Adductor Canal Block: A Prospective Case Series Report in Unilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty

Rohit Singh¹, BN Seth², Himani Arya³, Mohanjeet Singh Juneja⁴, Munish Khurana⁵, Sunil Kumar Gupta⁶

¹Consultant, ²HOD, ³Junior Consultant, ⁴⁻⁶Senior Consultant, Department of Anesthesia, Sant Parmanand Hospital, Civil Lines, New Delhi, Delhi 110054, India.

How to cite this article:

Rohit Singh, BN Seth, Himani Arya et al. Adductor Canal Block: A Prospective Case Series Report in Unilateral Total Knee Arthroplasty. Indian J Anesth Analg. 2020;7(4):963–968.

Abstract

Introduction: Total knee arthroplasty is associated with moderate to severe pain and effective analgesia is essential to facilitate post operative recovery. This non randomised case series examined the analgesic effect of adductor canal block as a central tool in an integrated multi modal analgesia.

Material and Methods: We used adductor block to manage postoperative pain in 43 patients presenting to our service for unilateral primary total knee arthroplasty. We recorded pain scores, opioid usage and any adverse side effect.

Result: Pain control was generally satisfactory. Very few patients required fentanyl infusion as a rescue analgesia. Vomiting was reported but other side effects such as hypotension, itching, fall etc were unremarkable.

Conclusion: Adductor block was practical, safe and effective method of analgesia for pain relief in patients who have undergone unilateral total knee replacement.

Keywords: Adductor canal block, Analgesia, Total knee arthroplasty.

Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is associated with relatively severe pain and difficult to manage. It has been demonstrated that about 60% of patients have severe pain and 30% of patients have moderate pain post TKA.¹ The pain after TKA does not only impose restriction on early mobilization but also increase the rate of immobility related complications such as deep vein thrombosis. Effective analgesia post TKA is of extreme importance to the postoperative patients, which can improve the patient's satisfaction. To relieve the pain and improve the effect of TKA, the most common analgesic methods are patient controlled intravenous analgesia (PCIA), epidural analgesia, femoral nerve block(FNB).^{2,3}

However, PCIA needs a large amount of opioids

and is relevant to more adverse events than FNB, and patients who receive epidural analgesia had a higher rate of hypotension and urinary retention.⁴ FNB may weaken the strength of quadriceps and increase the incidence of falling.⁵⁶ TKA patients who fell were more likely to go on to suffer additional major cardiac, pulmonary, thromboembolic and other organ-systems complications with higher 30 day mortality compared with TKA patients who did not fall.³

With the advent and development of ultrasonography, the adductor canal as an aponeurotic structure in the middle third of the thigh can be seen clearly. Through this new technology, adductor canal block (ACB) can be successfully implemented and thus can be performed to the knee surgery to relieve pain. This method selectively

Corresponding Author: Rohit Singh, Consultant, Department of Anesthesia, Sant Parmanand Hospital, Civil Lines, New Delhi, Delhi 110054, India.

E-mail: singhrohit20000@gmail.com

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0. blocks the sensory nerve but does not block the motor neuron. So this can relieve pain, meanwhile it does not weaken the strength of quadriceps and adductor, thus reducing the incidence of fall.⁷

Material and Methods

Ethical approval of this study was unnecessary because it was a review of existing literature and did not involve any handling of individual patient data. The study was carried out at Sant Parmanand Hospital, New Delhi. Our institute is a tertiary referral centre providing care for all major orthopaedic surgery with an active joint arthroplasty programme. Patients scheduled for TKA with spinal anesthesia between May 2016 to May 2017 were included. Eligible participants were patients scheduled for primary TKA with spinal anesthesia aged between 45 years and 80 years with an American society of anesthesiologist physical status classification of 1 to 3. Exclusion criteria were inability to cooperate, inability to speak Hindi or English, allergy to any drug used in the study, alcohol or drug abuse, daily intake of strong opioids, rheumatoid arthritis and if the spinal anesthesia had resolved before conducting the block.

Premedication consisted of 0.25 mg Alprazolam and Panmid DSR given 2 hrs preoperatively. Spinal anesthesia was induced with 2 to 2.5 ml of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine at the L3/4, L4/5 or L2/3 interspace. Sedation and intraoperative fluid therapy were administered at the discretion of anesthesiologist. All patients received a femoral tourniquet perioperatively. At the conclusion of surgery all patients received periarticular injection of

- 1 Bupivacaine 0.5% 20 ml
- 2 Amikacin 0.5 gm 01 ml
- 3 Clonidine 75 mcg 0.5 ml
- 4 Adrenaline 0.5 mg 0.5 ml
- 5 Saline 78 ml

ACB was performed in the Post Anesthesia Care unit, immediately postoperative. For the ACB we performed an ultrasound survey at the medial part of the thigh, halfway between anterior superior iliac spine and the medial part of patella. In a short axis view, we identified the femoral artery underneath the Sartorius muscle with the vein just inferior and the saphenous nerve just lateral to the artery. The needle was introduced in-plane and 2-3 ml of saline was used to ensure correct placement of the needlein the vicinity of saphenous nerve in the adductor canal. The catheter was than introduced and advanced 1 to 2 cm beyond the tip of the needle. The correct spread of bupivacaine bolus injection in a semi-circular form around the artery was observed. 8 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine as a bolus dose was administered via the adductor canal catheter. Thereafter 8 ml of 0.25% bupivacaine was administered through the adductor canal catheter at 8 hour interval. This dose was repeated earlier if the patient complained of pain. Fentanyl infusion was started as a rescue analgesia if the pain persisted. In addition the patient also received injection Paracetamol, 1 gm iv thrice daily and injection Flexilor, 8 mg iv twice daily.

Measurements

Pain assessment: All patients in the study had their pain scores assessed initially at 4 hours and then every 8 hrs by the anesthesiologist. Pain was assessed using VAS, a numerical rating scale from 0-10 (with 0 representing no pain and 10 representing the worst possible pain) at 4 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours and 36 hours. Zero time was taken from first adductor top up immediately after the insertion of adductor canal catheter.

Opioid usage: Fentanyl infusion was used as a rescue analgesia if the patient had VAS score 4 or more.

Adverse events: All patients were closely attended to by the nursing close supervision by the anesthesiologist for any adverse event like vomiting, itching, hypotension, fall. Patients were encouraged to report any such adverse event immediately.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive Statistics						
		Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age		41	53.00	82.00	66.5122	6.74211
Valid N (listwise)		41				
			Fre	equency	Percent	
	Valid	Male		14	31.8	
		Female		30	68.2	
		Total		44	100.0	

IJAA / Volume 7 Number 4 / July - August 2020

			Descriptive			a
		Sex			Statistic	Std. Erro
Age	Male	Mean			70.0000	2.09978
		95% Confidence Interval	tor Mean	Lower Bound	65.3784	
				Upper Bound	74.6216	
		5% Trimmed Mean			69.8889	
		Median			67.0000	
		Variance			52.909	
		Std. Deviation			7.27386	
		Minimum			60.00	
		Maximum			82.00	
		Range			22.00	
		Interquartile Range			14.00	
		Skewness			.617	.637
		Kurtosis			979	1.232
	Female	Mean			65.0690	1.12619
		95% Confidence Interval	for Mean	Lower Bound	62.7621	
				Upper Bound	67.3759	
		5% Trimmed Mean			65.1877	
		Median			65.0000	
		Variance			36.781	
		Std. Deviation			6.06472	
		Minimum			53.00	
		Maximum			75.00	
		Range			22.00	
		Interquartile Range			8.50	
		Skewness			288	.434
		Kurtosis			623	.845
		Tr	Ct-tt-tt-			
		Mean	em Statistics Std. Dev		N	
	V4	2.05	.899		43	
					43	
	V12	1.65	.613			
	V24	1.35	.482		43	
					43	
	V36	1.21	.412			
		1.21 1.12	.412		43	
	V36	1.12				
	V36	1.12	.324	tics N of Item	43	
	V36	1.12 Relia	.324	tics	43	
	V36	1.12 Relia Cronbach's Alpha	.324	tics N of Item	43 s	
	V36	1.12 Relia Cronbach's Alpha 0.734	.324	tics N of Item 5	43 s	
	V36	1.12 Relia Cronbach's Alpha 0.734 Cronbach's alpha	.324	tics N of Item 5 Internal con	43 s	
	V36	1.12 Relia Cronbach's Alpha 0.734 Cronbach's alpha $\alpha \ge 0.9$.324	tics N of Item 5 Internal con Excellent	43 s	
	V36	1.12ReliaCronbach's Alpha0.734Cronbach's alpha $\alpha \ge 0.9$ $0.9 > \alpha \ge 0.8$.324	tics N of Item 5 Internal con Excellent Good Acceptable	43 s	
	V36	1.12ReliaCronbach's Alpha0.734Cronbach's alpha $\alpha \ge 0.9$ $0.9 > \alpha \ge 0.8$ $0.8 > \alpha \ge 0.7$.324	tics N of Item 5 Internal con Excellent Good	43 s	

	Rescue							
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent			
Valid		41	93.2	93.2	93.2			
	Fenta in	3	6.8	6.8	100.0			
	Total	44	100.0	100.0				

IJAA / Volume 7 Number 4 / July - August 20200

	Descriptives							
VAS								
	Ν	Mean	Mean Std. Deviation Std. Error 95% Confidence Interval for Mean			Minimum	Maximum	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound	-	
1	43	2.05	.899	.137	1.77	2.32	1	5
2	44	1.66	.608	.092	1.47	1.84	1	3
3	44	1.36	.487	.073	1.22	1.51	1	2
4	44	1.20	.408	.062	1.08	1.33	1	2
5	44	1.11	.321	.048	1.02	1.21	1	2
Total	219	1.47	.666	.045	1.39	1.56	1	5

		Allova			
		VAS			
	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	25.046	4	6.261	18.723	.000
Within Groups	71.566	214	.334		
Total	96.612	218			

Multiple Comparisons							
Dependent Variable: VAS							
	(I) Vas_Code	(J) Vas_Code	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.		nfidence erval
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
LSD14e 1;f	1	2	.387*	.124	.002	.14	.63
		3	.683*	.124	.000	.44	.93
		4	.842*	.124	.000	.60	1.09
		5	.933*	.124	.000	.69	1.18
	2	1	387*	.124	.002	63	14
		3	.295*	.123	.017	.05	.54
		4	.455*	.123	.000	.21	.70
		5	.545*	.123	.000	.30	.79
	3	1	683*	.124	.000	93	44
		2	295*	.123	.017	54	05
		4	.159	.123	.198	08	.40
		5	.250*	.123	.044	.01	.49
	4	1	842*	.124	.000	-1.09	60
		2	455*	.123	.000	70	21
		3	159	.123	.198	40	.08
		5	.091	.123	.462	15	.33
	5	1	933*	.124	.000	-1.18	69
		2	545*	.123	.000	79	30
		3	250*	.123	.044	49	01
		4	091	.123	.462	33	.15

966

		Mul	tiple Comparisons				
		Depen	ident Variable: VA	S			
	(I) Vas_Code		Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval		
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Bonferroni	1	2	.387*	.124	.020	.04	.74
		3	.683*	.124	.000	.33	1.03
		4	.842*	.124	.000	.49	1.19
		5	.933*	.124	.000	.58	1.28
	2	1	387*	.124	.020	74	04
		3	.295	.123	.174	05	.65
		4	.455*	.123	.003	.10	.80
		5	.545*	.123	.000	.20	.90
	3	1	683*	.124	.000	-1.03	33
		2	295	.123	.174	65	.05
		4	.159	.123	1.000	19	.51
		5	.250	.123	.438	10	.60
	4	1	842*	.124	.000	-1.19	49
		2	455*	.123	.003	80	10
		3	159	.123	1.000	51	.19
		5	.091	.123	1.000	26	.44
	5	1	933*	.124	.000	-1.28	58
		2	545*	.123	.000	90	20
		3	250	.123	.438	60	.10
		4	091	.123	1.000	44	.26

Rohit Singh, BN S	Seth, Himani Arya et al.	/ Adductor Canal Block:
A Prospective Case S	eries Report in Unilatera	al Total Knee Arthroplasty

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Results

The mean pain scores for subjects with ACB (n=43) was 2.05 after 4 hours, 1.65 after 12 hours, 1.35 after 24 hours, 1.21 after 36 hours and 1.12 after 48 hours. This result demonstrates that adductor canal block provides adequate postoperative pain relief in unilateral total knee arthroplasty patients.

Discussion

The adductor canal is a musculoaponeurotic space in the thigh, extending from the apex of the femoral triangle to the adductor hiatus, between the vastus medialis muscle anterolaterally and the adductor longus and adductor magnus muscles posteromedially. It is roofed in the entire length by the vastoadductor membrane.⁸⁻¹⁰ It contains several nerve branches that supply sensory innervations to the knee, including consistently the saphenous nerve (which innervates the infrapatellar skin and anterior knee capsule) and a distal branch of the motor nerve to the vastus medialis (which provides sensory innervation to the superomedial aspect of the knee and knee capsule.11 In addition other small sensory nerves involved in analgesia of the knee course frequently, although not consistently, through this space. The adductor canal is therefore an attractive location to provide sensory innervations to the knee with potential limited effect on motor function.

Adductor canal block (ACB) is a relatively new alternative for post-TKA pain management. Regional anesthesia is deposited within an adductor canal that can be easily visualized at the middle third of the thigh with use of ultrasonography. Consequently, ACB can be performed with a high success rate. Anatomical study of adductor canal showed that an adductor canal contained multiple afferent sensory nerves (e.g. saphenous nerve, medial femoral cutaneous, and medial retinacular nerve etc.) but only a single efferent motor nerve (vastus medialis of the quadriceps muscle) that potentially affected motor function.8-10 Therefore, ACB may have a minimal effect on quadriceps muscle strength, but provides a comparable level of pain relief and early mobilization.

All blocks were performed postoperatively under spinal anesthesia. This was done due to 2 reasons:

1. To avoid entrapment of the catheter between the nerve and the tourniquet.

2. To avoid dislodging of the catheter during surgery.

The local anesthetic were administered as repeated boluses through a catheter to ensure spread of local anesthetics throughout the aponeurotic canal. This is because adequate adductor canal block blocks more than just the saphenous nerve in the adductor canal. In addition to the saphenous nerve, the adductor canal also contains the nerve to vastus medialis, the medial femoral cutaneous nerve, the medial retinacular nerve and finally the articular branches from the obturator nerve, which enters the distal part of the canal.

The dose administered was 8 ml injected into the adductor canal. The volume was kept low because increasing the volume caused the drug to spread proximally to the anterior and posterior divisions of the femoral nerve outside the canal thereby increasing the risk of motor blockade.

We used integrated multimodal analgesic protocols, as defined by the American Society of Anesthesiologist practise guidelines on perioperative pain management, use two or more analgesic modalities with different mechanism of actions to provide superior analgesia and limit side effects and adverse events.¹² Regional analgesic technique is usually at the centre of these multi modal protocols in a background of NSAIDS and low dose opioids. There is anascent but growing case being made in the contemporary literature to support ACB as the most appropriate regional analgesic technique to be the core of multimodal analgesic protocol for TKA due to its decreased potential for quadriceps weakness.^{13,14}

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my special thanks and gratitude to Dr Shekhar Agarwal for providing me with permission and all facilities that were required towards successful completion of the study.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to all my colleagues of Sant Parmanad Hospital for their continuous support.

References

- Aso K, Izumi M, Sugimura N, et al. Additional benefit of local infiltration of analgesia to femoral nerve block in total knee arthroplasty: Doubleblind randomized control study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2019;27:2368–74.
- Paul JE, Arya A, Hurlburt L, et al. Femoral nerve block improves analgesia outcomes after total knee arthroplasty: A metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials[J]. Anesthesiology 2010;113:1144–62. [PubMed]

- Sato K, Adachi T, Shirai N, et al. Continuous versus single injection sciatic nerve block added to continuous femoral nerve block for analgesia after total knee arthroplasty: A prospective, randomized, double blind study[J]. Regional Anesth Pain Med 2014;39:225 NNCNCNCN-229.
- Song MH, Kim BH, Ahn SJ, et al. Peri-articular injections of local anesthesia can replace patientcontrolled analgesia after total knee arthroplasty: A randomised controlled study. Int Orthop, 2016;40:295–99.
- Fischer HB, Simanski CJ, Sharp C, et al. A procedure specific systematic review and consensus recommendations for postoperative analgesia following total knee arthroplasty[J]. Anesthesia 2008;63:1105–23.
- Charous MT, Madison SJ, Suresh PJ, et al. Continuous femoral nerve blocks: varying local anesthetic delivery method (bolus versus basal) to minimize quadriceps motor block while maintaining sensory block[J]. Anesthesiology 2011;115:774–81.
- 7. Memtsoudis SG, Danninger T, Rasul R, et al. Inpatient falls after total knee arthroplasty. The role of anesthesia type and peripheral nerve blocks. Anesthesiology 2014;120(3):551-63.
- 8. Bendtsen TF, Moriggl B, Chan V, et al. Defining adductor canal block. Reg Anesth Pain Med. 2014;39:253–54.
- 9. Bendtsen TF, Moriggl B, Chan V, et al. Basic topography of the saphenous nerve in the femoral triangle and the adductor canal. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2015;40:391–92.
- 10. Bendtsen TF, Moriggl B, Chan V, et al. The optimum analgesic block for total knee arthroplasty. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2016;41:711–19.
- 11. Horner G, Dellon AL. Innervation of the human knee joint and implications for surgery[J]. Clin OrthopaedRelat Res 1994;301:221–26.
- 12. Practice guidelines for acute pain management in the perioperative setting: An updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Acute Pain Management. Anesthesiology 2012; 116:248–73.
- Kwofie MK, Shastri UD, Gadsden JC, et al. The effects of ultrasound guided adductor canal block versus femoral nerve block on quadriceps strength and fall risk: A blinded, randomized trial of volunteers. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2013;38:321–5.
- 14. Jæger P, Nielsen ZJ, Henningsen MH, et al. Adductor canal block versusfemoral nerve block and quadriceps strength: A randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, crossover study in healthy volunteers. Anesthesiology. 2013;118:409–15.

IJAA / Volume 7 Number 4 / July - August 2020