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#### Abstract

Even though the forensic value of correlation coefficient between foot length and height has been studied, thereis lack of studies regarding this subject. Interrelations among different body measurements may be used to estimateone from another in caseof missing body parts. Thepresent study was carried out to establish correlation between individual's height and mean foot length. It was conducted on students of agegroup 14to 24 years. A im: To analyzethe correlation between foot length and height in population of North-East Karnataka region. M ethods: Inthe presentstudy, 150 subjects were divided into two groups according to age and each subject was assessed. Results: A verage of mean foot length in male and females a. In Agegroup 14to 18 years is 25.51 cm and 23.2 cm respectively b. In Agegroup 19to 24 years is 25.63 cm and 23.4 cm respectively

Correlation coefficient between height length and foot length al so shows significant association for the agegroup of 14 to 24 years and sex.
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## Introduction

Growth - the vital process is measured by measuring the height of a person, which itself is a sum of the length of certain bones and appendages of the body which represent certain relationship with form of proportions to the total stature. This relationship is very useful anthropologically to find racial differences and medico-legally, when only parts of the deceased body are available. Henceitcan be of great anthropological and forensic value and indeed would help many of theAnthropological and Forensic experts.

Height depends on many factors and of those, few factors like genetics, gender, geographical, eating habits and socioeconomic factors aremost important

[^0]and worth to mention. Estimation of height from foot length has been attempted by several people with variabledegree of success. Thepresent study is done in the population of North Karnataka region to find the correlation between height and foot length.

Rutishauer, for the first time showed in children that thereliability of prediction of height from simple measurements like foot length was as high as long bones[1].

A shizawa studied the correlation between foot length and body size[2].

Musgrave and Harneja calculated height from various metacarpals amongst British adults and found significant degrees of association in both sexes ( M aler $=0.58$ to 0.67 and femaler $=0.49$ to 0.71) [3].

Patel S.M. found mean height was 170.96 cm in males and 156.14 cm in females in agegroup of 17 to 24 years [4].

Qamraet al., derived regression equation between foot length and height in North-West India population, there correlation coefficient between foot length and height was +0.69 in male and +0.70 in female[5].

## M aterrials and M ethods

The measurements of 150 individuals residing in North-East Karnataka (Gulbarga and Bidar) were studied in Department of A natomy, Bidar Institute of Medical Sciences (BRIMS), Bidar. Thesubjects were divided as per their ageand sex.

Group-1 included subjects of age group 14 to 18 years in which therewere 40 males and 40 females.

Group-2 included subjects of age group 19 to 24 years in which therewere 35 males and 35 females.

All the subjects wereexamined as under;

## Height

Height was measured by Standiometer. The subjects were made to stand against the wall and height was measured. Themeasurements weretaken by the same person and at a fixed time to avoid personal error and eliminate diurnal variation in methodology.

## Foot Length

N ailsweretrimmed and measurement of footlength weretaken on a paper in standing position. Outline of thefoot was marked by proximal and distal point and measurements were taken between two points.

* Proximal Point - point of maximum curvature on the outlineheel
* Distal Point - point of maximum curvature on theoutlinegreat toe


## M easurement of F oot

Thesubjects wereexplained about the procedure, long sized paper was spread on a bench. Subjects were made to stand on the paper in erect but relax position. Proximal point was marked for theheel with sharp tip of the pencil holding at right angle to the heel. Distal pointwas marked for thegreat toeonly



Fig. 2:
holding pencil at right angle to the great toe. Mid point of the curvewas taken as distal point. Distance between two points was measured with a ruler and same procedure was followed in all subjects. When analysed stasitically, difference between two groups was considered to besignificant when $\mathrm{P}<0.005$.

## Resultand Discussion

The present study shows that mean height in age group of 14 to 18 years in malewas 163.95 cm and in females was 150.6 cm . Mean height of agegroup 19to 24 years in male was 168.74 cm and in females was 152.7 cm .

In the study doneby Patel S. M. it was found that the mean height was 170.96 cm in male and 156.14 cm in females of agegroup 17 to 22 years. Our study reveal sthat themean length of foot in age group 14to 18 years in male was 25.51 cm and in female was 23.20 cm . Mean length of foot in agegroup of 19 to 24 years in male was 25.63 and in females was 23.4 cm . Patel S. M. found that the mean foot length was 22.44 cm in males and 22.34 cm in females in agegroup of 17 to 22 years.

The correlation coefficient between height and length of foot al so shows significant association for all agegroups and sex in my study.

Fig. 1:

| Jitendra P. Patel et al., found regression equation | A geG roup 20 to 25 years: |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| for maleand femaleas under; | Height | $=$ | $79.14+(3.504)$ FL for males |
| A geG roup 14 to 19 years: | Height | $=$ | $33.18+(5.480)$ FL for females |


| Height $=77.03+(3.582)$ FL for males |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Height | $=55.35+(4.59)$ FL for females |

Table 1: height v/s rfl and Ifl of girls

| Age groups (In years) | No.of girls (n) | Actual Ht . <br> Mean $\pm$ SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { RFL } \\ \text { M ean } \pm S D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LFL } \\ \text { Mean } \pm S D \end{gathered}$ | Correlation value (r) | Reg. coefficient b-value | Reg.equation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14-18 | 40 | $150.6 \pm 6.02$ | $23.15+1.21$ | $23.26+1.27$ | $\begin{gathered} +0.69 \\ +0.714 \\ +0.99 \end{gathered}$ | 3.43 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Height }= \\ 71.3+3.43 \text { RFL } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | +0.368 | 3.37 1.75 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Height }= \\ 72.3+3.37 \mathrm{LFL} \\ \text { Heiaht }= \end{gathered}$ |
| 19-24 | 35 | $152.77 \pm 5.45$ | $23.6+1.14$ | $23.1+1.143$ | $\begin{gathered} +0.368 \\ +0.71 \\ +0.989 \end{gathered}$ | 1.75 | $113+1.75 R F L$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.58 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Height }= \\ 116+1.58 \mathrm{LFL} \end{gathered}$ |

RFL $=$ Right Foot Length, LFL $=$ Left Foot Length, Ht $=$ Height
Table 2: Height v/ s rfl and Ifl of boys

| No.of boys (n) | Actual Ht. <br> Mean $\pm$ SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { RFL } \\ \text { M ean } \pm S D \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LFL } \\ \text { M ean } \pm S D \end{gathered}$ | Correlation value (r) | Reg. coefficient b-value | Reg.equation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | $163.95 \pm 7.547$ | $25.38 \pm 1.47$ | $25.64 \pm 1.61$ | $\begin{gathered} +0.583 \\ +0.547 \\ +0.89 \end{gathered}$ | 2.99 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Height = } \\ 88.1+2.99 \text { RFL } \end{gathered}$ |
| 35 | $168.74 \pm 7.45$ | $25.56 \pm 1.09$ | $25.70 \pm 1.14$ | +0.75 | 2.56 5.12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Height }= \\ 98.4+2.56 \mathrm{LFL} \\ \text { Heinht }= \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} +0.729 \\ +0.989 \end{array}$ |  | $38+5.12 \text { RFL }$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4.76 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Height }= \\ 46.5+4.76 L F L \end{gathered}$ |

RFL = Right Foot Length, LFL = Left Foot Length, Ht = Height

## Conclusion

The data obtained was tabulated and analyzed statistically to derive the regression equation as under

## In A ge G roup 14 to $\mathbf{1 8}$ years in male:

| Height | $=$ | $88.1+2.99$ RFL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Height | $=$ | $98.4+2.56$ LFL |

In Age G roup 14 to 18 years in female:

| Height | $=$ | $71.3+3.43$ RFL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Height | $=$ | $72.3+3.37 \mathrm{LFL}$ |

## In Age G roup 19to 24 years in male:

| Height | $=38+5.12 \mathrm{RFL}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Height | $=$ | $46.5+4.76 \mathrm{LFL}$ |

Height
$=\quad 46.5+4.76 \mathrm{LFL}$
In Age G roup $\mathbf{1 9}$ to $\mathbf{2 4}$ years in female:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Height } & = & 113+1.75 \mathrm{RFL} \\
\text { Height } & = & 116+1.58 \mathrm{LFL}
\end{array}
$$

Thus the formula derived can be used for the population residing in our area. It can be of great forensic and anthropological valuefor the people of North-east Karnataka region.
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