Study of Lip Print Pattern: A Digital Approach

Rachana V. Prabhu*, Ajit Dinkar**, Vishnudas Prabhu***

*Reader, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Yenepoya Dental College, Yenepoya University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

**Professor and Head, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Goa Dental College and hospital, Bambolim, Goa, India.

***Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology, Yenepoya Dental College, Yenepoya University, Mangalore, Karnataka, India.

Abstract

Aim: To find the incidence of different types of lip patterns, quadrant wise dominant pattern, and to study various subtypes of Type V pattern

Methodology: Lip prints of 100 students studying in Goa Dental College & Hospital were taken using 14 mm wide and 50 mm long Scotch tape without any distortion. These prints were then scanned (256 gray shades at a resolution of 300 dpi.) for the digital analysis. Using various applications of Adobe Photoshop 7 software an attempt was made to trace each and every line. K. Suzuki and Y. Tsuchihashi's classification was followed to define the patterns of the grooves.

Results: The current study has found the most predominant pattern in all four Quadrants to be Type V followed in order by Type I', Type I, Type II, Type IV and then Type III except in Quadrant IV Type I pattern was found to be more prevelant than Type I'. The present study recorded the following types of type V patterns for the first time; Trifurcations, Bridge or 'H' pattern, Horizontal Lines, Cartwheel, Pineapple Skin and Multiple Branching Appearance

Conclusion: The analysis of Lip prints with digital method provides better visualization, ease in identification and recording of the Lip Print pattern. Predominant pattern in all four quadrants was Type V. Although type V is the most predominant pattern reported in the present study, the sub classification of this type defines the more defined term and aids in accuracy of the classification.

Key words: Lip Prints; Cheiloscopy; Digital analysis; Sub-classification of type V.

Introduction

Cheiloscopy (Quiloscopy) is a method of identification of a person based on characteristic arrangement of lines appearing on the red part of lips [1]. The pattern on the lips which consists of the wrinkles varies from person to person. This unique feature of the arrangement of the lip lines has stirred the researchers to introduce a new method of cheiloscopy to forensic odontology. R. Fischer [1, 2] was the first to describe it in 1902. Suzuki and Tsuchihashi's intense research helped in defining the uniqueness and the stability of the lip prints [3, 4, 5]. Various studies were then carried out to study the lip print pattern in different population [6, 7, 8]. Most of these studies used manual method for the lip print analysis which was found to have its own demerits. The digital method using computer software program, 'Adobe Photoshop 7.0' for the lip print analysis was introduced by the authors for the comprehensible visualization of the lip lines. The present study also reports the new types of Type V pattern found in the Goan population.

Methods

The study was conducted at the Oral Medicine, Diagnosis, & Radiology

Corresponding author: Dr. Rachana V. Prabhu, Reader, Yenepoya Dental College, Yenepoya University, Nithyananda Nagar Post, Deralakatte, Mangalore - 575018, Karnataka.

Email: drrachanaacharya@rediffmail.com

Department of the Goa Dental College & Hospital, Bambolim, Goa, India. The study subjects comprised of 100 subjects selected from amongst the students of the Goa Dental College & Hospital, Bambolim, Goa, India, whose ages ranged between 19 to 28 years. Subjects with inflammation, ulcers, trauma, congenital developmental defects & malformation, deformity & surgical scars (e.g. operation for cleft lip) and other abnormalities of lips were excluded because of their unsuitability for this investigation either because the defect itself was enough for identification or the clinical condition precluded from enrolling the individual in this study. Subjects with allergy to Cosmetics (Lipstick) were also excluded from the study. Informed consent of the subject was taken and they were explained that they would be a part of the Research Program wherein cosmetics had to be used for recording the Lip Prints. The object being the human mouth, special attention was paid to the cleanliness and hygiene while recording the Lip Prints by giving a prophylactic antiseptic mouth rinse followed by cleansing of the upper and lower lips with Povidone-Iodine Cleansing solution. Lip stick application was done stepwise. Firstly the boundaries of the lips were marked with a red colored (Lakme) lip liner to confine the lipstick application within the marked area. The tip of the lip liner was later cleansed with a cotton ball dipped in 'Pure Hands' a herbal hand cleanser, prior to using it on the next person. Lip stick was then uniformly applied with the help of lipstick application brush in a systematic manner to avoid the smudging of the lipstick in the grooves. Once the application of the lipstick to one lip e.g. Upper Lip, was over, the subject was made to wait for 30 seconds for drying of the lipstick. He or she was told to keep the teeth in occlusion and gently retract the opposing lip when the print of the concerned lip was being taken. Lip print was then obtained using 14 mm wide and 50 mm long Scotch tape with gentle pressure on either sides. Since the human lips are so mobile that the strength or the direction of the pressure applied and even the minimal movement of the lip can affect the accuracy

of the Lip Print taken, subject was strictly advised not to change the position and avoid any movement of the lips during the procedure of recording of the Lip Print. The Scotch tape was then stuck onto a plain white A4 size bond paper, with the details like the Serial No., the Name of the Subject and the Date of recording of the Lip Print mentioned on the page. The same procedure was then repeated to record the print of the lower lip. Recording of the upper and lower Lip Prints together was also done using Cellophane Tape (Width: 50 mm & Length: 50 mm), to aid in confirming the midline of the upper and the lower lip. Cleansing agent (Lakme) was used to clean the lips after the procedure. The used lipstick application brush was washed with water and the tips were immersed in diluted 0.5 % Sodium Hypochlorite solution for 30 minutes and washed with water again prior to reuse. Each time while taking the lipstick with the application brush, a separate chemically sterilized brush was used in order to avoid the cross contamination of the lipstick.

The Lip print was then scanned (256 gray shades at a resolution of 300 dpi.) for the digital analysis. Using various applications of Adobe Photoshop 7 software an attempt was made to trace each and every line. K. Suzuki and Y. Tsuchihashi's classification was followed to define the patterns of the grooves. [3, 9] Strict criteria were followed to define Type II, III and IV pattern. While recording Type II pattern, only those lines that bifurcate with the bifurcating lines not in the same straight line as the leg of the 'Y' were considered whereas lines showing trifurcation and multiple branching appearance were considered as Type V. Lines that intersect forming an 'X' pattern, without having any superimposition and the length of the arms of 'X' being almost equal from the point of intersection were considered as Type III pattern. Lines showing multiple interconnections and difficult to categorize in Type I to IV were directly considered as Type V. Each type of pattern was given a color code while recording it digitally as pink, blue, green, red, yellow and orange for type I to V respectively.

Upper and Lower Lip Print images were categorized into two quadrants each, thus producing a total of four quadrants. The four quadrants were named as follows: Upper Right as Quadrant I, Upper Left as Quadrant II, Lower Left as Quadrant III and Lower Right as Quadrant IV. Classified groove patterns were recorded by employing the dental formula generally used. In each quadrant, first 15 lines appearing from the midline to the periphery were recorded in 15 columns. Thus by noting the classified types of grooves, the individual's Lip Print Pattern was recorded.

Results

The current study has found the most predominant pattern in Quadrant I to be Type V (580 lines; 52.39%) followed in order by Type I' (196 lines; 17.70%), Type I (166 lines; 14.99%), Type II (166 lines; 10.47%), Type IV (40 lines; 3.61%), Type III (9 lines; 0.81%). In Quadrant II of this study the most predominant pattern recorded was Type V (589 lines; 50.47%) followed in order by Type I' (209 lines; 17.90%), Type I (204 lines; 17.48%), Type II (130 lines; 11.13%), Type IV (34 lines; 2.91%), Type III (1 line; 0.08%). In Quadrant III of this study the most predominant pattern recorded was again Type V (484 lines; 52.09%) followed in order by Type I' (174 lines; 18.72%), Type I (155 lines; 16.68%), Type II (102 lines; 10.97%), Type IV (9 lines; 0.96%), Type III (5 lines; 0.53%). In Quadrant IV of this study the most predominant pattern recorded was Type V (543 lines; 58.19%) followed in order by Type I (151 lines; 16.18%), Type I' (138 lines; 14.79%), Type II (85 lines; 9.11%), Type III (9 lines; 0.96%), Type IV (7 line; 0.75%). (Tables 1-8)

The present study recorded the following types of V patterns: Cartwheel Appearance, Pineapple Skin Appearance, Trifurcations, Bridge or 'H' pattern, Horizontal Lines (predominantly found in the centre of the upper lip), Multiple Branching Appearance (predominantly found at the lateral or peripheral aspect of the lower lip). (Fig 1-6)

Figure 1: Cartwheel Appearance

| appearance |
|------------|
| skin |
| Pineapple |
| ы |
| figure |

| Quadrant I (Upper Right) | n Prevalence of Lip Lines Starting from Midline to the Periphery | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 6.186 14.77 20.73 19.72 18.18 25.86 28.85 32.61 41.18 13.04 | 22.68 11.36 17.07 15.49 21.21 20.69 19.23 23.91 23.53 43.48 | 8.247 17.05 4.878 22.54 21.21 17.24 15.38 8.696 5.882 8.696 | 1.031 1.136 1.22 0 0 0 0 2.941 4.348 | 2.062 1.136 0 | · 59 79 54 55 56 1 42 25 39 39 36 21 34 62 34 78 26 47 30 43 |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Quadrant I (Uppei | rn Prevalence of Lip L | 6 7 | 1 6.186 14.77 20 | 8 22.68 11.36 17 | 1 8.247 17.05 4.8 | 2 1.031 1.136 1. | 3 2.062 1.136 | 7 59.79 54.55 50 |
| | ercentage Lip Pattern | 3 4 5 | .824 9 11.11 | 2.75 19 18.18 | 0.78 8 9.091 | 0 3 2.02 | .882 1 3.03 | 01.76 60 56.57 |
| | P. | 1 2 | 5.102 9.709 8 | 3.061 22.33 1 | 1.02 5.825 1 | 0 0.971 | 26.53 1.942 5 | 64.29 59.22 6 |
| | Lip Pattern | (| Ι | ľ | Π | III | IV | Λ |

Figure 3: Trifurcations

| | Percentage of Each Pattern | | 17.48071979 | 17.90916881 | 11.13967438 | 0.085689803 | 2.913453299 | 50.47129392 | 100 |
|--------------|-------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|
| Total No. of | Lines of Each | Pattern | 204 | 209 | 130 | 1 | 34 | 589 | 1167 |
| | | 15 | 12 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 32 |
| | | 14 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 7 4 |
| | iphery | 13 | 23 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 50 |
| | he Peri | 12 | 19 | 11 | L | 0 | 0 | 15 | 52 |
| | line to t | 11 | 17 | 18 | L | 0 | 0 | 23 | 65 |
| | m Mid | 10 | 19 | 14 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 72 |
| (t) | ting fro | 6 | 10 | 17 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 6L |
| per Lef | es Start | 8 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 82 |
| t Ii (Up | Lip Lin | ٢ | 12 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 91 |
| ladran | nce of I | 9 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 64 |
| Ō | revale | 2 | 8 | 16 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 59 | 66 |
| | attern F | 4 | 12 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 27 | 102 |
| | Lip Pa | 3 | 12 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 64 | 107 |
| | | 2 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 60 | 101 |
| | | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 64 | 76 |
| | Lip Pattern | • | Ι | Ι, | II | III | IV | Λ | Sum |

| | | 15 | 37.5 | 31.25 | 12.5 | 0 | 0 | 18.75 |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | 8 | 14 | 50 | 20.45 | 4.545 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| | eripher | 13 | 46 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | o The P | 12 | 36.54 | 21.15 | 13.46 | 0 | 0 | 28.85 |
| | lidline T | 11 | 26.15 | 27.69 | 10.77 | 0 | 0 | 35.38 |
| | From M | 10 | 26.39 | 19.44 | 11.11 | 1.389 | 0 | 41.67 |
| eft) | Starting | 6 | 12.66 | 21.52 | 17.72 | 0 | 0 | 48.1 |
| Jpper Le | o Lines 9 | 8 | 15.85 | 17.07 | 17.07 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| ant II (L | ce Of Li _l | 2 | 13.19 | 16.48 | 8.791 | 0 | 0 | 61.54 |
| Quadı | revalene | 9 | 12.77 | 15.96 | 12.77 | 0 | 0 | 58.51 |
| | attern P | 5 | 8.081 | 16.16 | 15.15 | 0 | 1.01 | 59.6 |
| | ge Lip P | 1 | 11.76 | 20.59 | 10.78 | 0 | 86.0 | 55.88 |
| | ercenta | 3 | 11.21 | 16.82 | 8.411 | 0 | 3.738 | 59.81 |
| | H | 2 | 9.901 | 13.86 | 13.86 | 0 | 2.97 | 59.41 |
| | | 1 | 3.093 | 5.155 | 0 | 0 | 25.77 | 65.98 |
| | Lip | Pattern | Ι | ľ | II | III | N | Λ |

| Pattern |
|---------|
| Η |
| or |
| ge |
| σ |
| Bri |
| 4: |
| igure |

Figure 5: Horizontal Lines

| | Percentage of Each Pattern | | 16.6846071 | 18.72981701 | 10.9795479 | 0.538213132 | 0.968783638 | 52.09903122 | 100 |
|----------|-------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----|
| Total No | of Lines of Each | Pattern | 155 | 174 | 102 | 2 | 6 | 484 | 929 |
| | | 15 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| | 7 | 14 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 |
| | riphery | 13 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 24 |
| | the Pe | 12 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 34 |
| | line to | 11 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 38 |
| | m Mid | 10 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 52 |
| ft) | ing fro | 6 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 62 |
| wer Le | s Starti | æ | 6 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 70 |
| III (Lo | p Line | ~ | 14 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 77 |
| adrant | ce of Li | 9 | 13 | 16 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 86 |
| Quê | svalenc | n | 14 | 18 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 87 |
| | ern Pre | 4 | 8 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 53 | 90 |
| | ip Patt | e | 21 | 21 | ß | 1 | 1 | 44 | 93 |
| | L | 7 | 14 | 25 | ъ | 1 | 2 | 49 | 96 |
| | | 1 | 20 | 21 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 43 | 96 |
| | Lin Pattern | | Ι | ľ | II | III | IV | Λ | Sum |

S

| | | | | | | Quadré | unt III (L | ower Le | ift) | | | | | | |
|--------------|-------|-------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|---------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|---------|-------|----|
| I in Pattern | | | Percent | age Lip] | Pattern] | Prevalen | Ice of Li | p Lines | Starting | From N | lidline t | o the Pe | riphery | | |
| TININ T AIT | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ŋ | 9 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| Ι | 20.83 | 14.58 | 22.58 | 8.889 | 16.09 | 15.12 | 18.18 | 12.86 | 12.9 | 21.15 | 10.53 | 20.59 | 25 | 42.86 | 0 |
| ľ | 21.88 | 26.04 | 22.58 | 21.11 | 20.69 | 18.6 | 11.69 | 15.71 | 16.13 | 13.46 | 13.16 | 14.71 | 12.5 | 7.143 | 30 |
| II | 7.292 | 5.208 | 5.376 | 8.889 | 9.195 | 11.63 | 14.29 | 11.43 | 17.74 | 9.615 | 18.42 | 23.53 | 16.67 | 14.29 | 30 |
| III | 1.042 | 1.042 | 1.075 | 0 | 1.149 | 0 | 0 | 1.429 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IV | 4.167 | 2.083 | 1.075 | 2.222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Λ | 44.79 | 51.04 | 47.31 | 58.89 | 52.87 | 54.65 | 55.84 | 58.57 | 53.23 | 55.77 | 57.89 | 41.18 | 45.83 | 35.71 | 40 |

Discussion

Predominant pattern

The gold standard method for the classification of lip prints is the one given by K. Suzuki and Y. Tsuchihashi. Various studies have been carried out to check the most common pattern as per the above classification in different groups of population and also with respect to race and sex of the individual.

Tsuchihashi Y. [9] investigated Lip Prints of 1364 inhabitants of the Metropolitan and rural prefectures of Tokyo, Kanagawa, and Saitama in Japan and revealed that in both sexes Type III was commonest followed in order by Types I, II, IV and V.

A study done by Vahanwala S. P., Parekh B. K.[8] at Mumbai, observed that Type I and II were most commonly seen in the first quadrant. Type II was common in males in second quadrant, Type I dominant in females in third and fourth quadrants, type II was not seen in lower lip and only if it did, it was in male subjects, on the upper lip; they also noticed that in their studied population, Type III pattern doesn't occur in third and fourth quadrant at all.

Sivapathasundharam B., Prakash P. A. [10] studied 200 subjects at Chennai and recorded Type III pattern as the predominant one and Type IV as least commonly occurring.

Manipady S. [11] studied Lip Prints of 100 subjects studying at Kasturba Medical College and International Centre for Health Sciences, Manipal, 50 each of Indian and Chinese origin, including male and female in the age group of 18 – 22 years, concludes by stating that the incidence of Type II pattern is the most commonest pattern seen in the studied subjects and that the pattern of distribution is not affected by race or sex.

Molano M. A., Gil J. H., Jaramillo J. A. [12], found among 168 dental students from the College of Dentistry of the University of Antioquia, that the Type III pattern (Suzuki-Tsuchihashi), is the most common one among the population studied, this finding coincides with the results observed in previous studies done in subjects of a race different than the South American crossbred.

The current study has found the most predominant pattern in Quadrant I is Type V (580 lines; 52.39%) followed in order by Type I' (196 lines; 17.70%), Type I (166 lines; 14.99%), Type II (166 lines; 10.47%), Type IV (40 lines; 3.61%), Type III (9 lines; 0.81%).

In Quadrant II of this study the most predominant pattern recorded was Type V (589 lines; 50.47%) followed in order by Type I' (209 lines; 17.90%), Type I (204 lines; 17.48%), Type II (130 lines; 11.13%), Type IV (34 lines; 2.91%), Type III (1 line; 0.08%).

In Quadrant III of this study the most predominant pattern recorded was again Type V (484 lines; 52.09%) followed in order by Type I' (174 lines; 18.72%), Type I (155 lines; 16.68%), Type II (102 lines; 10.97%), Type IV (9 lines; 0.96%), Type III (5 lines; 0.53%).

In Quadrant IV of this study the most predominant pattern recorded was Type V (543 lines; 58.19%) followed in order by Type I (151 lines; 16.18%), Type I' (138 lines; 14.79%), Type II (85 lines; 9.11%), Type III (9 lines; 0.96%), Type IV (7 line; 0.75%).

It is seen that in all four quadrants the predominant pattern was Type V followed by Type I' and then I in quadrants I, II and III whereas in Quadrant IV, Type V was followed by Type I and then I'. The least commonly seen pattern was Type III in Quadrants I, II, and III whereas in Quadrant IV it was Type IV.

Our result of the least predominant pattern i.e. Type III was in complete contrast with Tsuchihashi Y.,[9] Sivapathasundharam B., Prakash PA.[10] and Molano M. A., Gil J. H., Jaramillo J. A.[12] who revealed that this pattern was the most predominant pattern in their studies.

One of the reason for Type III pattern being the least predominant and Type V being the most predominant could be because of either geographical variation in the occurrence of lip print pattern or the strict standards of line pattern identification that was followed in the present study as discussed above.

Sub-classification of Type V

Type V are the grooves that do not fall into any of the categories and cannot be differentiated morphologically. Instead of just giving a generalized term as Type V pattern, it is found necessary to sub classify the Type V pattern, wherever possible, so as to give a more defined term that will further add in accuracy of the classification. The authors encountered a study which reports the highest recorded horizontal groove type (68.7% in females and 42.7% in males) in Saudi Arabia population [13]. The present study reports six new types of Type V patterns for the first time and thus can be considered in the subclassification of Type V pattern.

Conclusion

Following conclusions can be drawn from the observations of the current study:

1. Predominant pattern in all four quadrants was Type V followed by the linear pattern i.e. Type I' in quadrants I, II, and III and Type I in quadrant IV in the studied population.

2. Type III and IV were the least commonly seen pattern in all four quadrants.

3. The technique followed for collection of the Lip Prints using lipstick as a recording media and Scotch Tape as a transferring media with proper stabilization of the lips while recording can be adopted as a good technique to obtain a definable Lip print image.

4. The digital method of analyzing the Lip Print images using Adobe Photoshop 7 software serves as a convenient method that provides better visualization and ease in identification and recording of the Lip Print pattern. It also serves as an ideal method of permanently storing the data which will help in keeping an ante- mortem record of an individual.

References

- 1. Kasprzak J. Possibilities of Cheiloscopy. *Forensic Sci Int* 1990; 46: 145–51.
- Kasprzak J. *Cheiloscopy*. In: Encyclopedia of Forensic Sciences. Jay A. Siegal, Saukko PJ., Geoffrey C. Knupfer (eds). August 2000; 1: 358– 61.
- Suzuki K, Tsuchihashi Y. A New Attempt of Personal Identification by Means of Lip Print. *Canadian Society of Forensic Science* 1971; 4: 154-58.
- 4. Suzuki K, Tsuchihashi Y. New Attempt of Personal Identification by Means of Lip Print. *JIDA* 1970; 42: 8-9.
- Suzuki K, Tsuchihashi Y. Personal Identification by Means of Lip Prints. *J Forensic Medicine* 1970; 17(2): 52-57.
- 6. Varghese A J, Somesekar M, Babu U R. A study on Lip prints types among the people of kerala. J Indian Acad Forensic Med 2010; 32: 6-7

- Domiaty M A, Al-gaidi S A, Elayat A A, Safwat M D, Galal S A. Morphological patterns of lip prints in Saudi Arabia at Almadinal Almonawarah province. *Forensic Sci Int* 2010; 200:179e 1-9
- 8. Vahanwala SP, Parekh BK. Study of lip prints as an aid to forensic methodology. *J Forensic Med Toxicol* 2000; 17: 12-18.
- 9. Tsuchihashi Y. Studies on Personal Identification by Means of Lip Prints. *Forensic Sci* 1974; 3: 233-48.
- 10. Sivapathasundharam B. et. al. Lip Prints (Cheiloscopy). *Ind J Dent Res* 2001; 12(4): 234-37.
- 11. Manipady S. *A comparative study of lip print patterns among Indians and Chinese in Manipal* (Dissertation). Manipal; Manipal Academy of Higher Education, 2001- 2002.
- 12. Molano MA, Gil J. H., Jaramillo JA, et al. *Revista Facultad De Odontología Universidad De Antioquia* 2002; 14(1).
- 13. Domiaty MA, Al-gaidi SA, Elayat AA, Safwat MD, Galal SA. *Forensic Sci Int* 2010; 200: 179.