DOI: 10.21088/jcfs.3107.6874.9226.4

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL FORENSIC SCIENCES
VOLUME 9 NUMBER 2, JULY - DECEMBER 2026

REVIEW ARTICLE

Role of Forensic Pathologist in Mass Disaster Victim Identification (DVI)

Shilekh Mittal[1], Ishwer Tayal[2], Veerta Singh[3]

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Shilekh Mittal, Ishwer Tayal, Veerta Singh. Role of Forensic Pathologist in Mass Disaster Victim Identification (DVI). Jr of Clinical Forensic Sci. 2026; 9(2): 78–82.

ABSTRACT

Mass disasters—whether natural or man-made—often lead to the tragic loss of multiple lives and present formidable challenges in victim identification. These events not only traumatize the immediate communities but also burden forensic systems and state machinery. The role of the forensic pathologist becomes crucial in such settings, both in the identification of victims and in determining the cause and manner of death. With specialized skills in human anatomy, pathology, trauma analysis, and forensic anthropology, the forensic pathologist becomes an integral member of the Disaster Victim Identification (DVI) team. This review outlines the structured DVI process, the responsibilities of the forensic pathologist at each stage, the inter-disciplinary collaboration required, and the application of emerging technologies such as post-mortem imaging, DNA profiling, and digital data management. The article also discusses ethical issues, challenges in international DVI operations, and recommendations for building DVI capacity through training and infrastructure. The ultimate goal remains to restore identity and dignity to the deceased while offering closure to bereaved families.

KEYWORDS

• Forensic Pathology • Disaster Victim Identification • Mass Fatalities • DVI Protocol • Post-Mortem Examination • DNA Profiling • Interdisciplinary Forensics • Humanitarian Forensics • Identification Protocols • Morgue Procedures

AUTHOR'S AFFILIATION:

[1] Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, G.G.S. Medical College, Faridkot, Punjab, India.

[2] Associate Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, G.G.S. Medical College, Faridkot, Punjab, India.

[3] Assistant Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, G.G.S. Medical College, Faridkot, Punjab, India.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Shilekh Mittal, Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, G.G.S. Medical College, (Constituent College of Baba Farid University of Health Sciences), Faridkot, Punjab, India.

E-mail: shilekh@gmail.com

➢ **Received:** 03-02-2026 ➢ **Accepted:** 07-03-2026

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) *which permits non-Commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the Red Flower Publication and Open Access pages* (https://www.rfppl.co.in)

INTRODUCTION

Mass disasters—defined as events causing a large number of deaths or injuries and overwhelming the capacity of local resources—can occur in a variety of contexts, including earthquakes, floods, tsunamis, terrorist bombings, airplane crashes, industrial accidents, and wars.[1] One of the most urgent and sensitive tasks in the aftermath of such events is the identification of the deceased.[1]

Victim identification in mass disasters serves multiple purposes: humanitarian (to give dignity to the deceased and closure to the bereaved), legal (for death certification, insurance, and inheritance), and investigative (in cases of crime or terrorism).[2] The process must therefore be systematic, efficient, and ethically sound.[1]

The Disaster Victim Identification (DVI) process is standardized by international protocols, notably the Interpol DVI Guide, and involves several phases: scene recovery, post-mortem data collection, ante-mortem data collection, reconciliation, and debriefing.[1] Within this framework, the forensic pathologist plays a central role.[2]

Forensic pathologists are medical doctors trained in understanding disease and trauma through the examination of deceased individuals. In mass fatality incidents, their expertise becomes pivotal for examining bodies, conducting autopsies, determining cause and manner of death, and contributing to identification through anatomical, dental, radiological, and DNA-related means.[2,3]

This article comprehensively examines the role of the forensic pathologist in each phase of the DVI process, the methodologies employed, interdisciplinary collaborations, technological advancements, ethical considerations, case studies, and recommendations for improving preparedness and response in future disaster scenarios.[1,2]

Overview of Mass Disaster and DVI Protocols

Definition and Classification of Mass Disasters

Mass disasters are typically classified based on origin (natural or man-made) and scale (local, national, or international).[1] Examples include:

- **Natural disasters**: Earthquakes (e.g., Haiti 2010), tsunamis (Indian Ocean 2004), floods.[1]
- **Man-made disasters**: Plane crashes (MH17, Air India Express 2020), industrial accidents (Bhopal Gas Tragedy), terrorist attacks (9/11, Mumbai 2008).[4,5]

DVI Phases (As per Interpol Guidelines)

1. Scene phase: Recovery and documentation of human remains.[1]
2. Post-mortem phase: Examination, documentation, and sample collection.[1]
3. Ante-mortem phase: Gathering personal data (dental, medical, DNA).[1]
4. Reconciliation phase: Matching post-mortem and ante-mortem data.[1,3]
5. Debriefing phase: Final review and reporting.[1]

The forensic pathologist contributes primarily in phases 2 and 4 but often has advisory or collaborative roles in all phases.[1,2]

Role of the Forensic Pathologist in Scene Management

While forensic pathologists are not typically first responders, their input during the scene phase is invaluable for proper body recovery and preservation of identification data.[1]

Scene Coordination

- Advising on human remains retrieval, especially in fragmented or charred bodies.[1,4]
- Ensuring separation of human and non-human materials.[1]

Documentation

- Labeling of remains using unique disaster victim numbers.[1]
- Photographing and logging anatomical features, clothing, and personal effects.[1]

Health and Safety

- Assessing biohazard risks, including infectious diseases and toxic exposures.[6,7]
- Recommending appropriate PPE and scene control measures.[6]

Post-Mortem Examination: Core Duties of the Forensic Pathologist

External Examination

- Recording sex, age, stature, body modifications (tattoos, scars), and injuries.[2,7]

- Identification of unique features such as amputations and prosthetics.[3]

Autopsy and Cause of Death Determination

- Identification of trauma patterns including blunt force, blast injuries, and burns.[2,7]
- Differentiation between antemortem and postmortem injuries.[2]
- Classification of deaths as accidental, homicidal, suicidal, or natural.[2]

Sample Collection for Identification

- Tissue samples for DNA analysis.[3,8]
- Teeth and jaws for forensic odontology.[7]
- Radiological imaging for skeletal and implant identification.[3]

Handling Fragmented or Burned Remains

- Assembly of body parts using anatomical and forensic principles.[7,9]
- Coordination with forensic anthropologists when necessary.[3]

Coordination with Other Forensic Experts

The identification of victims in mass disasters necessitates a multidisciplinary approach.[1,2] Forensic pathologists work in close collaboration with various experts, each contributing unique skills essential for comprehensive DVI operations.

- **Forensic odontologists** assist in dental structures often survive extreme conditions. Forensic odontologists compare ante-mortem dental records with post-mortem findings. The pathologist ensures proper recovery of jaws and teeth and coordinates timely referrals.[9]
- **Forensic anthropologists** cases involving skeletal remains, anthropologists assist in estimating age, sex, ancestry, and stature. Pathologists consult them for analysis of bones, especially in cases of fragmentation or advanced decomposition.[9]
- **DNA analysts** play a crucial role particularly in fragmented or burnt remains. Forensic pathologists collect samples (bone, muscle, blood) and coordinate with DNA laboratories to ensure proper chain of custody and timely processing.[3,8]
- **Radiologists** support in Post-mortem imaging (e.g., CT scans) aids in identifying internal injuries, foreign objects, and anatomical features. Radiological data can be matched with medical records to aid identification.[3]
- **Police and scene investigators** ensure provide input on body recovery, preservation of evidence, and suggest legal documentation procedures in consultation with law enforcement.[1]
- **Mortuary and DVI management teams** assist in management is crucial in DVI operations. The forensic pathologist advises on workflow, biohazard management, and storage of remains.[1]

Reconciliation Phase and Role in Identification Panels

This phase involves matching ante-mortem and post-mortem data to confirm identities.[1,3] A multidisciplinary identification panel, often chaired by a forensic pathologist, conducts this process and conducts the review.

Reconciliation Process

- Data comparison: dental, fingerprint, DNA, physical descriptors.
- Documentation of discrepancies.
- Decision-making based on convergence of evidence.

Role of Forensic Pathologist

- Presents autopsy findings and anatomical markers.[2]
- Correlates cause of death with disaster circumstances.[2]
- Confirms identity based on converging evidence.[1,3]

Upon agreement, a final identification report is issued, enabling official release of the body to next of kin.[1]

Use of Technology in Forensic Pathology during DVI

Technological advances have significantly enhanced DVI efficiency and accuracy.[3,8]

- **Post-mortem CT and MRI** enable non-invasive examination.[3]
- **Digital autopsy** preserves permanent records and enables remote consultation.[3]

- **DNA databases and rapid DNA technologies** shorten identification timelines.[8]
- **Information management systems** such as Interpol AM/PM forms standardize data handling.[1]
- **Artificial intelligence** aids facial reconstruction and pattern recognition.[3]

Ethical, Cultural, and Legal Considerations

Ethical practice in DVI emphasizes dignity, transparency, and respect for the deceased and families.[1,6]

Cultural sensitivities include religious rites, gender-appropriate examinations, and community engagement.[6]

Legal considerations involve death certification, jurisdictional authority, and adherence to international human rights norms.[1,5]

Case Studies

Indian Ocean Tsunami (2004)

One of the largest multinational DVI operations, highlighting challenges of decomposition, lack of records, and multinational coordination.[1,4]

Air India Express Crash (2020)

Demonstrated rapid identification through dental, fingerprint, and DNA methods under pandemic constraints.[5,10]

Bhopal Gas Tragedy (1984)

A unique chemical disaster illustrating difficulties in cause-of-death determination and long-term medicolegal impact.[5,7]

Challenges in Low-Resource Settings

Infrastructure Limitations

- Lack of mortuary space, cold storage, or imaging equipment.

Manpower and Training Deficit

- Inadequate number of trained forensic pathologists and support staff.

Bureaucratic and Logistical Hurdles

- Delayed access to ante-mortem data.
- Inconsistent record-keeping systems.

Socio-political Barriers

- Public distrust of authorities.
- Political interference in DVI procedures.

Training, Preparedness, and Recommendations

Capacity Building

- Include DVI modules in forensic pathology curriculum.
- Simulated DVI drills.

Infrastructure Development

- Invest in portable morgues, imaging tools, and identification software.

Interagency Collaboration

- Foster networks among forensic departments, police, disaster agencies.
- MoUs with international DVI bodies.

Policy and Legal Reform

- Standardize national protocols in line with Interpol.
- Establish rapid response forensic teams.

CONCLUSION

Forensic pathologists play a pivotal role in restoring dignity to the deceased and closure to the living during mass disasters. Their scientific expertise, ethical responsibility, and leadership within multidisciplinary teams are essential for effective disaster victim identification.[1,2,7] Strengthening training, infrastructure, and inter-agency coordination will further enhance future DVI responses.

REFERENCES

1. Interpol. Disaster Victim Identification Guide. Lyon: International Criminal Police Organization; 2018.
2. Madea B, editor. Handbook of Forensic Medicine. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell; 2014.
3. Rutty G.N., Morgan B. The role of virtual autopsy in disaster victim identification. *Forensic Sci Int*. 2016; 261: 1-9.
4. Bassed R., Leditschke J. Disaster victim identification: Australian experience. *Pathology*. 2015; 47(4): 314-320.
5. National Disaster Management Authority. Guidelines on Management of Mass Fatalities. New Delhi: NDMA; 2016.

6. World Health Organization. Management of Dead Bodies after Disasters: A Field Manual for First Responders. Geneva: WHO; 2021.
7. Byard R.W. Forensic Pathology Reviews. Vol 5. Totowa (NJ): Humana Press; 2013.
8. Viner M., Jenkins T., Hauther K.A. Forensic implications of mass fatality incidents. *J Forensic Sci*. 2015; 60(6): 1466-1473.
9. Blau S., Ubelaker D.H., editors. Handbook of Forensic Anthropology and Archaeology. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 2016.
10. Indian Council of Medical Research. Guidelines for Forensic Practice in India. New Delhi: ICMR; 2020.