

Foraging Behaviour of Indian Honey Bee, *Apis Cerana Indica* Fab, (Apidae: Hymenoptera): A Review

R Padmavathi¹, P Sethuraj²

How to cite this article:

R Padmavathi, P Sethuraj/Foraging Behaviour of Indian Honey Bee, *Apis Cerana Indica* Fab, (Apidae: Hymenoptera): A Review/Indian J Biol 2022; 9(2):63-72.

Abstract

Foraging behavior is one of the distinctive behaviours of honey bees, *Apis cerana indica*. This behaviour is the link between the honey bee colony and the ambient environment. Therefore, various in colony and out-colony factors have an impact on this behaviour. Foraging behavior is not advantageous only for the colony and for plant pollination but also has other benefits. In contrast, some disadvantages have also been discovered to be linked with foraging activity. This paper presents a review on foraging activity including; the regulation of foraging tasks, factors impacting this behaviour, foraging preference, variations between subspecies, monitoring methods as well as the possible methods for controlling this behaviour.

Keywords: Colonies; *Apis Cerana Indica*; Flying; Workers; Ethology.

INTRODUCTION

The forager bees are workers with an age of over 21 days, at which time they shift to perform Out-colony tasks including water, nectar, pollen or resin collection. The division of labour and the change of the nurse bees to perform foraging tasks were suggested to be impacted by colony factors (Huang and Robinson 1996)³⁷, elevated levels of the foraging gene (*Amfor*) (Ben Shahr et al. 2003)¹² and / or the variations in the abundance of mRNA (Whitfield et al. 2003)⁸⁶ in the worker's brain. Also,

many other factors were suggested to have a key role in the shifting of worker bees from In-colony tasks to Out-colony tasks. The anticipation of the commencement of foraging is associated with an increased titre of juvenile hormone (JH) in foragers which is not affected by foraging experience but by diurnal variations (Elekonich et al.2001).²⁷

Further, Schulz et al. (2002)⁷¹ found higher octopamine concentrations in the antennal lobes of the bee brain in foragers compared to nurses regardless of the age. They also found that changes in octopamine are modulated by juvenile hormone. The earlier age of foraging activity commencement (shifting to Out-colony tasks) was found to be affected by bovine insulin treatments (Mott and Breed 2012).⁵⁴ In foraging commencement and death was found to be associated with increased vitelogenin levels (Amdam et al. 2009).⁸ Generally, the foraging skills and the number of forager workers are increased with age (Dukas and

Author's Affiliation: ¹Assistant Registrar, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi 630003, Tamil Nadu, India.

Corresponding Author: P. Sethuraj, Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, Alagappa University, Karaikudi 630003, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: drponnuseturaj@yahoo.co.in

Received date: 02.06.2022

Accepted date: 05.07.2022



Visscher 1994).²⁶ Additionally, the forager bees have different n-alkane profiles than the nurse bees with a higher quantity of n-alkane which may help the forager bees to tolerate the ambient conditions (Kather et al. 2011).⁴⁰ Still more studies are required to elucidate the mechanisms influencing the shifting of the nurse bees to forager bees.

FORAGING TASKS AND THEIR CATEGORIES

The forager bees can be classified into two categories; scout bees which search for the best food resource and the reticent bees which wait in the beehive until the scout bees return and give them information about the food source by dancing. The reticent bees, in general range from 40 – 90% of the total forager population (Nest and Moore 2012).⁵⁵ Liang et al. (2012)⁴⁷ found extensive differences between honey bee food scouts and the other foragers with regard to brain gene expression including catecholamine, glutamate, and aminobutyric acid signaling. Under some ecological conditions, a temporal shift from foraging activity to sleeping (napping) may occur (Klein and Seely 2011).⁴³ Forager bees collect, foraging activity can be classified into water, nectar, pollen or resin foraging. On rare occasions, forager bees can also collect wax from scale insects, *Ceroplastes* sp. (Dimou and Thrasylvoulou 2007).²⁵ The type of foraging, whether for pollen or nectar, is a colony level trait with a genetic component (Hunt et al 1995)³⁸, and is affected by the genotype of bee strain (Pankiw et al. 2002).⁶¹ Also, these tasks depend on collective and individual decisions of forager bees. The prior experience at a feeding place plays a role during collective foraging (Fernandez and Farina 2005).²⁹ Another important factor for the type of foraging task is sucrose response thresholds, (Pankiw and Page (2000) 60 found differences between honey bee workers in their thresholds to sucrose; the lowest threshold was found in water foragers, then pollen foragers, then nectar foragers followed by foragers of both pollen and nectar.

They correlated these differences with the division of labour of forager bees. Simone-Finstorm et al. (2010)⁷⁵ found that the sucrose response thresholds of the resin foragers were lower than pollen foragers. Thus, honey bee workers with low sucrose response thresholds start foraging behaviour of for water and pollen earlier and at younger ages than workers with high sucrose response thresholds which forage for nectar (Pamkiw 2005).⁵⁹

The correlation between foraging behaviour and sucrose response thresholds (foraging behaviour

syndrome) was reviewed by Pankiw (2005).⁵³ The sucrose concentration response threshold is affected by rearing environment (Pankiw et al. 2002)⁶¹ and responds positively to bovine insulin treatments (Mott and Breed 2012).⁵⁴ Pollen foragers is related to the colony conditions and foragers use their experience in trophallactic contacts to assess the pollen need of their colonies (Weidenmuller and Tautz 2002).⁸⁵ Under shortages of pollen or in conditions of poor pollen quality, honey bee colonies increase the proportion of pollen foragers without increasing foraging rate (Pernal and Currie 2010).⁶³

FORAGING TIME

The foraging activity of honey bee is initiated in early morning and finishes in the evening. In some studies, honey bee workers started foraging activity at 6.17 am (Joshi and Joshi 2010)³⁹ but this commencement time can be greatly impacted by the region. Under desert conditions, Alqarni (2006)⁰⁷ found that a higher number of foragers left the colonies at 8 am than at 10 am. In general, the foraging activity fluctuates during the day from the morning until the evening. Reyes-Carrillo et al.(2007)⁶⁶ found high pollen collection in the early morning while low amounts of pollen were collected in the afternoon. Pernal and Currie (2010)⁶³ reported a higher foraging rate mean during the afternoon period (36.02 foragers/min) than during the morning period (17.66 foragers/min). Yucel and Duman (2005)⁸⁹ found that honey bee workers visited onion flowers from 8.15 to 16.30 h and the peak foraging was between 11.00 to 12.00 h.

Foragers have the ability to remember the time of the day at which the higher food resources are available as found with *Sysirinchium palmifolium* plants (Silva et al. 2013)⁷⁴ and such ability may correlate with foraging activity peaks. Bees spend different times per flower depending on the plant species. The time spent per flower was 6.92, 6.50 and 5.54 s for Chinese cabbage, broccoli and kohlrabi, respectively (Sushil et al. 2013).⁷⁷ There are numerous factors that may impact foraging activity.

FORAGING DISTANCE

The energy hypothesis which suggests that foragers estimate the feeder distance (food resource) based on the spent energy during foraging flight is now considered as incorrect and another hypothesis based on optical flow was suggested (Esch and Burns 1996).²⁸ Both hypotheses can be considered

as integrated explanations in as much as the energy spent during flight as well as the speed motion of the ground image received by the retina are both essential for estimating distance as well for distance calculation. The mean foraging distance for *A.m.carnica* was 1526.1m while foraging distance of pollen - collecting bees had a mean of 1743 m in simple landscape and 1543.4m in complex landscapes (Steffan-Dewenter and Kuhn 2003).⁷⁶

The mean of foraging distance for small colonies of *A.m. mellifera* was 670 m and for large colonies it was 620m in July, while the values were 1430 m for small colonies and 2850m for large colonies in August (Beekman et al.2004).¹¹ Hagler et al. (2011)³⁵ found that the foraging range of honey bees ranged from 45 m to 5983m. Under desert conditions, water foragers can fly upto 2 km from their colonies to collect water (Visscher et al. 1996).⁸¹ It seems that the foraging distance for colonies in the same region is impacted by race, colony strength, food resource, month and the time of the day.

FORAGING PREFERENCE

Forager bees prefer the collection of water, nectar, pollen or resin from some resources over others. Foraging preference; only a few examples are presented here. Water foragers were noticed to prefer continuous water sources than stable ones as well as large water containers than small ones as well as large water containers than small ones (Abou-Shaara 2012).⁰¹ Also, forager bees have a preference to collect water from some unusual sources (e.g.cow dung) over clean water (Butler 1940).¹⁸ Nectar foragers sometimes prefer one food source over another as well as the specific position of one flower over another. Sushil et al. (2013)⁷⁷ found that more honey bee foragers visited broccoli followed by kohlrabi and finally Chinese cabbage with 6.05, 5.35 and 5.05 bees/ plant, respectively.

Mayer and Lunden (1988)⁵¹ found more nectar foragers on the top of the flowers of Manchurian crabapple than red delicious apple. Fohouo et al. (2008) 30 found the highest number of forager workers was on *Syzygium guineense* var. *guineense* and the lowest number on *Psorospermum febrifugum*. Some bees used the flower base while others use the flower mouth. Honey bees have a preference for apple tree branches located in the middle of trees rather than for those branches located higher up or lower (Mattu et al. 2012).⁵¹ Similarly, pollen and resin foragers prefer some resources over others.

FORAGING BEHAVIOUR OF HONEY BEE

The differences between foraging activity of honey bee subspecies can be explained partly by the variations in their morphological characteristics. Bees with large wings were reported to have higher flying ability than small ones (Mostajeran et al.2006).⁵³ Higginson et al.(2011)³⁶ found that bees with damaged wings had less foraging trips and flew closer to the hive than healthy ones. Positive correlations were found between foraging activity and sealed brood area as well as bee number (Abou-Shaara et al. 2013).⁰³ Also, the adaption of honey bee subspecies to certain environmental conditions may influence the foraging activity (Alquani 2006).⁰⁷

FACTORS IMPACTING FORAGING ACTIVITY

Many factors that can impact foraging activity. These factors can be divided into two major groups: in-colony factors and out-colony factors. The first group (in-colony factors) include: queen presence and case (virgin or mated). Higher foraging activity with less pollen collection was found in colonies headed by virgin queens than colonies headed by mated queens while lower foraging activity and pollen collection were found in queenless colonies than in colonies with a mated or virgin queen (Free et al. 1985b).³² Foraging activity is impacted by colony strength and brood rearing activity (Amdam et al.2009,⁰⁸ Abou Shaara et al. 2013),⁰² and the degree of pollen need (Weidenmullar and Tautz 2002). Beehive type also has an impact on the foraging activity of honey bees (Abou Shaara et al. 2013).⁰³

The infection of honey bee foragers with diseases and parasites such as *Nosema* sp. or *Varrao* destructor may result in the inability of foragers to return to their colonies or increased time to return (Kralj and Fuchs 2006;⁴⁴ Kralj and Fuchs 2010).⁴⁵ The genotype of honey bee strains (e.g.high and low pollen hoarding bees) strongly affected foraging behaviour for nectar or for pollen (Pankiw et al. 2002).⁶¹ Beside these factors, ovariole number can influence nectar collection by honey bee workers (Siegel et al. 2012).⁷³ The availability of suitable plant resources has a great impact on foraging activity, and forager bees have a preference for some resource over others. Moreover, Fulop and Menzel (2000)³³ found that the reward volume (e.g.sucrose solution or nectar) has an impact on foraging activity and that bees can perceive the

amount of reward from the feeding source.

Environmental factors which influence foraging activity, *A. mellifera* bees were observed to commence their foraging activity at ambient temperatures with a mean of 6.57°C (Tan et al. 2012)⁷⁸ while in another study, this value was found to be 16 °C (Joshi and Joshi 2010).³⁹ At ambient temperatures of about 20°C, the highest activity was recorded (Tan et al. 2012)⁰³ while at 43°C the lowest foraging activity was found (Blazyte-Cereskiene et al. 2010) as well as at or below 10°C (Joshi and Joshi 2010).¹⁵ Further, a significant negative correlation ($r=-0.09$) was found between foraging activity and temperature (Abou-Shaara et al. 2013).³ Thus, it is expected that foraging activity is influenced passively by elevated temperature as found by Cooper and Schaffer with pollen foragers. In contrast, relative humidity had less of an effect on flight activity (Joshi and Joshi 2010).³⁹

Other environmental factors can have an impact on foraging activity. Collins et al. (1997)²¹ found no impact of solar ultraviolet-B (UV-B) on the foraging activity of honey bees on two species of mustard, *Brassica nigra* grown under controlled conditions. Mattu et al. (2012)⁵¹ reported that altitude influenced foraging activity and trips as well as the number of flowers visited per minute. Further, Sharma and Kumar (2010)⁴⁶ found a negative effect of an electromotive field on foraging behaviour. Surprisingly, diesel exhaust can diminish the foraging efficiency of honey bee workers by reducing the ability of workers bees to recognize floral odours (Girling et al. 2013).³⁴ Foraging behaviour also be influenced by natural enemies of honey bees. In the United Kingdom Kirk et al. (1995)⁴² found that the pollen beetle *Meligethesaeneus* (Nitidulidae) influenced the foraging behaviour of honey bees on oilseed rape flowers: forager bees preferred fully open flowers without beetles on them. Foraging activity can also be affected by the presence of predators (e.g. hornets) and a reduction in the foraging visits by 55-79% and residence times by 17-33% was previously reported (Tan et al. 2013).⁷⁹ Also, the presence of bee-eaters impacted passively on foraging activity (Ali and Taha 2012).⁰⁶

Insecticides may also influence foraging behaviour. Yang et al. (2008)⁸⁷ reported effects of sublethal doses of imidacloprid on the foraging behaviour of honey bees which manifested as a delay in their visit to the feeding site. Schneider et al. (2012)⁷⁰ found a significant reduction in foraging activity as well as longer foraging flights at doses of two neonicotinoid insecticides; 0.5 ng/bee or more for clothianidin and 1.5ng/bee or more for imidacloprid

during the first 3 h after treatment. In contrast, the presence of residues in the nectar and pollen of oil seed rape and maize due to seed treatment with thiamethoxam was reported to represent a low risk to honey bees (Pilling et al. 2013).⁶⁵

Other factors may also have an impact on foraging behaviour. For example, foraging distance was found to be affected by the time of year (Steffan-Dewenter and Kuhn 2003;⁷⁶ Beekman et al. 2004).¹¹ Pearce et al. (2013)⁶² found no considerable effects of moving beehives from their location to another location as far as 26 km from their original site on honey bee foraging activity. Sushil et al. (2013)⁷⁷, meanwhile, found that foragers spent less time in a flower under open conditions than in net house conditions. Brittain et al. (2013)¹⁷ observed alterations in honey bee foraging behaviour in California almond orchards due to the presence of other bee species communities. Picard - Nizou et al. (1995)⁶⁴ genetically modified by the introduction of a chitinase gene to enhance disease resistance on the foraging behaviour of honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L).

MONITORING OF FORAGING ACTIVITY

Foraging activity is measured by employing different parameters including, the foraging commencement or / and cessation time (Joshi and Joshi 2010;³⁹ Mattu et al. 2012;⁵¹ Tan et al. 2012)⁷⁸; the number of bees returning to the beehive (Beekman et al. 2004;¹¹ Pernal and Currie 2010; Ali 2011)⁰⁵ or leaving beehives (Alquarni 2006)⁰⁷ or both (Abou-Shaara et al. 2013);⁰³ the peak of foraging over time (Malerbo-Souza 2011);⁴⁹ foraging speed and foraging distance by decoding of the waggle dance (Pearce et al. 2013).⁶² Other parameters related to foraging activity and visiting of plants include, number of foragers per flower (Sushil et al. 2013)⁷⁷ the number of visited flowers per forager (Mattu et al. 2012)⁵⁰ and time spent per flower (Sushil et al. 2013)⁷⁷ nectar and pollen collection method from the blooms (Mackenzie 1994)⁴⁸ the position of the forager bees on or at the side of the flower (Mayer and Lunden 1988)⁵¹ Mattu et al. (2012)⁵⁰ the position of visited branches and flowers (Mattu et al. 2012)⁵⁰ the proportion of pollen or nectar foragers relative to total foragers; foraging type; the load of pollen and pollen type; concentration of crop nectar sucrose (Pearce et al. 2013)⁶² and competition with other pollinators (Mackenzie 1994)⁴⁸ Brittain et al. 2013).¹⁷

Also, some studies monitor foraging activity under net conditions (Sushil et al. 2013).⁷⁷ Marking and recapturing forager worker has been used in

certain studies (Akinwande and Badejo 2009).⁰⁴ Hagler et al.(2011)³⁵ used self-marking devices for studying the foraging range of honey bees on an alfalfa seed production field. Colin et al.(2004)²⁰ developed a method to quantify the foraging activity of small colonies of honey bees confined. In some studies, syrup foraging rate was investigated (e.g. Paleolog 2009).⁵⁷ Harmonic radar can also be used in recording the flight paths of foraging honey bee workers (Riley and Smith 2002;⁶⁷ Riley et al.2007).⁶⁸ A standard protocol for monitor foraging behaviour was presented by Scheiner et al.(2013)⁶⁹ and other protocols for studying plant pollination by hiney bees were reported by Dlaplane et al.(2013).²⁴ However, according to the objectives of a given study, any of the previously mentioned parameters can be used.

Monitoring of foraging activity there are some important factors that should be taken into consideration including, the equal strength of the studied bee colonies especially the number of brood and pollen frames; the presence of any disease in the studied colonies; the time of day and year; temperature and relative humidity as well as the presence of bee competitors or predators. Forager bees can be collected from the hive entrance by using forceps in front of the colonies as well as using an aspirator (Yucel and Duman 2005)⁸⁹ (Wang Y, Et Al. 2010) Weaver 1965)⁸⁴ (Vries,1998)⁸²

BASIC IMPORTANCE OF FORAGING ACTIVITY

The basic importance of foraging activity for honey bee colonies in collecting pollen, nectar, water and resin there are numerous reports of its importance for plant pollination(e.g.,Young et al.2007)⁸⁸ especially for plants where honey bees are the primary pollinator. A vast number of species were found to be honey bee-pollinated plants including, high bush blueberry; apple and pears; almonds; Cantaloupe; rape varieties; and others (e.g.Boylan-Pett et al.1991;¹⁸ Mayer and Lunden 1988⁵¹ Reyes-Corillo et al.2007;⁶⁶ Blazyte-Creskiene et al.2010).¹⁶ In a study by Sushil et al.(2013)⁷⁷ honey bees were found to have a key role in increasing the seed production of htree crops; broccoli, kohlrabi and Chinese Cabbage. Also, an increase in the seed quality and quantity of onion, *Allium cepa*, cultivar Valencia was found (Yucel and Duman 2005).⁸⁹ Mishra et al .(2013)⁵² found other benefits besides pollination to be mediated by foragers; namely the deposition of nitrogen (in faeces) on plant during

visits.

They found about 2.27 to 2.69g nitrogen per month as the mean production rate of bee frass by a 5000-bee colony. Forager bees also have the ability to distribute certain biocontrol agents including *Erwinia herbicola* Eh252 of fire blight onto apple flowers as well as onto nashi flowers (Cornish et al.1998).²² To maximize the benefits of forager bees in spreading biocontrol agents, a new high-performance 'Triwaks' dispenser was developed (Bilu et al. 2004).¹⁴

The foraging activity of honey bees is very important as a bioindicator for indirect studies of environmental contamination with pesticides (e.g. Balayiannis and Balayiannis 2008).¹⁰ Foraging bees can be even be trained using proboscis extension reflex conditioning for the detection of TNT. The foraging activity of honey bees has also been used to help monitor flowering plant species in an area. Foraging bees can also be used in the identification of pest infestation (e.g. fruit flies; Chamberlain et al. 2012).¹⁹ Beekeepers can benefit from the foraging behaviour of their colonies by fixing pollen traps or venom collection boards in front of hives to collect pollen or bee venom, respectively.

Foraging behaviour also has importance in computer science. It is known that forager bees can select their food sources in an optimal way although many food resources may be available (Thuijsman et al.1995).⁸⁰ Thus, honey bee foraging behaviour and related skills in food scouting and collection (Swarm intelligence) was used in computer scince to solve many optimization problems. Swarm intelligence is currently an important field in Artificial intelligence (Kumar and Govindaraj 2013).⁴⁶ Baig and Rashid (2007)⁰⁹ presented an algorithm based on the swarming of honey bees called Honey Bee Foraging (HBF), which they proposed as useful for multimodal and dynamic nature optimization problems. (Beyaert, et al.,2102)¹³

DISADVANTAGES OF FORAGING ACTIVITY

There are some advantages associated with the activities are, honey bee foragers are able to transmit the bacteria *Erwinia amylovora*, the cause of fire blight of apple and pears (Keitt 1941).⁴¹ Also, as found by Boylan-Pett et al.(1991)¹⁶ forager bees play a key role in the transmission and spread of pollen-borne blueberry leaf mottle virus (BBLMV).

This virus has the ability to remain infectious within honey bee colonies for at least 10 days. Honey bees are not effective pollinators of some plants; for example, Mackenzie (1994)⁴⁸ found that bumble bees were better than honey bees in cranberry pollination (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait). Bee-to-bee contact can also result in the transmission of bee parasites from one forager to another. Moreover, honey bees can transmit different mite species from plant to plant or even to their colonies. Foragers can also collect poisonous pollen of some plant species and subsequently store these pollination in their colonies with harmful consequences for the colony's health.

CONTROLLING FORAGING ACTIVITY

It has been found that treatment with certain chemicals can enhance foraging activity. Pankiw (2004)⁵⁸ found, using a suspended glass plate containing synthetic brood pheromone in isopropanol that colonies treated with this brood pheromone had higher ratio of pollen to non-pollen foragers entering colonies 1 h after the treatment. Mott and breed (2012)⁵⁴ found that bovine insulin treatments increased the threshold of the bees' sucrose response and significantly decreased the age at which foraging activity commenced for winter worker bees and summer nurse bees, respectively. Also, Schulz et al.(2002)⁷¹ found an earlier commencement of foraging in young bees in colonies treated with octopamine. Additionally, the pollination mediated by honey bees, *A.mellifera*, can be improved by the presence of other bee species in the orchards as found by Brittain et al.(2013)¹⁷ in California almond orchards. In addition, the use of modified beehives demonstrated by Abou-Shaara et al.(2013)⁰³, can improve foraging activity.

In contrast, Free et al. (1985a)³¹ found that treatment of oil-seed rape, field beans and sunflower heads with 2-heptanone and isopentyl acetate (honey bee alarm pheromones) were repellent to honeybee foragers. Kirk et al.(1995)⁴² found that the simulation of adult beetles using black spots on flower petals deterred nectar foraging honey bees from landing on the flowers. Also, certain pesticides are repellent to honey bees.

CONCLUSION

The importance of foraging activity is generally recognized, foraging activity in some honey bee subspecies is not yet well elucidated. Further studies

are required on both the in-colony and out-colony factors that affect foraging activity. In addition, possible methods for reducing the passive impact of foraging activity on plants and their colonies need to be investigated.

REFERENCES

1. Abou-Shaara HF(2012): Notes on water collection by honey bees. *Bee World* 89, 50-51.
2. Abou-Shaara HF, AL-Ghamdi AA, MohamedAA(2012): Tolerance of two honey bee races to various temperature and relative humidity gradients. *Environmental and Experimental Biology* 10, 133-138.
3. Abou-Shaara HF, AL-Ghamdi AA, Mohamed AA(2013): Honey bee colonies performance enhance by newly modified beehives. *Journal of Apicultural Science* 57, 45-57.
4. Akinwande KL, Badejo MA(2009): Effect of artificial modification of the feeding activity of non-foraging and foraging worker bees (*Apis mellifera* Adanson L.) (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on honey and comb production. *Journal of Applied Science Research* 5, 780-784.
5. Ali MAM (2011): Comparative study for evaluating two honey bee races, *Apis mellifera* Jementica(indigenous race) and *Apis mellifera* carnica (carniolan race) in brood production, population development and foraging activity under the environmental conditions of the central region of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences* 56, 127-134.
6. Ali MAM, Taha EA (2012): Bee-Eating birds (Coraciiformes: Meropidae) reduce virgin honey bee queen survival during mating flights and foraging activity of honey bees(*Apis mellifera* L.). *International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research* 3, 1-8.
7. Alquarni AS(2006): Tolerance of summer temperature in imported and Indigenous honeybee *Apis mellifera* L.Races in central Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*13,123-127.
8. Amdam GV, Rueppell O, Fondrk MK, Page RE, Nelson CM (2009): The nurse's load: early-life exposure to brood rearing affects behaviour and lifespan in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Experimental Gerontology* 44, 447-452.
9. Baig AR, Rashid M(2007): Honeybee foraging algorithm for multimodal and dynamic optimization problems. *Proceedings of the 9th Annual Conference on Genetic and Evolutionary Computation*, July 7-11, United Kingdom.
10. Balayiannis G, Balayiannis P (2008) : Bee honey

- as an environmental bio indicator of pesticides' occurrence in six agricultural areas of Greece. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology* 55, 462-470. DOI : 10.1007 /s00244-007-9126-x.
11. Beekman M, Sumpter DJT, Seraphides N, Ratnieks FLW (2004): Comparing foraging behaviour of small and large honey-bee colonies by decoding waggle dances made by foragers. *Functional Ecology* 18, 829-835.
 12. Ben Shahr Y, Leung H-T, Pak WL, Sokolowski MB, Robinson GE (2003): cGMP- dependent changes in phototaxis; a possible role for the foraging gene in honey bee division of labour. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 206, 2507-2515.
 13. Beyaert L, Greggers U, Menzel R(2012): Honey bees consolidate navigation memory during sleep. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 215, 3981-3988. DOI:10.1242/jeb.075499
 14. Bilu A, Dag A, Elad Y, Shafir S(2004): Honey bee dispersal of Biocontrol Science and Technology 14, 607-617.
 15. Blazyte- Cereskiene L, Vaitkeviciene G, Venskutyte S, Buda V (2010): Honey bee foraging in spring oilseed rape crops under high ambient temperature conditions. *Zemdirbyse – Agriculture* 97, 61-70.
 16. Boylan –Pett W, Ramsdell DC, Hoopingarner RA, Hancock JF(1991): Honey bee foraging behaviour, in-hive survival of infectious, pollen – borne blueberry leaf mottle virus and transmission of the virus in highbush blueberry, *Phytopathology* 81, 1407-1412.
 17. Brittain C, Williams N, Kermen C, Klein A-M(2013): Synergistic effects of non-*Apis* bees and honey bees for pollination services. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 280, 20122767. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2012.2767
 18. Butler CG (1940): The choice of drinking water by the honey bee. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 17, 253-261.
 19. Chamberlain K, Breins M, Jacobs JH, Clark SJ, Pickett JA (2012): Use of the honey bees (*Apis Mellifera* L.) to detect the presence of Mediterranean fruit fly (*Ceratitidis capitata* Wiedemann) larvae in Valencia oranges. *Journal of the science of Food and Agriculture* 92, 2050-2054. DOI:10.1002/ jsfa.5742
 20. Colin ME, Bonmatin JM, Moineau I, Gaimon C, Brun S, Vermandere JP(2004): A method of quantify and analyze the foraging activity of honey bees: relevance to the sublethal effects induced by systemic insecticides. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 47, 387-395. DOI:10.1007/ s00244-044-3052-y
 21. Collins SA, Conner JK, Robinson GE(1997): Foraging behaviour of honey bees (*Apis merifella*) foraging in the Sonoran desert. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 114, 1-5.
 22. Cornish DA, Voyle MD, Haine HM, Goodwin RM, Vannest JL(1998): Distribution of beneficial bacteria on nashi and apple flowers using honeybees. *Proceedings of 51st Newzeland plant protection Conference*, 107-111.
 23. Danka RG, Sylvester HA, Boykin D (2006): Environmental influences on flight activity of USDA-ARS Russian and Italian Stocks of honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) during almond pollination. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 99, 1565-1570.
 24. Delaplane KS, Dag A, Danka RG, Freitas BM, Garibaldi LA, Goodwin RM, Hormaza JI(2013): Standard methods for pollination research with *Apis mellifera*. *Journal of Apicultural Research* 52, DOI:10.3896/ IBRA.1.52.4.12
 25. Dimou M, Thrasyvoulou A(2007): Collection of wax scale (*Ceroplastes* sp) by the honey bee *Apis mellifera*. *Journal of Apicultural Research* 46, 129.
 26. Dukas R, Visscher PK (1994): Lifetime learning by foraging honeybees. *Animal Behaviour* 48, 1007-1012.
 27. Elekonich MM, Schulz DJ, Bloch G, Robinson GE(2001): Juvenile hormone levels in honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L) foragers: foraging experience and diurnal variation. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 47, 1119-1125.
 28. Esch HE, Burns JE (1996): Distance estimation by foraging honeybees. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 199, 155-162
 29. Fernandez PC, Farina WM(2005): Collective nectar foraging at low reward conditions in honeybees *Apis mellifera*. *Apidologie* 36, 301-311
 30. Fohouo FT, Djonwangwe D, Bruckner D (2008): Foraging behaviour of the African honeybee (*Apis mellifera adansonii*) on *Annona senegalensis*, *Croton macrostachyus*, *Psorospermum febrifugum* and *Syzygium guineense* var. *Guineese* flowers at Ngaoundere (Cameroon). *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 11, 719-725
 31. Free JB, Pickett JA, Ferguson AW, Simpkins JR, Smith MC (1985a): Repelling foraging honeybees with alarm pheromones. *Journal of Biological Sciences* 105, 255-260
 32. Free JB, Ferguson AW, Simpkins JR(1985b): Influence of virgin queen honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) on queen rearing and foraging. *Psychological Entomology* 10, 271-274.
 33. Fulop A, Menzel R (2000): Risk – indifferent foraging behaviour in honeybees. *Animal Behaviour* 60, 657-666.
 34. Girling RD, Lusebrink I, Farthing E, Newman

- TA, Poppy GM(2013).: Diesel exhaust rapidly degrades floral odours used by honeybees. *Scientific Reports* 3, 2779. DOI: 10.1038/srep02779.
35. Hagler JR< Mueller S, Teuber LR, Machtley SA, Van Deynze A(2011): Foraging range of honeybees, *Apis mellifera*, in alfalfa seed production fields. *Journal of Insect Science* 11, 144: available online: insects.cience.org/11.144.
 36. Higginson AD, Barnard CJ, Tofilski A, Medina L, Ratneiks F (2011): Experimental wing damage effects foraging effort and foraging distance in honey bees *Apis mellifera*. *Psyche* 2011.DOI:10.1155/2011/419793.
 37. Huang ZY, Robinson GE (1996): Regulation of honey bee division of labor by colony age demography. *Behavior Ecology and Sociobiology* 39, 147-158.
 38. Hunt GJ, Page RE, Fondrk MK, Dullum CJ(1995): Major quantitative trait loci effecting honeybee foraging behaviour. *Genetics* 141, 1537-1545.
 39. Joshi NC, Joshi PC(2010): Foraging behaviour of *Apis* Spp. On Apple Flowers in a subtropical environment. *New York Science Journal* 3.71-76
 40. Kather R, Drijfhout FP, Martin SJ (2011): Task group differences in cuticular lipids in the honey bee *Apis mellifera*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 37, 205-212
 41. Keitt GW,(1941): Transmission of fire blight by bees and its relation to nectar concentration of apple and pear blossoms. *Journal of Agricultural Research* 62, 745-775.
 42. Kirk WDJ, Ali M, Breadmore KN(1995): The effects of pollen beetles on the foraging behaviour of honeybees. *Journal of Apicultural Research* 34, 15-22.
 43. Klein BA, Seeley TD (2011): Work or sleep? Honeybee forager opportunistically nap during the day when forage is not available. *Animal behaviour* 82, 77-83
 44. Kralj J, Fuchs S(2006): Parasitic *Varroa* destructor mites influence flight duration and homing ability of infested *Apis mellifera* foragers. *Apidology* 37, 577-587.
 45. Kralj J, S(2010): *Nosema* sp. influences flight behaviour of infected honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) foragers. *Apidologie* 41, 21-28.
 46. Kumar SS, Govindaraj M(2013): A detailed study about foraging behaviour of artificial bee colony (ABC) and its extensions. *International Journal of engineering and Technology* 5, 992-997
 47. Liang ZS, Nguyen T, Mattalia HR, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Seeley TD, Robinson GE (2012): Molecular determinants of scouting behaviour in honey bees. *Science* 335(6073), 1225-1228.
 48. Mackenzie KE (1994): The foraging behaviour of honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L) and bumble bees (*Bombus* spp.) on cranberry (*Vaccinium macrocarpon* Ait). *Apidologie* 25, 375-383.
 49. Malerbo - Souza DT (2011): The corn pollen as a food source for honeybees. *Acta Scientiarum Agronomy* 33, 701-704
 50. Mattu VK, Raj H, Thakur ML(2012): Foraging behaviour of honey bees on apple crop and its variation with altitude in Shimla hills of western Himalaya. *International Journal of Science and Nature* 3, 296-301.
 51. Mayer DF, Lunden JD (1988): Foraging behaviour of honeybees on Manchurian Crabapple and red delicious apple. *Journal of the Entomological Society of British Columbia* 83, 67-71.
 52. Mishra A, Afik O, Cabrera ML, Delaplane KS, Mowrer JE (2013): Inorganic nitrogen derived from foraging honeybees could have adaptive benefits for the plants they visit, *PLoS ONE* 8(7), e70591. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0070591.
 53. Mostajeran MA, Eriss MA, Basiri MR(2006): Analysis of colony and morphological characteristics in honey bees (*Apis mellifera* meda). *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* 9, 2685-2688.
 54. Mott CM, Breed MD (2012): Insulin modifies honeybee worker behaviour. *Insects* 3, 1084-1092.
 55. Nest BNV, Moore D (2012): Energetically optimal foraging strategy is emergent property of time-keeping behaviour in honey bees. *Behavioural Ecology* 23, 649-658.
 56. Page RE, Waddington KD, Hunt GJ, Findrk MK(1995): Genetic determinants of honeybee foraging behaviour. *Animal Behaviour* 50, 1617-1625.
 57. Paleolog J (2009): Behavioural characteristics of honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) colonies containing mix of workers of divergent behavioural traits. *Animal Science papers and reports* 27, 237-248.
 58. Pankiw T(2004): Brood pheromone regulates foraging activity of honey bees(Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 97, 748-751.
 59. Pankiw T(2005): The honey bee foraging behaviour syndrome: quantifying the response threshold model of division of labor. *Proceedings of Swarn Intelligence Symposium*, 8-10 June, 1-6.
 60. Pankiw T, Page RE(2000): Response thresholds to sucrose predict foraging division of labor in honeybees. *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology* 47, 265-267.
 61. Pankiw T, Tarpy DR, Page RE(2002): Genotype and rearing environment effect honeybee

- perception and foraging behaviour. *Animal Behaviour* 64, 663-672.
62. Pearce FCR, Couvillon MJ, Ratneiks FLW (2013): Hive relocation does not adversely affect honeybee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) foraging. *Psyche* 2013, 1-8.
 63. Pernal SF, Currie RW (2010): The influence of pollen quality on foraging behaviour in honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology* 51, 43-68.
 64. Picard- Nizou AL, Pham- Delegue MH, Kerguelen V, Doualt P, Marilleau R, Olsen L, Grison R, Toppan A, Masson C(1995): Foraging behaviour of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) on transgenic oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.var. *oleifera*) *Transgenic Research* 4, 270-276.
 65. Pilling E, Campbell P, Coulson M, Ruddle N, Tornier I(2013): A four-year field program investigating long term effects of repeated exposure of honeybee colonies to flowering crops treated with thiamethoxam. *PLoS ONE* 8 (10): e77193.
 66. Reyes-Carrillo JL, Eischen FA, Cano-Rios P, Rodriguez- Martinez R, Camberos UN(2007): Pollen collection and honeybee forage distribution in Cantaloupe. *Acta Zoologica Mexicana* 23, 29-36.
 67. Riley JR, Smith AD(2002): Design considerations for a harmonic radar to investigate the flight of insects at low altitude. *Computers and electronics in Agriculture* 35, 151-169.
 68. Riley JR, Chapman JW, Reynolds DR, Smith AD(2007): Recent applications of radar to entomology. *Outlooks on Pest Management* 18, 62-68. DOI: 10.1564/18feb00.
 69. Scheiner R, Abramson CI, Brodschneider R, Crailsheim K, Farina WM, Fuchs S, Grunewald B, Hahshold S, Karrer M, Koeniger G, Koeniger N, Menzel R, Mujagic S, Radspieler G, Schmickl T, Schneider C, Seigel AJ, Szopek M, Thenius R(2013): Standard methods for behavioural studies of *Apis mellifera*. *Journal of Apicultural Research* 52(4). DOI:10.3896/IBRA.1.52.4.04
 70. Schneider CW, Tautz J, Grunewald B, Fuchs S(2012): RFID tracking of sublethal effects of two neonicotinoid insecticides on the foraging behaviour of *Apis mellifera*. *PLoS ONE* 7(1). E30023. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0030023.
 71. Schulz DJ, Barron AB, Robinson GE(2002): A role for octopamine in honeybee division of labor. *Brain, Behaviour and Evolution* 60, 350-359.
 72. Shrama VP, Kumar NR(2010): Change in honeybee behaviour and biology under the influence of cell phone radiations. *Current Science* 98, 1376-1378.
 73. Siegel AJ, Freedman C, Page RE(2012): ovarian control of nectar collection in the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) *PLoS ONE* 7(4).e33465. DOI:10.1371/Journal.pone.0033465.
 74. Silva DP, Moisan-De-Serres J, Souza DC, Higlert-Moreira SB, Fernandes MZ, Kevan PG, Freitas BM(2013): Efficiency in pollen foraging by honeybees: time, motion, pollen depletion on flowers of *Sysirinchium palmifolium* (Asparagales:Iridaceae). *Journal of Pollination Ecology* 11, 27-32.
 75. Simone-Finstorm M, Gardner J, Spivak M(2010): Tactile learning in resin foraging honeybees. *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology* 64, 1609-1617.
 76. Steffan - Dewenter I, Kuhn A (2003): Honeybee foraging in differentially structured landscapes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society* B270, 569-575.
 77. Sushil SN, Stanley J, Hedau NK, Bhatt JC (2013): Enhancing seed production of three Brassica vegetables by honey bee pollination in north-western Himalayas of India. *Universal Journal of Agricultural Research* 1, 49-53.
 78. Tan K, Yang S, Wang Z, Radloff SE, Oldroyd BP(2012): Differences in foraging and broodnest temperature in the honeybee *Apis cerana* and *A. mellifera*. *Apidologie* 43, 618-623.
 79. Tan K, Hu Z, Chen W, Wang Y, Nieh JC (2013): Fearful foragers: honey bees tune colony and individual foraging to multi-predator presence and food quality. *PLoS ONE* 8(9).e75841. DOI:10.1371/ journal.pone.0075841.
 80. Thuijsman F, Peleg B, Amitai M, Shmida A (1995): Automata, matching and foraging behaviour of bees. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 175, 305-316.
 81. Visscher P, Crailsheim K, Sherman G (1996): How do honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) fuel their water foraging flights? *Journal of Insect Physiology* 42, 1089-1094.
 82. Vries H, Biesmeijer JC (1998): Modelling collective foraging by means of individual behaviour rules in honey bees. *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology* 44, 109-124.
 83. Wang Y, Mutti NS, Ihle KE, Siegel A, Dolezal AG, Kaftanoglu O, Amdam GV (2010): Down-regulation of honeybee IRS gene biases behaviour toward food rich in protein. *PLoS Genetics* 6(4). e1000896. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000896.
 84. Weaver N (1965): The foraging behaviour of honeybees on hairy vetch. *Insectes Sociaux* 12, 321-326.
 85. Weidenmuller A, Tautz J (2002): In-hive behaviour of pollen foragers (*Apis mellifera*) in honey bee colonies under conditions of high and low pollen need. *Ethology* 108, 205-221.
 86. Whitfield CW, Cziko A, Robinson GE (2003):

- Gene expression profiles in the brain predict behaviour in individual honey bees. *Science* 302, 296-299. DOI:10.1126/science.1086807.
87. Yang EC, Chuang YC, Chen YL, Chang LH (2008): Abnormal foraging behaviour induced by sublethal dosage of imidacloprid in the honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 101, 1743-1748.
88. Young HJ, Dunning DW, Von Hasseln KW (2007): Foraging behaviour affects pollen removal and deposition in *Impatiens capensis* (Balsaminaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 94, 1267-1271.
89. Yucel B, Duman I (2005): Effects of foraging activity of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) on onion (*Allium cepa*) seed production and quality. *Pakistan journal of Biological Science* 8, 123-126

