**DOI:** 10.21088/ijog.2321.1636.14326.3

Indian Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Volume 14 Number 3, September - December 2026

CASE REPORT

# Contraception: Counter Effect on Motherhood Reconciling Modern Lifestyle Choices with Nature's Laws

**Shri Gopal Kabra[1], Vivekanshu Verma[2]**

**HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:**

***Shri Gopal Kabra, Vivekanshu Verma.*** Contraception: Counter Effect on Motherhood Reconciling Modern Lifestyle Choices with Nature's Laws. Indian J Obstet Gynecol. 2026; 14(3): 100-105.

## ABSTRACT

***Background:*** Modern family planning through barrier contraception offers couples autonomy over reproductive timing, yet prolonged avoidance of maternal seminal exposure may influence immunological adaptation to paternal antigens during pregnancy.

***Case Presentation:*** A 30-year-old educated, career-focused woman, who had consistently used condoms with her spouse for five years prior to conception, experienced an uncomplicated early pregnancy until developing pre-eclampsia at seven months. Her clinical manifestation triggered psychological distress regarding maternal-fetal genetic compatibility and the biological mechanisms underlying pre-eclampsia.

***Discussion:*** Pre-eclampsia affects approximately 10% of pregnancies and involves immune maladaptation to paternal genes in the fetus. Seminal plasma contains 93 immune-modulating elements, such as transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β), which naturally prime and induce maternal immune tolerance during unprotected intercourse. Regular condom use prevents vaginal absorption of these factors, potentially raising pre-eclampsia vulnerability. This clinical reality sits at the intersection of modern social autonomy and biological laws.

***Conclusion:*** Healthcare providers must offer balanced, evidence-based counseling that respects reproductive autonomy while informing couples of emerging findings on immune tolerance, reconciling biological imperatives with modern lifestyle choices.

**AUTHOR'S AFFILIATION:**

[1]Director Legal Affairs and Medical Audit, SDMH, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.
[2]Associate Consultant, Department of Emergency, Medanta Hospital, Gurugram, Haryana, India.

**CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

**Shri Gopal Kabra,** Director Legal Affairs and Medical Audit, SDMH, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

**E-mail:** kabrasg@hotmail.com

➢ **Received:** 31.07.2026 ➢ **Accepted:** 31.08.2026

*Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-Commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Red Flower Publication and Open Access pages (https://www.rfppl.co.in)*

**KEYWORDS**

• Barrier Contraception • Pre-eclampsia • Maternal Immune Tolerance • Paternal Antigens • Transforming Growth Factor-Beta (TGF-β) • Seminal Plasma • Reproductive Autonomy

## INTRODUCTION

In contemporary society, barrier contraception—particularly regular condom usage—has empowered educated, career-oriented couples to achieve financial stability and personal autonomy before entering parenthood. However, this shift in family planning practices has highlighted an intriguing intersection between modern lifestyle choices and maternal-fetal biology.[1]

During pregnancy, the fetus inherits half of its genetic material from the father, making paternal genes foreign to the mother's immune system. Under natural conditions, maternal tolerance to these paternal antigens is established progressively through unprotected intercourse, wherein seminal plasma delivers essential immune-modulating factors—including transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) and disintegrated sperm elements—across the vaginal tract. These components sensitize and adapt the maternal defense mechanisms to foreign paternal chromosomes prior to conception.

When couples rely strictly on barrier methods like condoms over extended periods,[2] this continuous immunological priming is blocked. Consequently, upon conception, the mother's unprimed immune system may fail to establish adequate tolerance, triggering adverse reactions against paternal genetic elements. This immune maladaptation serves as a pivotal pathophysiological factor in pre-eclampsia,[3] a condition affecting roughly 10% of pregnancies that can cause hypertension, proteinuria, organ impairment, and fetal growth restriction.

This article explores a clinical case of pre-eclampsia following five years of consistent condom use, evaluating the biological mechanisms of seminal immune modulation alongside the broader social and philosophical tensions modern women navigate between societal liberation and biological realities.

The intersection of modern contraceptive practices and maternal health presents a subtle paradox: while barrier contraception provides women and couples with crucial social and professional autonomy, long-term avoidance of seminal exposure may disrupt the physiological priming required for maternal immune tolerance to paternal genes. Although pre-eclampsia pathophysiology is multifactorial and clinical evidence remains nuanced, the role of seminal plasma factors like TGF-β underscores a vital immunological link between sexual history and pregnancy outcomes.[4]

Addressing this challenge requires an interdisciplinary approach bridging medicine, sociology, and philosophy. Healthcare clinicians should provide balanced counseling that informs couples of emerging research on paternal immune priming without compromising patient agency or discouraging responsible family planning.[5] Ultimately, true empowerment relies on informed choice—reconciling nature's biological laws with contemporary social freedoms to achieve a holistic vision of modern motherhood.

## CASE PRESENTATION

Both husband and wife were highly educated, hailing from ordinary yet respectable middle-class families. Both secured good jobs through campus recruitment, commanding handsome salary packages. They were ambitious, career-conscious, competitive, and driven. There was excellent rapport, understanding, and a strong bond between them. They were mindful of family planning, deciding to have a child only when they were ready. For the past five years, they had used condoms regularly and without fail. When the woman turned thirty, they decided to take a chance.

She conceived and consulted a renowned city gynecologist. Tests were conducted, and everything appeared normal. Regular prenatal check-ups followed; for seven months, everything proceeded smoothly, reassuring both the woman and the specialist. The woman had read extensively about pregnancy and childbirth through books and the internet, and had made all necessary

preparations. It was a time filled with a mix of hope and apprehension. Then, she began suffering from headaches and severe pain in the upper abdomen. Upon examination, her blood pressure was found to be elevated. A urine test revealed proteinuria, and her ankles were swollen due to fluid retention. The doctor diagnosed her with pre-eclampsia. Everything had been going so well—what had happened so suddenly? Why did this occur? She had followed the doctor's instructions to the letter; why, then, did this happen?

The doctor explained that this condition affects 10 percent of pregnant women and expressed hope that controlling the blood pressure would normalize the situation. When the woman asked about the cause, the doctor explained that it occurs in women whose immune systems fail to become fully tolerant of the **paternal** genes (inherited from the father) present in the fetus. The mother's immune system reacts adversely to the baby's paternal genes. When the woman asked about the potential risks, the specialist explained—by way of caution and clarification—that this condition could hinder the baby's development, affect the baby's brain growth, and increase the likelihood of premature birth. She also mentioned that the mother's blood vessels could be damaged in reaction to the baby's distinct genetic elements, potentially harming the mother's kidneys and liver. She noted that for most women, a successful delivery is possible by managing the high blood pressure associated with pre-eclampsia. However, in some cases, the mother's immune system becomes uncontrolled and aggressive. Severe liver damage can lead to a life-threatening situation, or pre-eclampsia can escalate into full-blown eclampsia—characterized by dangerous seizures—all primarily driven by the mother's intolerant immune system. In such instances, the pregnancy must be terminated through early delivery.

The mother's immune system? The baby's immune system? Paternal genes in the baby? The mother's reaction to paternal genes? The immune system's sensitivity, insensitivity, and aggressive response? The woman could not make sense of any of it. What kind of conflict was this between the mother and the unborn child? She became anxious; her mind filled with doubts, and her confidence wavered. She had been attending regular check-ups, undergoing tests, and had even had three ultrasounds. So, why this? Was it all just a charade driven by greed for money? Hadn't they examined her properly? She was such a senior doctor; surely, if she had examined her thoroughly, she would have detected it? Was she perhaps spouting these incomprehensible, complex theories just to cover up her own mistake? She resolved to gather full information and get to the bottom of the matter.

Upon returning home, she read extensively about pre-eclampsia.

Preserving bodily autonomy is essential for maintaining one's existence. The immune system that naturally evolves to protect this autonomy is based on genes (genetic chromosomes). The body's defense system recognizes and assimilates its own unique genetic structure. No two individuals share the same genetic makeup, except for monozygotic (identical) twins. The body's defense mechanism recognizes this unique identity and develops an immune system committed to protecting it. The immune system prevents elements with a genetic structure different from its own from entering the body; if such elements do manage to enter, it destroys and expels them. This protective process is known as immunity.

If genetic material foreign to an individual's own is to be introduced into their body, their immune system must first be persuaded, suppressed, or influenced to accept it. This is an eternal law of nature. An embryo receives half of its chromosomes from the father. These foreign chromosomes are not naturally accepted by the mother's defense system. It is essential that, prior to the embryo's arrival, the mother's defense system be made aware of, sensitized to, and adapted to these foreign elements.

During reproduction, the maternal immune system's sensitivity to the paternal genes within the embryo develops naturally through regular sexual intercourse. This process is hindered by the regular and strict use of contraceptives (such as condoms).

Research has identified 93 elements in semen that sensitize the mother's immune system to paternal genes. Absorbed through the vaginal tract, these elements in seminal plasma and millions of disintegrated sperms, facilitate immune modulation. This is a continuous

process. Studies have shown that the incidence of pre-eclampsia is significantly lower in women who engage in long-term sexual relations with the same partner. Conversely, the condition is more common among women who regularly use condoms during intercourse. Research indicates that natural intercourse without condoms plays a crucial role in developing the immune system's sensitivity to the child's foreign genetic material. This has been confirmed through biological experiments. Women who experience recurrent spontaneous miscarriages or pre-eclampsia benefit from the administration of an immune-modulating factor found in semen, known as 'TGF-beta'. Women conceiving with men whose semen lacks this factor face a higher risk of pregnancy loss and pre-eclampsia.[6]

Upon reading this, all doubts proved groundless; she had been suspecting the doctor in vain. It was surprising to learn that the regular use of contraceptives could lead to such consequences. One must pay a price for acting against the laws of nature. Striking a balance between modern lifestyle trends and nature is the challenge of our times. Achieving such harmony is impossible without understanding the profound mysteries of nature.

The pinnacle and ultimate expression of womanhood is motherhood-based reproduction. This is ordained by nature—eternal, universal, and everlasting. A woman's liberation lies in motherhood, and liberation cannot be attained without self-surrender. The struggle—and indeed the tragedy—in the life of the modern woman lies in reconciling the tension between liberation *in* nature-ordained motherhood and liberation *from* society-imposed motherhood.

## DISCUSSION

This case illustrates the complex interplay between modern contraceptive practices, maternal immune adaptation, and pregnancy outcomes. The woman's experience of pre eclampsia after years of consistent condom use raises questions about the biological mechanisms underlying maternal tolerance to paternal genes.

### Medical Perspective

Pre eclampsia remains a leading cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity worldwide,[7] affecting approximately 10% of pregnancies. Its pathophysiology is multifactorial, but immune maladaptation to paternal antigens is increasingly recognized as a contributing factor.[8] Seminal plasma contains immune modulating elements, including transforming growth factor beta (TGF β), which facilitate maternal tolerance to the fetus. Regular exposure through unprotected intercourse may prime the maternal immune system, reducing the risk of hypertensive disorders.

Recent studies provide mixed evidence. The PRIDE cohort study (2023) reported that discontinuation of oral contraceptives within three months of conception was associated with increased risk of pre eclampsia and adverse birth outcomes.[9] Similarly, a 2026 Indonesian study found a significant association between contraceptive history and pre eclampsia, though maternal age and parity were stronger predictors.[10] Conversely, meta analyses emphasize that family planning overall reduces maternal mortality,[11] underscoring the need for nuanced interpretation. These findings suggest that while contraceptive use may influence immune adaptation, it is not the sole determinant of pre eclampsia risk.

### Social Dimension

Contraception has transformed women's lives, enabling autonomy, career planning, and protection against unintended pregnancies. For educated, career oriented couples, barrier methods such as condoms represent responsible family planning. Yet, as this case demonstrates, lifestyle choices may intersect with biological processes in unforeseen ways. The challenge lies in ensuring that women are informed of potential associations without undermining their agency or discouraging contraceptive use altogether.[12]

### Philosophical Reflection

The narrative highlights a deeper paradox: liberation in motherhood versus liberation *from* motherhood. Nature ordains reproduction as the biological destiny of womanhood, yet modern society offers liberation from compulsory motherhood through contraception and career prioritization. The tragedy, as seen in this case, is the tension between these two forms of liberation. True empowerment lies not in rejecting one for the other, but in reconciling biological realities with social freedoms.

### Implications

Clinicians should adopt a balanced approach:

- **Counseling couples** on both the benefits and potential risks of long term contraceptive use.
- **Recognizing immune tolerance mechanisms** as an emerging field of research in reproductive medicine.
- **Respecting autonomy** by framing medical advice in ways that empower rather than constrain women's choices.

Ultimately, the case underscores the need for interdisciplinary dialogue—between medicine, sociology, and philosophy—to address the evolving challenges of motherhood in modern society.

## CONCLUSION

This case underscores the paradoxical consequences of modern contraceptive practices on maternal health. While contraception empowers couples to plan their lives and careers, prolonged avoidance of seminal exposure may inadvertently hinder the maternal immune system's adaptation to paternal genes, thereby increasing vulnerability to complications such as pre eclampsia. The evidence remains mixed, but the biological plausibility of immune modulation through semen factors highlights an important area for further research.

Beyond the medical dimension, the narrative reflects a deeper social and philosophical tension. Modernity offers liberation *from* motherhood through family planning, yet nature ordains liberation *in* motherhood through reproduction. The counter effect of contraception lies precisely in this tension: the very tools that grant autonomy may also disrupt the biological harmony essential for safe motherhood.

The challenge for contemporary women—and for healthcare providers—is to reconcile these realities. Empowerment must rest on informed choice, where scientific knowledge, medical counseling, and respect for autonomy converge. Only by acknowledging both the biological mysteries of reproduction and the social imperatives of modern life can we move toward a balanced vision of womanhood, one that honors motherhood without imposing it, and embraces liberation without denying nature.

**Conflict of Interest:** Nil

**Funding:** Nil

## REFERENCES

1. Demisse TL, Aliyu SA, Kitila SB, Tafesse TT, Gelaw KA, Zerihun MS. Utilization of preconception care and associated factors among reproductive age group women in Debre Birhan town, North Shewa, Ethiopia. Reprod Health. 2019;16(96):1–10.Hatch EE, Hahn KA, Wise LA *et al*. Evaluation of selection bias in an internet-based study of pregnancy planners. Epidemiology 2016;27:98–104.
2. United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. Contraceptive Use by Method 2019: Data Booklet. 2019. https://www.un.org/development/desa/pd/sites/www.un.org.development.desa.pd/files/files/documents/2020/Jan/un_2019_contraceptiveusebymethod_databooklet.pdf
3. Von Dadelszen P, Magee LA, Roberts JM. Subclassification of preeclampsia. Hypertens Pregnancy 2003;22:143–48.
4. Beekers P, Jamaladin H, van Drongelen J, Roeleveld N, van Gelder MMHJ. Data from web-based questionnaires were valid for gestational diabetes and preeclampsia, but not gestational hypertension. J Clin Epidemiol 2020;125:84–90.
5. Nilsen RM, Vollset SE, Gjessing HK *et al*. Self-selection and bias in a large prospective pregnancy cohort in Norway. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2009;23:597–608.
6. ARI, Nur Cahyani *et al*. Preeclampsia and the Associated Risk Factors Among Pregnant Women in Indonesia: a Literature Review. **Path of Science**, [S.l.], v. 10, n. 3, p. 1001-1012, mar. 2024. ISSN 2413-9009. Available at: <https://pathofscience.org/index.php/ps/article/view/3000>. Date accessed: 30 july 2026. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.22178/pos.102-1.
7. Nnabuike Chibuoke Ngene, Jagidesa Moodley, Preventing maternal morbidity and mortality from preeclampsia and eclampsia particularly in low- and middle-income countries, Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Volume 94, 2024, 102473, ISSN 1521-6934, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2024.102473. Pre-eclampsia WHO Factsheet: https://www.who.int/news-

room/fact-sheets/detail/pre-eclampsia

**8.** Anton Schreuder, Ibtissam Mokadem, Nori J L Smeets, Marc E A Spaanderman, Nel Roeleveld, Angela Lupattelli, Marleen M H J van Gelder, Associations of periconceptional oral contraceptive use with pregnancy complications and adverse birth outcomes, International Journal of Epidemiology, Volume 52, Issue 5, October 2023, Pages 1388–1399, https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyad045

**9.** Wahyul Anis, Erni Rosita Dewi, Kasiati, Luluk Mukaromah, The impact of age and parity on contraceptive method choices in Indonesia 2026. British Journal of Midwifery. 82-88- 34-2 doi:10.12968/bjom.2025.0040 https://www.magonlinelibrary.com/doi/abs/10.12968/bjom.2025.0040

**10.** Jahanfar S, Maurer O, Lapidow A, Oberoi AR, Steinfeldt M, *et al*. A meta-analysis into the mediatory effects of family planning utilization on complications of pregnancy in women of reproductive age (2024) A meta-analysis into the mediatory effects of family planning utilization on complications of pregnancy in women of reproductive age. PLOS ONE 19(3): e0294475. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0294475

**11.** Cleland John, *et al*. "Contraception and Health." The Lancet, vol. 380, no. 9837, July 2012, pp. 149–56. *www.thelancet.com,* pmid:22784533