>9</sup> Taylor <sup>10</sup> Present study	1995 2005 2006 2013	30-50 31-40 30-50 >50 40-49

In the current study out of 60 cases, only 4 cases of females withperforated duodenal ulcers were observed. Our study found male predominance for perforated duodenal ulcers which correlates to the reported observation. The very low incidence of female patients with duodenal ulcer perforation in comparison to male incidence may be due to great difference in habits, social, economical and cultural activities.

#### Table 7: Gender Incidence

	Year	Male : Female ratio
Paul. H. Jordan <sup>11</sup>	1995	26:1
Primrose N. John <sup>12</sup>	2004	2:1
Rodney Maingot <sup>13</sup>	1990	5:1
Present study	2013	19:1

# Socioeconomic Status

Perforation due to duodenal ulcer was common inlower socioeconomic group. Out of 60 cases of perforated duodenal ulcers, 39 cases (65%) belonged to lower socioeconomic status. All patients in the present study were subjected to plainX-ray abdomen in erect position. Out of 60 cases studied, 55 patients (91.67%) were found with pneumo-peritoneum

### Table 8: Presence of pneuoperitoneum

Study	Year	Pneumoperitoneum	
Shaffer study <sup>14</sup>	1992	70%	
Present study	2013	91.67%	

## Conclusion

- Duodenal ulcer perforation is one of the most common acute abdominal emergencies.
- Duodenal ulcer perforation was more common in the age group of 40-49 years.
- Male: Female ratio was 19:1.

# References

- 1. Sonnenberg A. Time trends of ulcer mortality in Europe. Gastroenterology 2007; 132:2320.
- 2. Cheung LY, Delcore R. Stomach In: Townsend CM

Jr, Beauchamp RD, Evers BM, Mattox KL; Sabiston Textbook of Surgery. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 2001; 8: 37-860.

- Keramati MR, Sadeghian MH, Ayatollahi H, Badiee Z, Shakibayi H, Moghimi-Roudi A. Role of the Lewis and ABO Blood Group Antigens in Helicobacter pylori Infection. Malays J Med Sci. 2012 Jul; 19(3): 17-21.
- Samuel J. Stabins, M. D. Rochester, N. Y.: The aftermath of perforated duodenal ulcer, Surgery 1953; 34(3): 614-620.
- Deus Fombellida J, Gil Romea I, Moreno Mirallas MJ, Urieta Carpi A. Risk factors in the surgical management of perforated duodeno-pyloric ulcer. Rev EspEnferm Dig. 1998; 90(7):503-513.
- M. C. Dandapat, L. M. Mukherjee, S. B. Mishra, P. C. HowladerGastrointestinal perforations, Indian Journal of Surgery 1991; 53(5): 189-193.
- Ramesh C. Bharti et al: Immediate definitve surgery in perforated duodenal ulcer: A comparative study between definitive surgery and simple closure, Indian Journal of Surgery 1996; W.58(10):275-279.
- Hannan A, Islam B, Hussain M, Haque M, Kudrat-E-Khuda M. Early compl-ications of suture closure of perforated duodenal ulcer: A study of 100 cases. TAJ 2005; 18: 122-126.
- Jani K, Saxena AK, Vaghasia R. Omental plugging for large sized duodenal peptic perforation: A prospective randomized study of 100 patients. Southern Medical Journal 2006: 99 (5): 467-471.
- 10. Taylor et al: Recent advances in surgery 17th Edition.
- 11. Jordan Paul H, Thorby Jack. Perforated pyloroduodenal Ulcers. Long term results with omental patch closure and parietal cell vagotomy. Ann. Surg. 1995; 221-5: 479-488.
- John N. Primrose. The stomach and duodenum. Bailey & Love's short practice of surgery. 24<sup>th</sup> edition.Hodder Arnold; 2004; 1026-1046.
- Maingot'sAbdominal operations-10th editin. Haile T. Debas, Sean J. Molvitill, Appleton & Lange Vol. I: p 983-984.
- Shaffer H.A. Perforation and obstruction of the gastrointestintestinal tract: Assessment by conventional radiology. Radio.Clin.North Am. 1992; 30, 405.