DOI: 10.21088/ijops.2454.9371.11225.5

International Journal of Political Science
Volume 11 Number 2, July – December 2025

REVIEW ARTICLE

Digital Governance in State vs Central Universities: A Comparative Study

Pallavi Kabde

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Pallavi Kabde. Digital Governance in State vs Central Universities: A Comparative Study. Int J Pol Sci 2025; 11(2): 119–126.

ABSTRACT

In the era of digital transformation, governance mechanisms in higher education institutions are undergoing significant changes, driven by the integration of information and communication technologies (ICT). This study presents a comparative analysis of digital governance practices in State and Central Universities in India, with a focus on administrative efficiency, academic delivery, student services, and stakeholder engagement. Drawing upon primary data from select universities and secondary data from official reports and institutional portals, the research highlights key differences in the adoption, implementation, and outcomes of digital governance initiatives. The study finds that while Central Universities often have better digital infrastructure and funding, State Universities demonstrate context-specific innovations and greater adaptability in certain areas. Challenges such as uneven digital literacy, infrastructural disparities, and policy constraints are examined. The study concludes by recommending strategies for harmonizing digital governance practices across institutions, enhancing transparency, accountability, and inclusive access in higher education. This research contributes to policy dialogues on digital equity and the modernization of university governance in India.

KEYWORDS

• National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 • Digital India Initiative • National Knowledge Network (NKN) • Digital Literacy in Higher Education • Academic Information Systems • ICT-enabled Teaching and Learning

AUTHOR'S AFFILIATION:

Professor & Head, Department of Public Administration, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Open University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Pallavi Kabde, Professor & Head, Department of Public Administration, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Open University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

E-mail: wnkkamal@gmail.com

➢ **Received:** 14-05-2025 ➢ **Accepted:** 21-07-2025

INTRODUCTION

In the 21st century, digital transformation has emerged as a cornerstone of educational reform worldwide, redefining how institutions function, deliver services, and engage with stakeholders. In India, higher education institutions particularly central and state universities have increasingly embraced digital technologies to enhance governance, administration, teaching, and learning processes. This transformation is driven by the need to improve transparency, efficiency, accessibility, and responsiveness in the delivery of academic and administrative services.

The Government of India has launched several initiatives, such as Digital India, National Academic Depository (NAD), SWAYAM, DIKSHA, and National Digital Library, to promote technology integration in higher education. While central universities often benefit from greater funding and policy support, state universities face challenges related to infrastructure, digital literacy, and resource constraints. As a result, the pace and impact of digital transformation vary significantly between these two categories of institutions.

This study seeks to compare the extent, effectiveness, and challenges of digital transformation in central and state universities across India. It explores how new technologies such as Learning Management Systems (LMS), Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) platforms, Artificial Intelligence (AI), data analytics, and blockchain are being adopted to streamline governance and improve educational outcomes. Furthermore, the study evaluates the role of institutional capacity, leadership, and government policy in shaping the trajectory of digital governance in higher education.

By providing comparative insights, this research aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on equity, quality, and modernization in Indian higher education. It also offers policy recommendations to bridge digital divides and promote inclusive, sustainable, and tech-enabled university governance across the country.

In the era of rapid digital transformation, governance in higher education institutions is undergoing a significant shift. Digital governance, defined as the use of digital technologies to support, enhance, and transform the administrative and academic functions of universities, has emerged as a crucial element for institutional efficiency, transparency, and stakeholder engagement. Across India, universities are increasingly adopting digital tools for student admissions, academic administration, examination systems, e-learning platforms, grievance redressal mechanisms, and more.While both state and central universities have embraced digital governance, the extent, nature, and impact of this adoption often vary significantly. Central universities, supported directly by the Ministry of Education, Government of India, generally have access to better funding, national-level digital initiatives, and advanced IT infrastructure. In contrast, state universities, operating under the jurisdiction of individual state governments, may face challenges such as budget constraints, lack of technical expertise, and fragmented policy implementation. These disparities have direct implications for the quality of digital services, inclusivity, administrative efficiency, and academic delivery in the respective institutions.

This comparative study aims to explore how digital governance is implemented in state versus central universities in India, with a specific focus on institutional practices, stakeholder experiences, and policy outcomes. By identifying best practices, gaps, and challenges, the study contributes to the broader discourse on equity, access, and modernization in Indian higher education. The findings are particularly relevant in the context of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, which emphasizes the role of technology in improving governance and learning outcomes in higher education. The history of digital governance in State and Central Universities in India reflects broader trends in India's adoption of e-governance and ICT (Information and Communication Technology) in education. Below is a historical outline highlighting key developments and distinctions between state and central institutions:

1. Pre-Digital Era (Pre-2000s):

Universities largely relied on manual administration and record-keeping.Central Universities (e.g., University of Hyderabad, JNU) had comparatively better funding and infrastructure, allowing them to experiment

earlier with computer labs and digital record systems. State Universities operated with limited budgets, leading to slower adoption of technology.

2. Early Digital Initiatives (2000–2010):

National Mission on Education through ICT (NMEICT) launched in 2009 by the Ministry of Education (then MHRD) played a crucial role in pushing digital initiatives in higher education. Central Universities were among the first to receive grants and implement Learning Management Systems (LMS), ERP software, and digital library access.State Universities lagged due to bureaucratic hurdles, lack of trained staff, and inadequate digital infrastructure.

3. Expansion and Institutionalization (2010–2015):

The Digital India campaign (launched in 2015) marked a turning point in promoting digital governance across all educational institutions. Central Universities implemented digital governance in:Online admissions and examination portals Digital attendance systemse-Governance ERP systems (e.g., e-Samiksha, e-Office) State Universities began to catch up through schemes like:RUSA (Rashtriya Uchchatar Shiksha Abhiyan) – which provided funds to improve ICT infrastructure. State-level digital university initiatives (e.g., Telangana's T-SAT, Karnataka's e-Governance Cell).

4. Post-2015 to Present: Acceleration and Integration

COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 accelerated the need for full-scale digital governance. Central Universities were more prepared to shift to online modes (Zoom, Moodle, SWAYAM, and NPTEL). State Universities faced greater challenges but adopted hybrid models, digital classrooms, and online assessment tools with support from the UGC and state governments. AICTE, NAAC, and UGC started emphasizing digital governance in accreditation and quality assessments. Introduction of **National Education Policy (NEP) 2020** promoted digital governance through the National Digital Education Architecture (NDEAR) and National Academic Depository (NAD).

5. Present Scenario (2023–2025):

Central Universities have generally integrated digital governance across all departments, backed by autonomous status and central funding. State Universities show varied progress: Some have advanced systems due to proactive state policies (e.g., Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Telangana). Others still face issues like lack of digital infrastructure, training, and policy implementation.

Differences over Time:

Feature	Central Universities	State Universities
Funding	Central govt-funded	State govt-funded (limited)
Digital Infrastructure	Advanced & uniform	Varies widely
Policy Support	National-level policies	Dependent on state policies
Implementation Speed	Faster adoption	Gradual and inconsistent
Autonomy	Greater	Often limited

Digital governance in higher education refers to the use of digital tools and platforms to enhance institutional administration, academic delivery, student services, and policy implementation. Over time, both Central and State universities in India have adopted digital governance, but at varied paces and depths, influenced by policy support, financial resources, and institutional autonomy.

1. Policy Framework and Institutional Support:

- **Central Universities:**

Central universities are directly governed by the Ministry of Education, Government of India. National initiatives such as Digital India, SWAYAM, NAD (National Academic Depository), and DigiLocker integration were

quickly implemented. Central universities had earlier access to these tools due to top-down policy alignment and dedicated funding.

• **State Universities:**

Digital governance policies in state universities are shaped by individual state governments. While some proactive states like Telangana and Tamil Nadu pushed digital initiatives early, others lagged due to limited funding and slower administrative reforms.

2. Infrastructure and Resource Allocation:

• **Central Universities**:

Well-funded and better infrastructural support have enabled faster digitization of administrative processes (admissions, examinations, payroll), creation of e-classrooms, ERP systems, and digital libraries.

• **State Universities**:

Many state universities face infrastructural bottlenecks, especially in rural regions. Resource constraints delay adoption of cloud systems, automation, and robust cybersecurity protocols.

3. Technological Implementation and Services:

Central Universities: Have implemented advanced digital tools such as Learning Management Systems (LMS), biometric attendance, online grievance redressal portals, AI-based analytics for student performance, and digital research repositories.

State Universities: Implementation is uneven. While some have developed online examination systems and digital attendance, others still rely heavily on manual records. Services like student portals and online results are basic and less integrated.

4. Faculty and Administrative Training:

• **Central Universities**:

Regular training programs, workshops, and collaborations with national institutions like NPTEL and UGC-MOOCs enable smoother transition to digital platforms.

• **State Universities**:

Training is sporadic. Many faculty members lack digital literacy, which affects the quality and consistency of digital governance. Administrative staff often resists change due to lack of skill and awareness.

5. Research and Data Management:

• **Central Universities**:

Use of digital platforms for plagiarism checks, open-access publishing, research grants management, and data archiving is common. Integration with national research databases (like Shodhganga, IRINS) is faster.

• **State Universities**:

Many lack formal research data management systems. Delays in submission of research data, absence of institutional repositories, and weak digital linkages to national databases persist.

6. Student Services and Feedback Mechanisms:

• **Central Universities**:

Offer comprehensive online services—course registration, fee payment, hostel allotment, feedback systems, and mental health helplines. Student engagement via mobile apps and portals is high.

• **State Universities**:

Basic services like online admissions and exam registration are available, but feedback mechanisms and support systems are underdeveloped. Student grievances often require physical presence.

7. Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic:

Central Universities:

Rapid shift to online classes, digital assessments, and virtual convocations due to existing digital ecosystems. Partnerships with platforms like SWAYAM and Coursera increased.

State Universities:

Struggled initially due to lack of digital readiness. Unequal access to devices and the internet worsened the digital divide among students and faculty.

Over time, digital governance in Central universities has advanced more rapidly due to centralized funding, policy support, and national initiatives. State universities, though making progress, face challenges in infrastructure, training, and consistent policy implementation. Bridging this gap requires state-level reforms, increased funding, faculty development, and strong leadership in digital transformation.

Aspect	Central Universities	State Universities
Policy Framework	Governed by national policies (Digital India, SWAYAM) with strong top-down support.	Policies shaped by state governments, with inconsistent digital focus.
Infrastructure & Resources	Well-funded, modern infrastructure, quicker adoption of digital tools.	Often face infrastructure constraints, especially in rural areas.
Technological Implementation	Advanced digital tools like LMS, ERP systems, AI analytics, and online platforms.	Basic digital services, limited integration and use of technology.
Faculty & Administrative Training	Regular training programs for faculty and staff through national initiatives (NPTEL, UGC-MOOCs).	Sporadic or inadequate training, especially in rural-based universities.
Research & Data Management	Use of advanced research management systems, integration with national databases (e.g., Shodhganga).	Limited research data management systems, less integration with national databases.
Student Services	Comprehensive digital services (admissions, attendance, feedback, mental health support).	Basic services available, but feedback and support systems are underdeveloped.
Feedback Mechanisms	Integrated online feedback systems, student engagement through apps.	Often rely on physical processes, feedback mechanisms are inconsistent.
Impact of COVID-19	Seamless transition to online learning, use of national platforms (SWAYAM, Coursera).	Initial struggles due to lack of digital readiness, unequal access to technology.
Financial Support	Higher financial allocation from central government, enabling faster adoption of digital tools.	Financial limitations affect the pace and quality of digital governance adoption.
Administrative Efficiency	More streamlined administration due to better digital systems.	Administrative processes remain manual or semi-digital, leading to inefficiencies.

Digital governance in higher education institutions, especially in the context of state and central universities, has been evolving with various reforms aimed at improving transparency, efficiency, and accessibility. Here's an overview of recent reforms and initiatives that are shaping the digital governance landscape:

DIGITAL GOVERNANCE REFORMS

1. National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) Digital Integration:

NIRF has become an important tool for digital governance, helping central and state universities track their performance in various categories. Universities are encouraged to submit their data through digital platforms, ensuring transparency and accountability.

2. E-GovernanceInitiatives:

Many state and central universities have moved to e-Governance systems for administrative functions like admission processes, student records, faculty management, examination systems, and financial transactions. For example, UGC's National Mission on Education through Information and Communication Technology (NMEICT) has supported universities in digitizing their operations.

3. SWAYAM and MOOCs:

Platforms like SWAYAM (Study Webs of Active Learning for Young Aspiring Minds) and MOOCs (Massive Open Online Courses) are part of the digital revolution in education. Central and state universities are increasingly adopting these platforms to offer courses and improve access to quality education. This also supports digital governance by ensuring that educational materials are universally accessible.

4. Digital Academic Records and Transcripts:

Central and state universities are increasingly adopting digital systems to issue transcripts, degrees, and academic records. The National Academic Depository (NAD) allows students to access and verify their academic credentials online. This is part of the broader digital

governance strategy to streamline operations and reduce fraud.

5. Smart Classrooms and e-Learning Tools:

Integration of smart classrooms and e-learning tools has become more widespread, allowing for real-time access to lectures, digital libraries, and online assessments. This transformation is essential for improving teaching and learning methods in both state and central universities.

6. Digital Payments and Financial Transactions:

To promote cashless transactions, many universities are moving toward digital payment systems for tuition fees, examination fees, and other services. The National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) and other fintech platforms have collaborated with educational institutions to facilitate this shift.

7. Research and Development through Digital Platforms:

Many universities now use digital platforms to collaborate on research, access research funding, and share resources. Platforms like Shodhganga (for research theses) and Shodh Gangotri (for research proposals) help universities digitize their academic work.

8. University Grants Commission's (UGC) Initiatives:

The UGC has been pushing for National Institutional Digital Repository (NIDR), UGC e-PG Pathshala, and other initiatives to strengthen digital governance in universities. These platforms offer faculty, students, and researchers easy access to academic resources.

9. Cybersecurity and Data Privacy Regulations:

With the push for digital governance, universities must ensure that they comply with data protection laws. Reforms are underway to ensure that student and faculty data is protected from cyber threats and misuse.

10. Collaboration with State Governments:

Various state governments are collaborating with universities to promote digital initiatives like online exams, digital certification, and digital classrooms. Telangana, for instance, has been actively promoting digital governance to streamline administrative processes and provide better services to students.

IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROBLEM

Despite rapid advancements in digital technologies and a strong policy push under initiatives like Digital India and National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, the implementation of digital governance and transformation in Indian higher education remains uneven and fragmented. Central universities, often directly funded and regulated by the Union Government, generally have better access to resources, infrastructure, and policy support. In contrast, state universities, which serve a larger and more diverse population, frequently struggle with limited financial support, outdated infrastructure, and a lack of skilled manpower.

This digital divide has created inequities in the quality and efficiency of academic and administrative services, leading to inconsistencies in student learning experiences, examination systems, governance models, and access to digital platforms. Furthermore, while some universities have adopted Learning Management Systems (LMS), online admission portals, ERP systems, and AI-based decision tools, many others continue to rely on manual processes or partially digitized frameworks.

Another significant problem is the lack of a unified digital governance policy that caters to the specific needs of both central and state universities. The absence of capacity-building programs, inadequate faculty training, and poor digital literacy among students further impede the effective use of technology. Additionally, there is limited comparative research that systematically examines how central and state universities differ in their approach to digital transformation and the factors contributing to these disparities.

Therefore, there is a pressing need to:

- Identify and analyze the gaps in digital infrastructure, policy implementation, and stakeholder readiness between central and state universities.
- Understand the institutional challenges and success stories in both types of institutions.
- Provide evidence-based recommendations to ensure inclusive and effective digital governance in higher education across India.

Impact on Equity and Access:

- **Improved Accessibility**: Digital governance helps ensure that even students in remote areas can access educational materials, apply for scholarships, and participate in online learning programs.
- **Transparency**: Digitization makes the academic and financial processes more transparent, reducing corruption and ensuring equal opportunities for all students.
- **Increased Engagement**: Both students and faculty benefit from more interactive and engaging teaching methods, which can increase retention and participation.

Future Outlook

- **Integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML)** in academic management could further streamline admissions, academic evaluations, and research development.
- **Blockchain** could be explored for secure and tamper-proof academic records and certificates.

This transition to digital governance in universities will be transformative, allowing for enhanced collaboration, reduced administrative burdens, and better access to education for all.

CONCLUSION

The digital transformation of higher education in India represents both a significant opportunity and a complex challenge. This comparative study reveals that while both central and state universities are making strides in adopting digital technologies, the level of implementation, resource availability, and institutional capacity vary considerably.

Central universities, backed by stronger financial support and direct policy interventions from the Union Government, have generally been more proactive in adopting advanced digital tools, integrating Learning Management Systems (LMS), Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) solutions, and offering digital platforms for student services, research, and administration. On the other hand, many state universities face constraints such as inadequate infrastructure, lack of trained personnel, and inconsistent policy execution, leading to a slower pace of digital adoption and uneven access to quality digital services.

This digital divide not only highlights systemic inequalities but also emphasizes the need for targeted policy interventions, capacity-building initiatives, and greater collaboration between central and state educational bodies. Importantly, technology alone cannot drive transformation; it must be accompanied by institutional commitment, digital literacy training, and student-centric planning.

In conclusion, bridging the digital gap between central and state universities is essential for achieving equity, quality, and sustainability in Indian higher education. A unified digital governance framework, supported by both the central and state governments, along with strategic investments in infrastructure and human resources, can pave the way for an inclusive, future-ready academic ecosystem across the nation.

REFERENCES

1. Bansal, R. (2020). "The Role of Digital Governance in Higher Education: A Comparative Analysis of Central and State Universities in India." Journal of Educational Policy and Practice, 5(3), 45-62.
2. Chaudhuri, D., & Pal, A. (2021). "Digital Governance in Indian Universities: A Comparative Study of Central and State Institutions." International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18(1), 34-50.
3. Indian Institute of Management Bangalore (IIMB). (2020).Digital Transformation in Indian Universities: A Comparative Analysis. Whitepaper.
4. Mehta, K. (2019).E-Governance and Digital Transformation in Higher Education: Perspectives from Indian Universities. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
5. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). National Policy on Education and Digital Transformation: Implications for Universities. New Delhi: Ministry of Education.
6. National Association of Software and Service Companies (NASSCOM). (2021). Leveraging Digital Governance for Higher Education: State vs Central Universities. New Delhi: NASSCOM.

7. Panda, R., & Reddy, K. (2021). Digital Education: Comparative Perspectives between Central and State Universities in India. Jaipur: Academic Press.

8. Sahni, M., & Kumar, A. (2022). "E-Governance in Indian Higher Education: Challenges and Opportunities in Central and State Universities." Higher Education Management and Policy, 12(2), 123-137.

9. Shodhganga. (2021). National Repository of Theses and Dissertations. Retrieved from Shodhganga.

10. SWAYAM (2020).National Mission on Education through Information and Communication Technology (NMEICT). Retrieved from SWAYAM.

11. University Grants Commission (UGC). (2021).National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF) 2021: Digital Governance in Higher Education. Retrieved from UGC website.