

## Uterine Artery Doppler At 11-14 weeks in Prediction of Preeclampsia

G Soruba Rani<sup>1</sup>, K Padma<sup>2</sup>, Nancy F<sup>3</sup>

### How to cite this article:

G Soruba Rani, K Padma, Nancy F/Uterine Artery Doppler At 11-14 weeks in Prediction of Preeclampsia/Indian J Obstet Gynecol. 2022;10(2):85-90.

### Abstract

Identification of women at high risk for preeclampsia improves pregnancy outcome, early prediction of preeclampsia prevents dreadful maternal complication thereby reduces maternal mortality & morbidity and fetal complication. A prospective study conducted in Govt. Rajaji Hospital Madurai from January 2021 – September 2021. 300 antenatal women were interrogated between 11-14 weeks of GA with uterine artery Doppler. Out of which 55.3% of High risk women were predicted as preeclampsia & 27% of low risk women were predicted as preeclampsia. These patients were treated with T.Aspirin. So that maternal & perinatal morbidity & mortality reduced.

**Keywords:** Preeclampsia; IUGR; Uterine Artery Doppler.

### Introduction

Preeclampsia affects 5 to 8% of pregnant women, is the most frequent medical complication in pregnancy and the most important cause of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. Incidence is rising as women are postponing their pregnancy to a later age and increased prepregnancy weight. The initial pathological changes begin in late first trimester and consist of abnormal remodeling of the spiral arteries. At severe end of spectrum,

preeclampsia can result in pulmonary edema, cerebral hemorrhage, hepatic failure, renal failure, eclampsia, disseminated intravascular coagulation and maternal death. Fetal/neonatal consequences include growth restriction preterm birth, stillbirth, and admission to a neonatal intensive care unit. Abnormal invasion of the placental trophoblast into the maternal spiral arterioles, as early as the first trimester, is the proposed pathophysiologic mechanism for the development of this disorder. This abnormal placentation results in a high resistance uteroplacental circulation bed and persistent placental underperfusion, leading to the phenotype of preeclampsia.

### Materials and Methods

This is a prospective study conducted for the period of 9 months from Jan 2021 Sep 2021. This study includes 300 antenatal women at 11-14 weeks, singleton pregnancy. They were interrogated, examined & investigated. Through history taking to know the patient demographics, GA & to know

**Author's Affiliation:** <sup>1,2</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>3</sup>Post Graduate, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Madurai Medical College, Madurai 625020, Tamil Nadu, India.

**Corresponding Author:** K Padma, Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Madurai Medical College, Madurai 625020, Tamil Nadu, India.

**E-mail:** padmasarathi13@gmail.com

**Received on:** 03.12.2021

**Accepted on:** 04.01.2022

any high risk factors associated with the pregnancy like family history of HT, diabetes, ch.renal failure, SLE. Routine biochemical investigation & ultra sound Doppler study were done. Those cases with increased resistance index or diastolic notching were asked to attend antenatal clinic once in 15 days.

## Results

Among 300 randomly selected antenatal cases, low risk women contributes 84.7%, and high risk women contributes 15.3%. Among 300 antenatal cases in whom Uterine artery Doppler study at 11-14 weeks was done, 18% had abnormal uterine artery Doppler.

## Discussions

Among 300 randomly selected antenatal cases, low risk women contributes 84.7%, and high risk women contributes 15.3%. Among 300 antenatal cases in whom Uterine artery Doppler study at 11-14 weeks was done, 18% had abnormal uterine artery Doppler.

**Low Risk:** 12.9% had abnormal Doppler. 27.3% of low risk women with abnormal Doppler had preeclampsia.

**High Risk:** 75% of women with age > 30yrs had preeclampsia. 31.25% of Overweight women with BMI 25 to 30 had preeclampsia. 66% of obese women with BMI>30 had preeclampsia. 36% of primi with abnormal Doppler had preeclampsia. 32% of multi with abnormal Doppler had preeclampsia. 55% of women with previous history of preeclampsia. 50% of women with family h/o hypertension had preeclampsia. 50% of women with diabetes mellitus had preeclampsia. 40.7% of women early diastolic notch at 11 to 14 weeks developed preeclampsia. 47.4% of women with persistent diastolic notch at 20 weeks had preeclampsia.

**Table 2:** Age Vs Abnormal Doppler Vs Preeclampsia

| Age         | No of Cases      |      |      | Percentage       |      |      |
|-------------|------------------|------|------|------------------|------|------|
|             | Abnormal Doppler | Pet  | Iugr | Abnormal Doppler | Pet  | Iugr |
| <19 (13)    | 6                | 2.0  | 5    | 46.2             | 33.3 | 83.3 |
| 20-30 (276) | 51               | 16.0 | 19   | 18.5             | 31.4 | 37.3 |
| >30 (11)    | 4                | 3.0  | 2    | 36.4             | 75.0 | 66.7 |
| Total       | 61               | 21.0 | 26   | —                | —    | —    |

## Resistance Index


Resistance index reference value is 0.7 for 11 to 14 weeks. 38% of women with Resistance index >95 thpercentile developed preeclampsia. So, elderly women, primi gravidae, obese women, women with previous history of preeclampsia, family history of hypertension, Diabetes mellitus are more prone to develop preeclampsia, which can be detected earlier by uterine artery Doppler at 11-14 weeks.

## Conclusion

Uterine artery Doppler at 11-14 weeks should be done in all cases atleast in high risk cases so that maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality may be reduced. During 11-14 weeks nuchal translucency USG done to rule out chromosomal abnormality along with that uterine artery Doppler study should also be added for better maternal and Perinatal outcome.

**Table 1:** Doppler Abnormality.

| Doppler  | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|----------|--------------|------------|
| Abnormal | 61           | 20.3       |
| Normal   | 239          | 79.7       |
| Total    | 300          | 100        |



**Fig. 1:** Doppler Result




Fig. 2: Age Vs Abnormal Doppler.

Table 3: Bmi Vs Abnormal Doppler Vs Preeclampsia

| BMI             | No of Cases      |      |      | Percentage       |      |      |
|-----------------|------------------|------|------|------------------|------|------|
|                 | Abnormal Doppler | Pet  | Iugr | Abnormal Doppler | Pet  | Iugr |
| <24.9 (185)     | 26               | 8.0  | 12   | 14.1             | 30.8 | 46.2 |
| 25 – 29.9 (109) | 32               | 10.0 | 13   | 29.3             | 31.3 | 40.6 |
| >30 (6)         | 3                | 2.0  | 1    | 50               | 66.7 | 33.3 |




Fig. 3: Bmi Vs Abnormal Doppler

Table 4: Obstetric Code Vs Abnormal Doppler Vs Preeclampsia

| Obst. Code  | No of Cases      |      |      | Percentage       |      |      |
|-------------|------------------|------|------|------------------|------|------|
|             | Abnormal Doppler | PET  | IUGR | Abnormal Doppler | PET  | IUGR |
| Primi (164) | 33               | 12.0 | 18   | 20.1             | 36.4 | 54.5 |
| Multi (136) | 28               | 9.0  | 8    | 20.6             | 32.1 | 28.5 |
| Total       | 61               | 21.0 | 26   | —                | —    | —    |




Fig. 4: Obstetric Code Vs Abnormal Doppler

Table 5: Risk Factors Vs Abnormal Doppler Vs Preeclampsia

| Risk Factors       | No of Cases      |     |      | Percentage |      |
|--------------------|------------------|-----|------|------------|------|
|                    | Abnormal Doppler | PET | IUGR | PET        | IUGR |
| Family H/o. HT     | 4                | 2.0 | 2    | 50.0       | 50.0 |
| GDM                | 4                | 2.0 | —    | 50.0       | 0.0  |
| Prev. PP Elcampsia | 1                | 0.0 | —    | 0.0        | 0.0  |
| Prev. GHT          | 9                | 5.0 | 2    | 55.5       | 22.2 |
| Total              | 18               | 9.0 | 4    | —          | —    |






Fig. 5: Risk Factor Vs Abnormal Doppler

**Table 6:** Notch Vs Abnormal Doppler Vs Preeclampsia.


|                        | Diastolic Notch | PET  | IUGR | Diastolic Notch | PET  | IUGR |
|------------------------|-----------------|------|------|-----------------|------|------|
| <b>Laterality</b>      |                 |      |      |                 |      |      |
| Right                  | 35              | 13.0 | 16   | 70              | 37.1 | 45.7 |
| Left                   | 15              | 6.0  | 10   | 30              | 40.0 | 66.6 |
| Bilateral <sup>4</sup> | 2               | 2.0  | 2    | 50              | 50.0 | 50.0 |

**Fig. 6:** Unilateral.**Table 7:** Mean Ri

| Mean RI | No. of Cases | Percentage |
|---------|--------------|------------|
| > 0.66  | 10           | 38.0       |
| < 0.66  | 16           | 62.0       |
| Total   | 26           | 100.0      |

**Fig. 7:** Mean Ri.**Table 8:** Persistence Notch at 20 weeks

| Persistence Notch at 20 weeks | Diastolic Notch % | PET  | IUGR |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------|------|
| Right                         | 71.4              | 48.0 | 60.0 |
| Left                          | 86.6              | 46.2 | 61.5 |

**Fig. 8:** Persistence of Diastolic Notch of 20 weeks.

## References

1. Uterine artery Doppler velocimetry in relation to trophoblast migration into the myometrium of the placental bed. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1995;85

2. Schulman h, Fleischer A, Farmakides G, Bracero L, Grunfeld L, Development of uterine artery compliance in pregnancy as detected by Doppler ultrasound. Am J Obstet Gynecol.1986;155:1031-6.
  3. Gomez O, Martinez JM, Fifueras F, et al. Uterine artery Doppler at 11-14 weeks of gestation to screen for hypertensive disorders and associated complications in an unselected population. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2005; 26:490-4.
  4. Papageorghiou AT, Yu CK, Erasmus IE, Cuckle HS, Nicolaides KH. Assessment of risk for the development of preeclampsia by maternal characteristics and uterine artery Doppler. BJOG.2005;112:703-9.
  5. Cnossen J, Morris R, Riet G, et al. Use of uterine artery Doppler ultrasonography to predict preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction: a systematic review and bivariable meta-analysis, CMAJ.2008;178:701-11
-