DOI: 10.21088/nijs.0976.4747.17326.7

New Indian Journal of Surgery

Volume 17 Number 3, July - September 2026

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Laparoscopy Guided Bilateral Oblique Subcostal Transversus Abdominis Plane Block Using Ropivacaine for Postoperative Analgesia After Elective Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Nagula Mounish Raj[1], Abhijit S Joshi[2]

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Nagula Mounish Raj[1], Abhijit S Joshi. Laparoscopy Guided Bilateral Oblique Subcostal Transversus Abdominis plane block Using Ropivacaine for Post Operative Analgesia after Elective Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: A Randomised Controlled Trial. New Indian J Surg. 2026; 17(3): 135-145.

ABSTRACT

Context: Pain following laparoscopic cholecystectomy can adversely affect postoperative recovery and increase the need for analgesic medication. The transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block is a well-established regional anaesthetic technique for postoperative pain control. Performing the block under laparoscopic guidance offers a practical alternative to the conventional ultrasound-guided approach.

Aim: To assess the effectiveness of a laparoscopically guided bilateral oblique subcostal TAP block using 0.2% Ropivacaine in reducing postoperative pain in patients undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Settings and Design: A prospective, double-blind, randomized controlled trial conducted at a tertiary care teaching hospital between July 2023 and March 2024.

Methods and Materials: Fifty patients aged 20–60 years who underwent elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy were randomly allocated into two equal groups (25 participants in each group). Patients in the intervention group received a laparoscopy guided bilateral oblique subcostal TAP block with 20 mL of 0.2% ropivacaine on each side, whereas those in the control group were managed with standard intravenous analgesia. Postoperative pain intensity was evaluated using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) at 6 hours, 12 hours, and at the time of discharge. The requirement for rescue analgesia was also documented.

Statistical Analysis: Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS version 26.0. Continuous variables were analysed using the independent t-test or the Mann–Whitney U test, while categorical variables were compared using the Chi-

AUTHOR'S AFFILIATION:

[1] Clinical Assistant, Department of General Surgery, Dr. LH Hiranandani Hospital, Powai, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

[2] Consultant General Surgeon, Head of Department, Department of General Surgery, Dr. LH Hiranandani Hospital, Powai, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Nagula Mounish Raj, Clinical Assistant, Department of General Surgery, Dr. LH Hiranandani Hospital, Powai, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

E-mail: mounishraj.nagula@gmail.com

➢ **Received:** 11-06-2026 ➢ **Accepted:** 14-07-2026

square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. A p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: Patients who received the TAP block had significantly lower postoperative VAS scores at 6 hours (4.6 vs. 5.8; p=0.007), 12 hours (2.9 vs. 4.0; p=0.001), and at discharge (1.6 vs. 2.6; p=0.001) compared with the control group. Additionally, 72% of patients in the TAP block group did not require any rescue analgesia.

Conclusion: Laparoscopy guided bilateral oblique subcostal TAP block using ropivacaine is a safe, feasible, and effective adjunct for postoperative pain management following elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy

KEYWORDS:

• Transversus Abdominis Plane Block • Oblique Subcostal TAP Block • Laparoscopic TAP Block • Postoperative Analgesia • Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

INTRODUCTION

Postoperative pain is one of the most significant concerns for patients undergoing surgical procedures. Following surgery, a considerable proportion of the pain experienced originates from the abdominal wall incision. Even minor surgical procedures, such as inguinal hernia repair (herniorrhaphy or hernioplasty), can result in chronic postoperative pain in approximately 12% of patients if adequate pain management is not provided. Such persistent pain can have a substantial negative impact on patient's daily functioning and overall well-being.[1]

Poorly controlled postoperative pain adversely affects quality of life, delays functional recovery, increases the likelihood of postoperative complications, and contributes to the development of persistent postsurgical pain.[2] Conversely, effective pain management promotes faster recovery, shortens hospital stays, reduces healthcare costs, and improves patient satisfaction. Consequently, optimal postoperative pain control has become an important indicator of the quality of perioperative care and is closely monitored in clinical practice.[3]

The transversus abdominis plane (TAP) is a fascial plane in between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscle containing the thoracolumbar nerves from T6 to L1. By depositing local anaesthetic in this plane and thus blocking these nerves provides effective analgesia for upper abdominal procedures. The oblique subcostal TAP block in particular is performed along the subcostal margin, allowing for broader coverage of the upper abdominal wall. Reduced postoperative opioid analgesic requirements and patient reported pain scores have been demonstrated using the TAP block for various abdominal procedures including: laparoscopic cholecystectomy, upper abdominal laparotomies, bariatric surgeries, and total gastrectomy.[4,5,6]

Patients usually suffer significant pain after any abdominal surgery and the major source of pain being the anterior abdominal wall (the port sites) and the abdominal viscera.[7] Various LA agents have been utilised for post-operative analgesia using TAP block.[8,9,10]

Postoperative pain management is a crucial aspect of patient recovery following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Despite being a minimally invasive procedure, laparoscopic cholecystectomy is associated with a significant postoperative pain due to peritoneal stretching, trocar insertion, and diaphragmatic irritation. Effective analgesia not only improves patient comfort but also facilitates early mobilization, reduces hospital stay, and minimizes the need for opioids, thereby reducing opioid-related adverse effects.

TAP block is a well-established regional anaesthesia technique that provides effective analgesia for abdominal surgeries. Among its variants, the subcostal TAP block targets the upper abdominal wall, making it particularly relevant for laparoscopic cholecystectomy, which primarily involves upper abdominal incisions. Traditionally, TAP blocks have been performed under ultrasound guidance; however, laparoscopy-guided administration offers a direct and precise approach, potentially improving efficacy and safety.

While existing studies have substantiated the analgesic benefits of TAP block, limited

data is available on the effectiveness of the laparoscopy-guided subcostal TAP block in providing sustained postoperative analgesia at different time intervals until discharge. This study aims to bridge this knowledge gap by systematically evaluating the efficacy of this technique in patients undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy at Dr. LH Hiranandani Hospital, Powai. The findings from this research may contribute to optimizing postoperative pain management protocols, feasibility of performing a laparoscopy-guided subcostal TAP block in setups which do not have Ultrasonography (USG) machine in Operation Theatre (OT) and reducing reliance on systemic analgesics, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes and recovery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a double blinded randomised controlled trial which was conducted at the Department of General and Laparoscopic Surgery, Dr. L.H. Hiranandani Hospital, Mumbai, India from July 2023 to March 2024 after obtaining Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC) clearance (DHR-EC/NEW/INST/2020/1235). The study population comprised of patients undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy at the above-mentioned institute.

Inclusion Criteria:

- Patients undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy.
- Patients who provided written informed consent.
- Patients aged between 20 and 60 years.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients diagnosed with:

(a) Acute cholecystitis, empyema, or gangrene of the gallbladder.

(b) Choledocholithiasis or post-ERCP cases.

(c) Diabetes, renal failure, or liver cirrhosis.

(d) Use of immunosuppressants or chemotherapy.

- Patients who were converted to open cholecystectomy.
- Patients who underwent additional surgical procedures (e.g., hernia repair).
- Patients with a history of cardiac or respiratory diseases (ASA ≥ III).
- Patients who did not consent to participate in the study.
- Patients with prosthetic heart valves.
- Patients with a known allergy to amide local anaesthetics or medications included in the study.

STUDY PROCEDURE

The study commenced after obtaining IEC clearance, and appropriate written and informed consent was taken from all participants. Patient demographic details, including age, sex, occupation, socio-economic status, and address, were recorded. A detailed history, with a focus on the duration and characteristics of abdominal pain, dyspepsia, and past medical history, was obtained. A comprehensive general, abdominal, and systemic examination was performed. Preoperative workup, including complete blood count, blood sugar levels, blood urea, serum creatinine, liver function tests, hepatitis profile, chest X-ray, and abdominal ultrasound, was conducted. BMI and ASA scores were calculated.

Patients were allocated into two groups after randomization (using computerised method) as follows:

(a) Study Group (TAP Block +): Patients received bilateral subcostal TAP block.

(b) Control Group (TAP Block -): Patients did not receive bilateral subcostal TAP block but received intravenous analgesics.

In the study group, after the surgical steps were completed and before the release of pneumoperitoneum, subcostal TAP block was administered under direct laparoscopic visualization of the subcostal margin using the landmark technique. A 22-gauge needle was inserted bilaterally at the right and left subcostal regions, lateral to the rectus muscle. The needle was visualized laparoscopically, and once it was positioned at the fascial plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles, 20 ml of 0.20% ropivacaine was injected bilaterally following negative aspiration. The successful administration of the TAP block was confirmed by the downward displacement of the transversus abdominis muscle away from the internal oblique upon injection (Doyle's bulge).

Postoperative pain scores were assessed at 6 hours, 12 hours, and at the time of discharge.

The pain scores were compared between the study and control groups to evaluate the effectiveness of the TAP block.

SAMPLE SIZE ESTIMATION

The sample size was estimated using nMaster Software Version 2.0 by applying the parameters from the study conducted by Nair P *et al.*, titled "*Efficacy and Cost-Effectiveness of Laparoscopic Transversus Abdominis Plane (TAP) Block in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy: A Comparison with the Non-TAP Group.*" In that study, the pain score after administration of rescue analgesics was 1.23 ± 1.00 in the TAP block group and 0.21 ± 0.64 in the non-TAP group. A statistically significant difference in the requirement for rescue analgesics was observed between the two groups ($p < 0.001$).

Using these parameters, with a two-sided alpha error of 0.05 and a study power of 99%, the sample size was calculated using the formula for comparison of two means. The estimated sample size was 25 participants per group.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0 (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.), following initial data curation in Microsoft Excel 2016. Data summary was achieved via descriptive statistics. Specifically, continuous metrics were reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD), whereas categorical parameters were expressed as absolute frequencies and corresponding percentages.

Prior to comparative analysis, the distribution of continuous data was evaluated for normality. To compare two independent cohorts, the independent-samples t-test was utilized for Gaussian-distributed data, while the non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test was implemented for non-normally distributed variables. For categorical data, inter-group associations and differences in proportions were evaluated using either the Chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, depending on cell frequency requirements.

A two-tailed p-value of <0.05 was considered indicative of statistical significance for all comparisons.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Prior to the initiation of the study, formal protocol review and ethical clearance were granted by the Institutional Ethics Committee (DHR-EC/NEW/INST/2020/1235). Informed written consent was obtained from all participants before including them in the study.

OUTCOME MEASURES

The primary outcome measure was the intensity of postoperative pain experienced by patient and was evaluated using the Visual Analog Scale (VAS) at 6 hours, 12 hours, and at discharge following the surgery.

Secondary outcome measures included the requirement for rescue analgesia, type of rescue analgesic administered, total postoperative analgesic consumption.

RESULTS

The majority of participants were in the 31–40 years age group (32.0%), followed by those aged 41–50 years (30.0%). Participants in the 51–60 years category accounted for 20.0%, while 14.0% belonged to the 21–30 years group. The least represented age group was up to 20 years, comprising only 4.0% of the total study population.

The distribution of age between the two groups did not show a statistically significant difference ($p=0.388$), indicating that both groups were comparable in terms of age distribution. Among the total 50 participants, 56.0% were female and 44.0% were male. In the Ropivacaine group, females constituted 64.0%, while in the Control group, they made up 48.0%. Males comprised 36.0% of the Ropivacaine group and 52.0% of the Control group. The difference in sex distribution between the two groups was not statistically significant ($p=0.254$). Among the total 50 participants, 16.0% had comorbidities, while 84.0% had none. In both the Ropivacaine and Control groups, the proportion of participants with comorbidities was equal at 16.0%, and those without comorbidities accounted for 84.0%. The statistical analysis using the Chi-Square test showed no significant difference between the groups ($p=1.0$). Among the total 50 participants, 96.0% were classified as ASA I, while 4.0% were classified as ASA II. In the Ropivacaine group, 92.0% of participants were ASA I, and 8.0% were ASA II, whereas all participants in the Control group (100.0%) were ASA I. The Chi-Square test did not show a statistically significant difference between the groups ($p=0.490$). A distribution of our

patients and study groups based on their VAS scores at different time intervals is summarised (Tables 1 & 2).

Table 1: Distribution of patients based on VAS score at respective hours (n=50)

	Study Group				
VAS score at 6 hours	**Ropivacaine** (n = 25)		**Control** (n = 25)		**p value**
	Median	**IQR**	**Median**	**IQR**	
	4.0	2.5	6.0	2.0	0.007
	Study Group				
VAS score at 12 hours	**Ropivacaine** (n = 25)		**Control** (n = 25)		**p value**
	Median	**IQR**	**Median**	**IQR**	
	3.0	1.0	4.0	2.0	0.001
	Study Group				
VAS score at discharge	**Ropivacaine** (n = 25)		**Control** (n = 25)		**p value**
	Median	**IQR**	**Median**	**IQR**	
	2.0	1.0	3.0	1.0	0.001



Figure 2: Distribution of study groups based on VAS score at different time intervals (n=50)

Table 3: Distribution of study groups based on rescue analgesic requirement (n=50)

Rescue Analgesic Requirement	TAP Block (+) (n=25)	TAP Block (-) (n=25)	p-value
No rescue analgesia, n (%)	18 (72.0%)	19 (76.0%)	0.75
Rescue analgesia required, n (%)	7 (28.0%)	6 (24.0%)	
Paracetamol only, n (%)	4 (16.0%)	0 (0%)	
Diclofenac only, n (%)	0 (0%)	6 (24.0%)	
Diclofenac + Paracetamol, n (%)	3 (12.0%)	0 (0%)	

The median VAS score in the Ropivacaine group at 6 hours was 4.0 (IQR: 2.5), whereas the median score in the Control group was higher at 6 (IQR: 2). The Mann-Whitney U test revealed a statistically significant difference between the groups (p = 0.007), indicating that patients in the Ropivacaine group experienced significantly lower pain levels compared to the Control group at 6 hours. The median VAS score in the Ropivacaine group at 12 hours was 3.0 (IQR: 1.0), while in the Control group, it was higher at 4.0 (IQR: 2.0). The Mann-Whitney U test demonstrated a statistically significant difference between the groups (p = 0.001), indicating that patients who received Ropivacaine experienced significantly lower pain levels compared to those in the Control group at 12 hours. The median VAS score in the Ropivacaine group at discharge was 2.0 (IQR: 1.0), whereas in the Control group, it was higher at 3.0 (IQR: 1.0). The difference between the groups was statistically significant (p = 0.001) as per the Mann-Whitney U test, suggesting that patients in the Ropivacaine group experienced significantly lower pain levels at discharge compared to those in the Control group.

Rescue analgesia was required in 7 patients (28.0%) in the TAP block group compared with 6 patients (24.0%) in the control group. The majority of patients in both groups did not require rescue analgesia (72.0% vs. 76.0%, respectively). Among 7 patients requiring rescue analgesia in the TAP block group, 4 (16.0%) received paracetamol alone and 3 (12.0%) required both diclofenac and paracetamol. In the control group, 6 patients (24.0%) required diclofenac as rescue analgesia. A distribution of our patients and study groups based on their requirement of rescue analgesic is summarised (Table 3). Comparison of overall rescue analgesic requirement between the two

groups showed no statistically significant difference ($\chi^2 = 0.10$, $p = 0.75$)

DISCUSSION

The present study was undertaken with an aim to assess the effectiveness of Laparoscopy guided subcostal TAP block for post operative analgesia at different intervals of time till discharge in patients undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy in a tertiary care hospital in Mumbai, India. The present study included 50 participants, with the majority (62%) being between 31 and 50 years of age. The distribution of gender showed a higher proportion of females (56%) compared to males (44%). The participants were equally divided into two groups, Ropivacaine and Control, ensuring comparability across demographic characteristics such as age and gender, with no statistically significant differences between the groups ($p > 0.05$).

Comorbidities were present in 16% of participants, with equal distribution between the two groups ($p = 1.0$). The ASA classification indicated that most participants (96%) were classified as ASA I, with only 4% falling under ASA II. The distribution between groups was not statistically significant ($p = 0.490$), further supporting homogeneity between the study groups.

Pain assessment using the VAS demonstrated significantly lower pain scores in the Ropivacaine group compared to the Control group at all measured time points. At 6 hours postoperatively, the median VAS score was 4.0 (IQR: 2.5) in the Ropivacaine group versus 6.0 (IQR: 2.0) in the Control group, showing a statistically significant difference ($p = 0.007$). At 12 hours, the Ropivacaine group had a median VAS score of 3.0 (IQR: 1.0) compared to 4.0 (IQR: 2.0) in the Control group, with a highly significant difference ($p = 0.001$). Similarly, at discharge, the Ropivacaine group had a median VAS score of 2.0 (IQR: 1.0), which was significantly lower than the Control group's score of 3.0 (IQR: 1.0) ($p = 0.001$).

Rescue analgesia was required in 28.0% of patients in the TAP block group and 24.0% of patients in the control group. The difference was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 0.10$, $p = 0.75$), indicating comparable postoperative analgesic requirements between the two groups.

Current scientific consensus highlights the transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block as a highly effective element of multimodal pain management protocols following laparoscopic cholecystectomy.[11,12,13,14] The foundational technique was introduced in 2001 by Rafi *et al.*[15] via the lumbar triangle of Petit. This classic posterior approach relied on tactile feedback—specifically the "double-pop" sensation—and was primarily effective for surgeries involving the subumbilical abdomen. To mitigate the risk of localized procedural complications, Hebbard *et al.*[16] subsequently pioneered the ultrasound-guided methodology. This technological advancement allows clinicians to dynamically monitor needle placement, track local anesthetic distribution, and directly visualize target anatomical layers. For procedures requiring upper abdominal relief, the subcostal modification (OSTAP) serves as an alternative variant, offering dependable, unilateral supraumbilical analgesia.

Targeting the upper abdomen, the subcostal modification of the TAP block achieves its therapeutic effect by anesthetizing the anterior cutaneous branches of the T7 to T10 intercostal nerves.[17] Compelling evidence demonstrates that utilizing ultrasound guidance for this subcostal block significantly optimizes postoperative pain scores and reduces overall morphine requirements following laparoscopic cholecystectomy.[18] However, executing an ultrasound-guided block demands specialized technical proficiency that is not universally standard among anesthesia providers. Furthermore, the substantial financial burden of ultrasound equipment limits its availability in resource-constrained surgical centers. Consequently, leveraging the existing surgical laparoscope to facilitate the subcostal TAP block remains highly underutilized, despite a scarcity of literature confirming the clinical efficacy of this laparoscopic-guided approach.[19]

The first cholecystectomy was successfully performed by Dr. Carl Langenbuch in Germany in 1882. Subsequently, in 1985, Prof. Dr. Erich Mühe carried out the first laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC).[20] Since its introduction, laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the preferred technique for routine gallbladder removal and is now among the most frequently performed major abdominal surgeries in