DOI: 10.21088/ijsn.2277.467X.15326.5

Indian Journal of Surgical Nursing
Volume 15 Number 3, September-December 2026

REVIEW ARTICLE

Advancing Nursing Education Through Simulation-Based Learning: A Narrative Review

Bhuvaneswari S[1], Nancy Priscilla G[2]

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Bhuvaneswari S, Nancy Priscilla G. Advancing Nursing Education Through Simulation-Based Learning: A Narrative Review. J Surg. Nurs. 2026; 15(3): 122-129.

ABSTRACT

Simulation-based education (SBE) has transformed nursing education by providing safe, structured and experiential learning opportunities before students encounter real patients. This educational approach bridges the gap between theory and clinical practice through realistic scenarios, deliberate practice, and guided reflection. Evidence indicates that simulation enhances psychomotor skills, clinical reasoning, communication, teamwork, confidence, and patient safety awareness. Modern simulation ranges from task trainers and standardized patients to high-fidelity manikins and immersive virtual environments, allowing educators to align learning experiences with competency-based curricula. Effective simulation is grounded in educational theories such as experiential learning, constructivism, adult learning, and reflective practice, emphasizing active participation and meaningful feedback through debriefing. As nursing education continues to respond to increasing healthcare complexity, simulation offers a flexible and learner-centered strategy that supports standardized clinical experiences while reducing risks to patients. This essay discusses the evolution of simulation-based education, theoretical foundations, major simulation modalities, and its contribution to clinical competence, patient safety, critical thinking, and clinical judgment.

KEYWORDS:

• Simulation • Clinical Competence • Simulation Modalities • Patient Safety • Critical Thinking • Clinical Judgement • Communication

AUTHOR'S AFFILIATION:

[1] Professor, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Sathyabama College of Nursing, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

[2] Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Sathyabama College of Nursing, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Bhuvaneswari S, Professor, Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Sathyabama College of Nursing, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

E-mail: bhuvaneswariganesangbj@gmail.com

➢ **Received:** 01-08-2026 ➢ **Accepted:** 03-09-2026

Creative commons non-commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution non-commercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Red Flower Publication and Open Access pages (https://rfppl.co.in)

INTRODUCTION

Healthcare environments demand nurses who can integrate knowledge, technical skills, communication, ethics, and sound clinical judgment. Traditional clinical placements alone may not expose learners to sufficient or consistent experiences. Simulation-based education addresses these limitations by recreating authentic clinical situations in a controlled environment where mistakes become learning opportunities. Learners repeatedly practice assessment, decision-making, prioritization, teamwork, and emergency management while receiving structured feedback. Consequently, simulation has become an integral component of undergraduate and postgraduate nursing curricula worldwide.

Evolution of Simulation-Based Education

Simulation has evolved from simple anatomical models to sophisticated high-fidelity manikins capable of reproducing realistic physiological responses. Early nursing education relied heavily on demonstration and apprenticeship models. Advances in technology introduced computerized simulators, standardized patients, virtual reality, and screen-based simulation. Contemporary programs integrate simulation longitudinally within competency-based curricula, emphasizing patient safety, interprofessional collaboration, and evidence-based practice.

Theoretical Foundations of Simulation Learning

Simulation draws upon Kolb's experiential learning theory, which emphasizes concrete experience, reflection, conceptualization, and active experimentation. Constructivist learning theory highlights knowledge construction through authentic experiences. Benner's Novice-to-Expert framework supports progressive competency development, while Jeffries' Simulation Theory emphasizes learner characteristics, facilitator roles, educational practices, simulation design, and outcomes. Debriefing further promotes reflective learning and clinical reasoning.

Types and Modalities of Simulation

Common modalities include low-fidelity task trainers for basic procedures, medium-fidelity simulators for focused skills, high-fidelity manikins for complex clinical scenarios, standardized patients for communication and assessment, virtual simulation, augmented and virtual reality, screen-based simulations, hybrid simulation, and in-situ simulation conducted in actual clinical environments. Selecting the appropriate modality depends on learning objectives, resources, learner level, and assessment needs.

Types of Simulation Modalities in Nursing Education

Anatomical Models

Simulation-based education (SBE) has a long-standing history in nursing education, with its origins tracing back to the late nineteenth century. Florence Nightingale and Florence Lees emphasized the importance of using anatomical models such as jointed skeletons and mechanical dummies to teach essential nursing procedures, including bandaging techniques. Even earlier, anatomical pelvic models dating from the eighteenth century were widely used to train midwives in childbirth practices. Over time, these traditional models have evolved significantly. Today, technological innovations such as virtual anatomy tables, three-dimensional (3D) digital anatomy platforms, and virtual reality (VR) applications have largely replaced conventional anatomical models. These advanced technologies enable nursing students to explore human anatomy in an interactive manner and perform virtual dissections, providing a deeper understanding of complex anatomical structures while enhancing spatial learning and clinical comprehension.

Full-Body and Partial Task Trainers

Task trainers represent one of the earliest forms of simulation used in nursing education. The introduction of **Mrs. Chase** in 1910 marked a major milestone, as it became the first full-body nursing manikin designed specifically for teaching basic nursing skills. Students practiced activities such as patient positioning, transferring, dressing, and routine bedside care using this model. A few years later, the development of **Arabella** introduced improved realism through features such as reservoirs for urinary catheterization and injection ports for

practicing medication administration.

During the 1930s, dedicated nursing skills laboratories became increasingly common, providing structured environments where students could repeatedly practice psychomotor skills. Both full-body and partial-body task trainers were extensively used to teach procedures such as injections, wound dressing, intravenous cannulation, urinary catheterization, and personal hygiene care. These task trainers continue to play an important role in helping learners develop procedural competence before interacting with real patients.

Low-Fidelity Manikins

Low-fidelity manikins are among the most widely used simulation tools because of their simplicity and affordability. These manikins may be designed as either full-body models or partial task trainers and generally do not incorporate computerized technology. Some may have limited mechanical movement, but they primarily serve as static teaching aids.

Low-fidelity simulators are particularly useful for repetitive practice of fundamental nursing procedures such as cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), injection techniques, wound dressing, patient bathing, and other routine clinical skills. They provide learners with opportunities to gain confidence and improve psychomotor performance in a safe, controlled environment before progressing to more advanced simulation experiences.

Medium-Fidelity Manikins

Medium-fidelity manikins incorporate basic computer technology that enables selected physiological functions to be controlled using external software or handheld devices. Although they do not possess the advanced capabilities of high-fidelity simulators, they provide greater realism than static models and are well suited for teaching clinical assessment and procedural skills.

These manikins can reproduce heart, lung, and bowel sounds, allowing students to develop auscultation skills in a realistic setting. Many models also contain anatomical reservoirs that permit the practice of urinary catheterization and nasogastric tube insertion while confirming correct placement. In addition, they can simulate clinical responses such as coughing, vomiting, or groaning, thereby creating more authentic learning experiences during procedures such as intravenous cannulation, suctioning, injections, and wound care. Such features enhance learners' confidence, technical competence, and clinical decision-making abilities.

High-Fidelity Manikins

The widespread adoption of computerized high-fidelity manikins during the 1990s revolutionized nursing simulation. Advances in technology enabled manufacturers such as Laerdal, CAE, and Gaumard to develop sophisticated human patient simulators capable of reproducing realistic physiological and clinical responses.

High-fidelity manikins are fully computerized and controlled by simulation software operated by trained facilitators. They can simulate numerous human characteristics, including spontaneous breathing, blinking, speech, tears, sweating, heart and lung sounds, palpable peripheral and central pulses, and dynamic physiological changes in response to clinical interventions. Their ability to respond realistically allows students to manage complex clinical scenarios, emergencies, and critical care situations without placing actual patients at risk.

Recent technological developments have further enhanced realism through speech-recognition capabilities and lifelike synthetic materials. Modern simulators developed by companies such as Lifecast Body Simulation, SynDaver, and Echo Healthcare closely resemble human appearance and tissue characteristics, creating immersive learning environments that improve student engagement, communication skills, teamwork, and clinical reasoning.

Three-Dimensional (3D) Printed Manikins

The emergence of three-dimensional (3D) printing technology has introduced new possibilities for nursing simulation. Educational institutions can now produce customized anatomical models and task trainers representing different age groups, body sizes, genders, and ethnic backgrounds. These highly realistic models improve procedural training while reducing equipment costs.

Although 3D-printed manikins generally lack internal electronic components, they can be integrated with external monitoring systems and virtual simulation software to create comprehensive learning experiences. Nursing schools increasingly use 3D printing to produce affordable task trainers such as injection pads, vascular access models, and anatomical body parts for intravenous cannulation and other procedural skills. This technology offers flexibility, accessibility, and cost-effective alternatives for simulation-based education.

Standardized Patients

Standardized patients have become an essential component of contemporary nursing simulation. Their use began during the 1960s when Dr. Howard Barrows introduced trained individuals to portray patients with specific health conditions for medical education. Since then, standardized patients have been widely incorporated into healthcare training and Objective Structured Clinical Examinations (OSCEs).

Unlike role-playing, where participants improvise interactions, standardized patients are carefully trained to consistently portray the same clinical condition, symptoms, behaviors, and emotional responses. This consistency allows every learner to experience comparable clinical encounters while developing communication skills, history taking, physical assessment, clinical reasoning, empathy, and professional behavior. In contrast, role-playing remains valuable for exploring nurse–patient communication, teamwork, and interprofessional interactions in less structured scenarios. Together, standardized patients and role-play provide authentic learning experiences that strengthen both technical and interpersonal competencies essential for safe and effective nursing practice.

IMPACT ON CLINICAL COMPETENCE AND PATIENT SAFETY

Simulation strengthens clinical competence by allowing repeated deliberate practice without compromising patient safety. Students develop procedural accuracy, medication safety, infection prevention, teamwork, and rapid recognition of patient deterioration. Exposure to rare emergencies improves preparedness and confidence while reducing anxiety before clinical placement. Structured debriefing enables learners to analyze decisions, identify errors, and transfer lessons to clinical practice, thereby fostering a culture of safety.

Enhancing Critical Thinking and Clinical Judgment

Simulation encourages learners to gather patient cues, interpret findings, prioritize problems, implement interventions, and evaluate outcomes in real time. Realistic scenarios require rapid decision-making under pressure, strengthening analytical thinking and clinical judgment. Facilitated debriefing promotes self-reflection, metacognition, and evidence-based reasoning, enabling students to connect theoretical knowledge with patient-centered care. Over time, repeated simulation experiences cultivate confident practitioners capable of making safe and effective clinical decisions.[6]

Enhancing Critical Thinking

Critical thinking in nursing is a disciplined process of actively analyzing, applying, and evaluating information. SBE challenges students to utilize intellectual standards like depth, logic, and significance:

- **Data Collection:** Students practice sorting relevant from irrelevant patient data under pressure.
- **Pattern Recognition:** Repeated exposure helps learners spot subtle changes in patient vitals or conditions before they become critical.
- **Adaptability:** Scenarios can dynamically change (e.g., a patient suddenly developing an arrhythmia), forcing students to adapt their thought processes in real time.

Fostering Clinical Judgment

Clinical judgment refers to the observed outcome of critical thinking and decision-making—knowing what to look for, what it means, and what to do about it.

- **Prioritization:** Learners face competing demands and must decide which task or patient needs attention first.

- **Communication:** Scenarios often integrate structured communication tools like SBAR (Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation) to report findings clearly to physicians or senior staff.
- **Confidence Building:** As students successfully navigate simulated crises, their self-efficacy and emotional readiness for real clinical practice grow.

COMMUNICATION, TEAMWORK, AND INTERPROFESSIONAL EDUCATION

Simulation provides realistic opportunities for nursing students to communicate effectively with patients, families, and multidisciplinary healthcare teams. Interprofessional simulation involving nursing, medicine, pharmacy, and allied health students strengthens collaboration, role clarification, leadership, and conflict resolution. Structured scenarios based on TeamSTEPPS principles improve situational awareness, closed-loop communication, mutual support, and shared decision-making. These competencies contribute to safer patient care and prepare graduates for collaborative practice.

Faculty Roles and Debriefing

Faculty members are central to successful simulation-based education. Their responsibilities include designing evidence-based scenarios, preparing learners through pre-briefing, facilitating simulation, observing learner performance, and conducting reflective debriefing. Debriefing is widely recognized as the most influential component of simulation because it enables learners to analyze actions, identify strengths, recognize performance gaps, and integrate evidence into future practice. Approaches such as Debriefing for Meaningful Learning, PEARLS, and advocacy–inquiry promote reflective practice and lifelong learning.

Core Faculty Roles

- **Facilitator & Guide:** Directs the conversation using structured frameworks rather than giving a speech.
- **Safety Builder:** Establishes ground rules, mutual respect, and a nonjudgmental tone so participants feel secure.
- **Gap Closer:** Helps participants identify the roots of operational, communication, or clinical errors.
- **Objective Keeper:** Keeps the discussion tied directly to established learning goals.

Phases of an Effective Debrief

- **Reactions Phase:** Explore immediate emotions and initial thoughts right after the event.
- **Analysis Phase:** Review the timeline of events, explore choices made, and address performance gaps using frameworks like PEARLS or DASH.
- **Summary/Application Phase:** Translate lessons learned into actionable steps for future practice.

Technology Integration (VR, AR, AI, Virtual Simulation)

Digital innovations continue to transform simulation-based education. Virtual reality creates immersive environments for practicing clinical procedures and emergency care. Augmented reality overlays digital information onto real clinical settings, enhancing psychomotor learning. Artificial intelligence supports adaptive learning, automated feedback, virtual patients, and personalized educational pathways. Screen-based and virtual simulations increase accessibility and allow repeated practice irrespective of geographical constraints. These technologies complement rather than replace faculty-guided experiential learning.

Understanding the Core Technologies

- **Virtual Reality (VR)**: Creates a completely synthetic, immersive 3D world that cuts off the physical environment.
- **Augmented Reality (AR)**: Overlays context-aware digital graphics and data onto the live physical world.
- **Artificial Intelligence (AI)**: Acts as the cognitive engine, processing real-time environmental data and user behaviors to make the simulation smart.
- **Virtual Simulation**: The software framework that models real-world physics, processes, or operations for safe experimentation.

AI Enhances Immersive Simulations

AI bridges the gap between hardware tracking and realistic environmental responses. It fundamentally enhances simulation mechanics through three main layers:

- **Computer Vision & Spatial Mapping**: AI processes sensor data from headsets to track eye movement, recognize hand gestures, and map physical rooms with sub-millimeter precision.
- **Dynamic Parameter Adjustment**: Instead of static scripts, reinforcement learning algorithms track user actions and modify variables (like machine loads or stress factors) in real time to match the user's skill level.
- **Natural Interactions**

High-Impact Industry Applications

1. Healthcare & Surgical Training

- **Risk-Free Procedures**: Medical students practice intricate surgeries on virtual patients.
- **Live Surgical Navigation**: During live operations, AR overlays AI-processed imagery (like blood vessel pathways) directly onto the patient's body.
- **Performance Diagnostics**: AI tracks a surgeon's tool path accuracy, hand stability, and reaction times to offer real-time feedback.

2. Defense & Industrial Operations

- **Mission Rehearsals**: Military personnel execute complex tactical drills in VR environments that adjust dynamically based on threat analytics.
- **Predictive Maintenance**: Field technicians wearing AR glasses receive step-by-step repair overlays driven by live IoT and AI data diagnostics.
- **Fault Simulation**: Systems use generative AI to safely recreate dangerous conditions—like electrical grid failures—so operators learn proper response protocols.

3. Immersive STEM Education

- **Abstract Visualization**: Students manipulate 3D molecular structures or explore cosmic systems via AR overlays.
- **Adaptive Curriculums**: Machine learning algorithms monitor a student's gaze and response lag to change teaching speeds automatically.

The Next Steps for Integration

To design or evaluate an integrated simulation solution, it helps to outline specific boundaries. If you are developing a project, consider:

- The **target industry** or use case (e.g., medical, industrial training, education)
- The **primary hardware** platform constraint (e.g., mobile AR, untethered VR headsets)
- The **specific role of AI** needed (e.g., computer vision tracking, predictive analytics, conversational NPCs)

CHALLENGES AND BARRIERS

Despite its benefits, implementation of simulation requires substantial investment in infrastructure, equipment, maintenance, faculty development, and technical support. Limited institutional funding, inadequate simulation laboratories, scheduling constraints, and insufficient faculty expertise may restrict widespread adoption. Designing authentic scenarios and ensuring standardized assessment remain ongoing challenges. Institutions should develop strategic plans, invest in educator training, and establish quality assurance mechanisms to maximize educational outcomes.

Primary Implementation Challenges

- **Computational Latency**: Processing complex AI models alongside high-refresh-rate 3D rendering demands advanced edge computing to avoid motion sickness.
- **Data Privacy**: Immersive sensors constantly log sensitive biometric data, including eye gaze patterns, facial movements, and room layouts.
- **Interoperability**: Connecting legacy enterprise software with modern XR hardware requires standardized data pipelines.

Implications

Nursing institutions should integrate simulation longitudinally across curricula, strengthen faculty development, adopt standardized simulation guidelines, and invest

in emerging technologies while ensuring equitable access.

Recommendations

This narrative review is based on published literature and does not include a systematic appraisal or meta-analysis. Variability in simulation modalities and study designs may limit generalizability.

Summary

Future simulation programs are expected to incorporate artificial intelligence, learning analytics, extended reality, digital twins, and competency-based assessment. Greater emphasis will be placed on personalized learning, remote simulation, interprofessional education, and integration across curricula. Research should evaluate long-term effects on patient outcomes, cost-effectiveness, faculty competencies, and implementation in resource-limited settings. International collaboration and standardized reporting guidelines will further strengthen evidence for simulation-based education.

CONCLUSION

Simulation-based education has transformed nursing education by creating safe, authentic, and learner-centered environments that foster competence, confidence, clinical judgment, teamwork, and patient safety. When supported by skilled faculty, structured debriefing, and appropriate technology, simulation bridges the gap between classroom learning and clinical practice. Continued innovation, rigorous research, and curriculum integration will ensure simulation remains a cornerstone of contemporary nursing education.

Use of AI and AI-Assisted Technologies

The authors affirm that appropriate permissions will be obtained for any copyrighted material reproduced in the manuscript. No copyrighted figures or tables have been reproduced without permission.

Copyright Permissions

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Funding Source

The authors thank Sathyabama College of Nursing, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, for supporting this scholarly work.

Acknowledgement

No datasets were generated or analyzed during this narrative review. All information is derived from published literature.

Data Availability

Simulation-based education should be embedded within competency-based curricula to improve clinical competence, patient safety, teamwork, and clinical judgment while supporting evidence-informed educational practice.

REFERENCES

1. INACSL Standards Committee. Healthcare Simulation Standards of Best Practice. Clin Simul Nurs. 2021.
2. Jeffries PR, editor. Simulation in Nursing Education: From Conceptualization to Evaluation. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2021.
3. Kolb DA. Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development. 2nd ed. Upper Saddle River: Pearson; 2015.
4. Benner P. From Novice to Expert: Excellence and Power in Clinical Nursing Practice. Menlo Park (CA): Addison-Wesley; 1984.
5. Cant RP, Cooper SJ. The value of simulation-based learning in nursing education: a systematic review. Nurse Educ Pract. 2017;27:45-62.
6. Shin S, Park JH, Kim JH. Effectiveness of patient simulation in nursing education: meta-analysis. Nurse Educ Today. 2015;35(1):176-82.
7. Foronda C, et al. Virtual simulation in nursing education: a systematic review. Clin Simul Nurs. 2020;48:8-17.
8. Watts PI, et al. Healthcare Simulation Standards of Best Practice: update. Clin Simul Nurs. 2021;58:1-5.
9. Lopreiato JO, editor. Healthcare Simulation Dictionary. 2nd ed. Rockville (MD): AHRQ; 2020.
10. World Health Organization. Global strategic directions for nursing and midwifery 2021-2025. Geneva: WHO; 2021.
11. Hayden JK, et al. The NCSBN National Simulation Study. J Nurs Regul. 2014;5(2 Suppl):S1-S64.

12. Cheng A, et al. Debriefing for technology-enhanced simulation: a systematic review. Simul Healthc. 2014;9(1):65-75.

13. INACSL Standards Committee. Simulation design standard. Clin Simul Nurs. 2021.

14. World Health Organization. State of the world's nursing 2025. Geneva: WHO; 2025.

15. Forneris SG, Fey MK. Advancing simulation pedagogy in nursing. Clin Simul Nurs. 2022;62:1-8.