DOI: 10.21088/potj.0974.5777.18325.7

Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy Journal
Volume 18 Number 3, July - September 2025

REVIEW ARTICLE

Pain Neuroscience Education: Transforming Physiotherapy Practice

Bid Dibyendunarayan Dhrubaprasad

HOW TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Bid Dibyendunarayan Dhrubaprasad. Pain Neuroscience Education: Transforming Physiotherapy Practice. Physio. and Occ. Therapy Jr. 2025; 18(3): 239-245.

ABSTRACT

Chronic pain represents one of the most significant global health challenges of our time, affecting over half of the world's population and imposing substantial socioeconomic burdens on healthcare systems. Traditional physiotherapy approaches focusing primarily on tissue based interventions have proven inadequate for addressing the complex, multidimensional nature of persistent pain conditions. This review examines Pain Neuroscience Education (PNE) as a transformative clinical approach that aligns with contemporary understanding of pain neurobiology and the biopsychosocial model. Through a critical analysis of current evidence, this paper explores how PNE reconceptualizes pain, its integration into physiotherapy practice, and its potential to revolutionize pain management. Evidence demonstrates that PNE represents not merely an adjunct to conventional treatment, but also a fundamental paradigm shift in physiotherapy that empowers patients, addresses cognitive-emotional dimensions of pain, and achieves superior clinical outcomes.

KEYWORDS

• Pain Neuroscience Education • Central Sensitization • Biopsychosocial Model • Chronic Pain Management • Physiotherapy Practice

INTRODUCTION

Pain represents perhaps the most universal yet persistently misunderstood human experience. Despite significant advances in medical science, chronic pain continues to be a leading cause of disability worldwide, with prevalence rates exceeding 56% of the global population.[1] In the face of this epidemic, healthcare systems have responded with increasingly invasive and pharmacological interventions, culminating in the opioid crisis that continues to devastate communities across developed nations.[2]

Physiotherapy has long been positioned as a conservative, movement-based alternative to

AUTHOR'S AFFILIATION:

HOD, Department of Musculoskeletal Sciences, The Sarvajanik College of Physiotherapy, Rampura, Surat, Gujarat, India.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Bid Dibyendunarayan Dhrubaprasad, Department of Physiotherapy, School of Paramedical & Allied Health Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India.

E-mail: dnbid71@gmail.com

➢ **Received:** 09-05-2025 ➢ **Accepted:** 20-06-2025

medication and surgery. However, traditional physiotherapy approaches often operate within a biomedical framework that conceptualizes pain as a symptom of tissue damage or dysfunction. This paradigm, while intuitive and historically dominant, fails to account for the frequently observed discordance between tissue pathology and pain experience – a phenomenon well-documented in scientific literature for conditions ranging from low back pain to osteoarthritis.[3]

The limitations of biomedical models have spurred a fundamental reconsideration of how we understand, assess, and treat pain. Emerging from this reconceptualization is Pain Neuroscience Education (PNE), a therapeutic approach that leverages contemporary pain science to transform patient understanding and treatment outcomes. Rather than focusing exclusively on peripheral tissues, PNE addresses the neural mechanisms and cognitive-emotional dimensions that amplify and perpetuate pain states.[4]

This review examined the theoretical foundations, clinical applications, and emerging evidence supporting PNE as a transformative approach in physiotherapy practice. In doing so, it advocates for a fundamental paradigm shift in how physiotherapists conceptualize their role in addressing one of humanity's most pervasive and complex health challenges.

BEYOND THE BIOMEDICAL MODEL

Traditional biomedical models of pain posit a relatively linear relationship between tissue damage and pain perception. In this framework, nociceptive signals from peripheral tissues travel to the brain and passively register as pain. Physiotherapy interventions developed within this paradigm logically focus on identifying and correcting structural abnormalities or tissue dysfunction that are presumed to generate nociceptive input.

However, contemporary neuroscience has revealed a far more complex reality. Pain is now understood to be an output rather than an input, a protective response generated by the brain in response to a perceived threat, not merely a passive registration of tissue damage.[5] This neurobiological understanding explains numerous clinical observations that confound the biomedical model.

1. The frequent presence of significant structural abnormalities in asymptomatic individuals.
2. The persistence of pain long after tissue healing has occurred.
3. The profound influence of psychological factors on pain intensity and disability.
4. The phenomenon of pain in the absence of identifiable tissue pathology.

Central to this reconceptualization is the phenomenon of central sensitization, a neurophysiological state characterized by amplified neural signaling that increases pain sensitivity. This process involves multiple mechanisms including windup, long-term potentiation, and altered endogenous pain modulation, resulting in hyperalgesia (increased pain from painful stimuli) and allodynia (pain from normally non-painful stimuli).[6] Once established, central sensitization can maintain pain independent of peripheral input explaining why interventions focused solely on peripheral tissues often yield disappointing results.

The biopsychosocial model provides a framework for understanding how biological, psychological, and social factors interact with pain perception and persistence. Within this model, cognitive factors, such as beliefs, expectations, and attention, emerge as powerful modulators of pain. Catastrophic thinking, fear-avoidance behaviors, and hypervigilance can amplify pain signals and drive disability, while positive expectations and adaptive beliefs can reduce pain intensity and improve function.[7]

This evolution in the understanding of pain necessitates parallel evolution in treatment approaches. If pain is influenced by multiple factors beyond tissue status, then effective interventions must address these broader dimensions, particularly the cognitive-emotional aspects that traditional physiotherapy approaches have often overlooked.

Pain Neuroscience Education

Pain Neuroscience Education represents a structured approach to helping patients understand the biological processes underlying their pain experience. Unlike traditional patient education, which often focuses on anatomy and biomechanics, PNE addresses

the neurophysiology of pain, with particular emphasis on the brain's role in pain processing and modulation.[8]

The fundamental premise of PNE is that helping patients understand the biological processes underlying their pain can desensitize the neural networks involved in pain production and reduce the threat value associated with their condition. This reconceptualization aims to shift patients away from structural or pathoanatomical explanations (e.g., "My disc is bulging") toward more accurate neurophysiological understanding (e.g., "My nervous system has become sensitized").

Key concepts typically addressed in PNE include the following:

1. Pain does not necessarily signify tissue damage.
2. The brain produces pain when it perceives threat, not simply when tissues are damaged.
3. Nervous system sensitivity can increase over time (central sensitization).
4. Thoughts, emotions, and beliefs can amplify or diminish pain.
5. Pain-related fear and avoidance can increase pain and disability.
6. The nervous system can be desensitized through gradual exposure to movement and activity.

The effective delivery of PNE requires translating complex neuroscience into accessible language through metaphors, stories, images, and examples tailored to the individual patient. For instance, the nervous system might be compared to a home security system that can become overly sensitive, triggering "alarms" (pain) in response to non-threatening stimuli. Such metaphors help patients visualize and comprehend otherwise abstract neurobiological concepts.[9]

Rather than simply providing information, PNE aims to fundamentally transform how patients conceptualize their pain experience. This cognitive restructuring addresses what Moseley[10] termed "thought viruses" maladaptive beliefs that perpetuate pain and disability. By replacing catastrophic interpretations with evidence-based understanding, PNE aims to reduce the threat value of pain and associated sympathetic arousal, which can maintain central sensitization.

Evidence for Clinical Efficacy and Underlying Mechanisms

A growing body of evidence supports the efficacy of PNE in various persistent pain conditions. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses indicate that PNE can effectively reduce pain intensity, disability, catastrophizing, and kinesiophobia while improving physical function and movement performance.[11]

Research has demonstrated that PNE produces measurable changes in both self-reported outcomes and objective physiological parameters. For example, studies using quantitative sensory testing have documented improvements in pain thresholds following PNE interventions, suggesting genuine neurophysiological effects rather than merely altered reporting behaviors.[12] Similarly, brain imaging studies have shown changes in cortical activity patterns following educational interventions that explain pain biology, indicating that education can influence central pain processing mechanisms.

The mechanisms underlying PNE's effectiveness of PNE appear to be multifaceted:

1. **Cognitive reassessment:** By understanding that pain does not necessarily indicate tissue damage, patients may reinterpret sensations as less threatening.
2. **Reduced sympathetic arousal:** Decreased threat perception may reduce sympathetic nervous system activity, which can otherwise maintain heightened pain sensitivity.
3. **Improved self-efficacy:** Knowledge of pain mechanisms may enhance patients' confidence in their ability to manage their condition.
4. **Increased movement:** Reduced fear may lead to greater physical activity, which has analgesic effects through endogenous pain inhibition systems.
5. **Improved therapeutic alliance:** The collaborative, explanatory approach of PNE may strengthen the patient-therapist relationship and enhance treatment effects.

Particularly noteworthy is PNE's effectiveness of PNE in pre-surgical

populations. Research has demonstrated that PNE delivered before lumbar surgery or total knee arthroplasty can reduce postoperative pain, analgesic usage, and healthcare costs while improving functional outcomes.[13] These findings suggest that PNE may have preventive applications beyond its remedial use for established chronic pain.

Integration with Traditional Physiotherapy Approaches

While the evidence supporting PNE is compelling, it would be a mistake to position it as a replacement for traditional physiotherapy interventions. Rather, the greatest clinical benefits appear to emerge when PNE is integrated with established treatments such as exercise therapy, manual therapy, and physical activity promotion an approach termed "PNE+".[4]

In this integrated model, PNE serves as a foundation that enhances the effectiveness of other interventions by addressing the cognitive and neurophysiological barriers to success. For example:

- **Exercise therapy:** Understanding pain neurophysiology can reduce movement-related fear and catastrophizing, thereby allowing patients to fully engage in progressive exercise programs.[14]
- **Manual therapy:** Reconceptualizing manual interventions as techniques that modulate neural sensitivity rather than "fix" structural problems may enhance their effectiveness through positive expectation.
- **Physical activity:** Knowledge that movement is safe and beneficial can help patients overcome fear-avoidance behaviors that limit their participation in valued activities.[15]

This integration requires thoughtful clinical reasoning to determine the appropriate sequencing and emphasis on different approaches. In some patients, PNE may need to precede movement-based interventions to address substantial pain-related fear. Concurrent delivery may be more efficient for other patients. The critical factor is that educational and physical interventions are conceptually aligned, presenting a coherent explanation and approach, rather than contradictory messages.

Practical integration strategies include the following:

1. Using therapeutic neuroscience explanations during hands-on treatment.
2. Incorporating pain science concepts during exercise instruction.
3. Relating movement observations to pain neurophysiology.
4. Using graded exposure approaches informed by the patient's updated understanding of pain.

Clinical Implementation

Implementing PNE in clinical practice requires more than just acquiring knowledge of pain neurophysiology. It demands communication skills, behavioral change facilitation, and individualized education, which many physiotherapists may not have developed in their professional training.

Effective delivery of PNE involves the following steps:

1. **Assessment of existing pain beliefs:** Understanding what patients already believe about their pain is essential for targeting misconceptions and building a new understanding.
2. **Language adaptation:** Technical neuroscience terminology must be translated into an accessible language without oversimplification or inaccuracy.
3. **Metaphor development:** Creating and refining metaphors that resonate with individual patients' experiences and backgrounds.
4. **Visual tools:** Utilizing images, drawings, and models to reinforce verbal explanations.
5. **Narrative integration:** Weaving neurophysiological explanations into the patient's personal pain story.
6. **Verification of understanding:** Regularly checking the patient's comprehension and addressing misconceptions.
7. **Reinforcement strategies:** Providing resources such as handouts, workbooks, or online materials that patients can revisit between sessions.

Institutional barriers to implementation include time constraints in clinical settings, reimbursement structures that prioritize procedural interventions over education, and

organizational cultures that remain entrenched in biomedical approaches. Overcoming these barriers requires advocacy for policy changes that recognize the value of educational interventions and restructuring clinical workflows to accommodate the time needed for effective education.

Professional development in PNE is becoming increasingly available through continuing education courses, online resources, and academic programs. However, truly integrating this approach requires more than occasional workshops; it also requires ongoing mentorship, practice, and critical reflection on clinical communication.

Broader Implications for Physiotherapy

The paradigm shift represented by PNE extends beyond pain management, challenging fundamental assumptions regarding the nature of physiotherapy practice. By recognizing the powerful influence of education and cognitive factors on physical outcomes, PNE blurs the traditional boundaries between "physical" and "psychological" interventions.

This reconceptualization positions physiotherapists not merely as technicians who apply physical interventions but as clinical scientists and educators who address the complex interplay between body and mind. Such a shift has profound implications for professional identity, scope of practice, and interprofessional collaboration. The seminal work of Louw *et al*.[16], in their comprehensive text on teaching people about pain, provided a structured framework for this professional evolution, offering both theoretical foundations and practical implementation strategies.

From an ethical perspective, PNE aligns with the principles of patient autonomy and informed consent by providing patients with accurate information about their condition and empowering them to make informed decisions. This contrasts with paternalistic approaches, which may withhold complex information or oversimplify explanations to ensure compliance.

In addition, PNE has implications for addressing health disparities in pain management. Research has documented disparities in pain assessment and treatment across racial, socioeconomic, and sex lines. By providing a consistent, evidence-based approach to understanding pain that emphasizes its universality and biological basis, PNE may help reduce bias in clinical reasoning and treatment planning.

Future Directions

While the evidence supporting PNE is promising, significant questions remain that warrant further investigation.

1. **Dosage and delivery:** What is the optimal duration, intensity, and format of PNE interventions for different patient populations?
2. **Individual differences:** Which patients benefit most from PNE and how can interventions be tailored to address specific beliefs, learning styles, and cultural backgrounds?
3. **Long-term outcomes:** Does PNE produce sustainable changes in pain cognition and behavior beyond the immediate post-intervention period?
4. **Implementation science:** Which strategies most effectively facilitate the integration of PNE into diverse clinical settings? Recent systematic reviews exploring PNE implementation in primary care settings have highlighted both promising outcomes and practical challenges that require further research.[17]
5. **Prevention applications:** Can PNE be delivered to at-risk populations to prevent transition from acute to chronic pain?

Addressing these questions requires not only traditional efficacy trials but also qualitative and mixed-methods research examining patient experiences, implementation barriers, and knowledge translation processes.

Educational priorities include the following:

1. Integration of contemporary pain science into entry-level physiotherapy curricula.
2. Development of communication skills training that focuses specifically on explaining pain concepts.
3. Creation of resources for continuing professional development in PNE.
4. Public education initiatives to address widely held misconceptions about pain.

CONCLUSION

Pain Neuroscience Education represents more than just another tool in the

physiotherapist's toolkit it embodies a fundamental reconceptualization of pain, disability, and the therapeutic process. By addressing the powerful cognitive and neurophysiological dimensions of pain experience, PNE offers a path beyond the limitations of purely mechanical approaches that have dominated physiotherapy practice.

Evidence supports PNE as an effective, safe, and cost-efficient approach that aligns with the contemporary understanding of pain neurobiology. Its integration into physiotherapy practice represents not merely a technical innovation but also a paradigm shift that expands the profession's scope and impact.

As healthcare systems worldwide struggle with the burden of chronic pain and its consequences, physiotherapists have both the opportunity and responsibility to lead the transformation of pain management. By embracing PNE and its implications, the profession can move beyond symptomatic treatment toward truly addressing the complex biopsychosocial nature of the human pain experience.

In a world where pain is increasingly medicalized and persistent suffering is commonplace, PNE offers something precious: understanding that empowers and hopes grounded in science. For patients trapped in cycles of pain and fear, such an understanding may be the most powerful intervention we can provide.

REFERENCES

1. Briggs AM, Cross MJ, Hoy DG, Sànchez-Riera L, Blyth FM, Woolf AD, *et al.* Musculoskeletal Health Conditions Represent a Global Threat to Healthy Aging: A Report for the 2015 World Health Organization World Report on Ageing and Health. Gerontologist. 2016;56 Suppl 2:S243-55.
2. Volkow ND, McLellan AT. Opioid Abuse in Chronic Pain — Misconceptions and Mitigation Strategies. 2016;374(13):1253-63.
3. Brinjikji W, Luetmer PH, Comstock B, Bresnahan BW, Chen LE, Deyo RA, *et al.* Systematic literature review of imaging features of spinal degeneration in asymptomatic populations. AJNR American journal of neuroradiology. 2015;36(4):811-6.
4. Louw A, Zimney K, Puentedura EJ, Diener I. The efficacy of pain neuroscience education on musculoskeletal pain: A systematic review of the literature. Physiotherapy theory and practice. 2016;32(5):332-55.
5. Moseley GL, Butler DS. Fifteen Years of Explaining Pain: The Past, Present, and Future. J Pain. 2015;16(9):807-13.
6. Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: implications for the diagnosis and treatment of pain. Pain. 2011;152(3 Suppl):S2-s15.
7. Edwards RR, Dworkin RH, Sullivan MD, Turk DC, Wasan AD. The Role of Psychosocial Processes in the Development and Maintenance of Chronic Pain. J Pain. 2016;17 (9 Suppl):T70-92.
8. Louw A, Diener I, Butler DS, Puentedura EJ. The effect of neuroscience education on pain, disability, anxiety, and stress in chronic musculoskeletal pain. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2011;92(12):2041-56.
9. Gallagher L, McAuley J, Moseley GL. A randomized-controlled trial of using a book of metaphors to reconceptualize pain and decrease catastrophizing in people with chronic pain. Clin J Pain. 2013;29(1):20-5.
10. Moseley GL. Reconceptualising pain according to modern pain science. Physical Therapy Reviews. 2007;12(3):169-78.
11. Watson JA, Ryan CG, Cooper L, Ellington D, Whittle R, Lavender M, *et al.* Pain Neuroscience Education for Adults With Chronic Musculoskeletal Pain: A Mixed-Methods Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Pain. 2019;20(10):1140.e1-.e22.
12. Nijs J, Paul van Wilgen C, Van Oosterwijck J, van Ittersum M, Meeus M. How to explain central sensitization to patients with 'unexplained' chronic musculoskeletal pain: practice guidelines. Manual therapy. 2011;16(5):413-8.
13. Louw A, Diener I, Landers MR, Puentedura EJ. Preoperative pain neuroscience education for lumbar radiculopathy: a multicenter randomized controlled trial with 1-year follow-up. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2014;39(18):1449-57.
14. Nijs J, Lluch Girbés E, Lundberg M, Malfliet A, Sterling M. Exercise therapy for chronic musculoskeletal pain: Innovation by altering pain memories. Manual therapy. 2015;20(1):216-20.
15. Ryan CG, Gray HG, Newton M, Granat MH. Pain biology education and exercise classes

compared to pain biology education alone for individuals with chronic low back pain: a pilot randomised controlled trial. Manual therapy. 2010;15(4):382-7.

16. Louw A, Puentedura E, Schmidt S, Zimney K. Pain Neuroscience Education: Teaching People about Pain: Orthopedic Physical Therapy Products; 2018.

17. Tatikola SP, Natarajan V, Amaravadi SK, Desai VK, Asirvatham AR, Nagaraja R. Effect of pain neuroscience education(+) (PNE(+)) in people with different mechanisms of chronic pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of bodywork and movement therapies. 2025;41:215-37.